

American Association for the Advancement of Science

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

PAUL M. GROSS, Retiring President, Chairman	
ALAN T. WATERMAN, President	
LAURENCE M. GOULD, President Elect	
HENRY EYRING	MINA REES
H. BENTLEY GLASS	WALTER ORR ROBERTS
DON K. PRICE	ALFRED S. ROMER
	WILLIAM W. RUBEY
PAUL E. KLOPSTEG	DAEL WOLFLE
Treasurer	Executive Officer

SECTION VICE PRESIDENTS AND SECRETARIES

MATHEMATICS (A)	
Magnus R. Hestenes	Wallace Givens
PHYSICS (B)	
Elmer Hutchisson	Stanley S. Ballard
CHEMISTRY (C)	
Milton Orchin	S. L. Meisel
ASTRONOMY (D)	
Paul Herget	Frank Bradshaw Wood
GEOLOGY AND GEOGRAPHY (E)	
John C. Reed	Richard H. Mahard
ZOOLOGICAL SCIENCES (F)	
Dietrich Bodenstein	David W. Bishop
BOTANICAL SCIENCES (G)	
Aaron J. Sharp	Harriet B. Creighton
ANTHROPOLOGY (H)	
David A. Baerreis	Eleanor Leacock
PSYCHOLOGY (I)	
Lloyd G. Humphreys	Frank W. Finger
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES (K)	
Kingsley Davis	Ithiel de Sola Pool
HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE (L)	
Adolph Grünbaum	N. Russell Hanson
ENGINEERING (M)	
Clarence E. Davies	Leroy K. Wheelock
MEDICAL SCIENCES (N)	
Francis D. Moore	Oscar Touster
DENTISTRY (Nd)	
Paul E. Boyle	S. J. Kreshover
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES (Np)	
Don E. Francke	
AGRICULTURE (O)	
A. H. Moseman	Howard B. Sprague
INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE (P)	
Alfred T. Waidelich	Allen T. Bonnell
EDUCATION (Q)	
H. E. Wise	Herbert A. Smith
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION (T)	
Foster E. Mohrhardt	Phyllis V. Parkins
STATISTICS (U)	
Harold Hotelling	Morris B. Ullman

PACIFIC DIVISION

John P. Tully	Robert C. Miller
President	Secretary

SOUTHWESTERN AND ROCKY MOUNTAIN DIVISION

Anton H. Berkman	Marlowe G. Anderson
President	Executive Secretary

ALASKA DIVISION

Allan H. Mick	George Dahlgren
President	Executive Secretary

The American Association for the Advancement of Science was founded in 1848 and incorporated in 1874. Its objects are to further the work of scientists, to facilitate cooperation among them, to improve the effectiveness of science in the promotion of human welfare, and to increase public understanding and appreciation of the importance and promise of the methods of science in human progress.

The Roots of Scientific Integrity

Part of the strength of science is that it has tended to attract individuals who love knowledge and the creation of it. Just as important to the integrity of science have been the unwritten rules of the game. These provide recognition and approbation for work which is imaginative and accurate and apathy or criticism for the trivial and inaccurate.

The scientist can find many satisfactions from a new discovery. First there is growing recognition of a new truth. This is the most exciting and personally rewarding period. In contrast, the necessary confirmatory work is likely to be drudgery. Another reward can be the approbation which may attend revealing the new truth to professional colleagues. Later comes publication, followed by requests for reprints. To receive a note of appreciation from an unknown reader half-way around the world is a warming experience. Ultimately it is possible to see the truth incorporated in textbooks as a fully recognized part of the intellectual treasure of mankind.

The rewards have added significance insofar as they are in contrast to the punishments for failure. If success in research comes after a period of barrenness, the accomplishment seems even more exciting. If one has given a talk which has drawn half-hearted response or overt criticism, he values good response more highly. After a manuscript has received a scorching review, smooth acceptance on another occasion seems worth a celebration. Those who have published work rightfully castigated for inaccuracies not only experience acute discomfort but serve as a warning example to others.

The quiet personal satisfactions of work in the laboratory are important to the individual. Research, however, is just a pleasant hobby unless its results are evaluated and incorporated into the total body of knowledge. Thus it is the communication process which is at the core of the vitality and integrity of science.

Scientific meetings are often thought of as means of learning of new developments. There is another aspect fully as important which usually is overlooked. That is the effect of a verbal presentation on the speaker himself. If the event is definitely scheduled some time in advance, the impending occasion can act as a tremendous stimulus. It can cause the investigator to focus more sharply on a particular area. As the time approaches he tends to devote his waking hours either to research or to thinking about his topic. He is likely to consider very deeply the limits and certainty of his knowledge, to tighten his self-discipline, and to do crucial experiments which he has not thought of before or has only considered half-heartedly.

A similar series of effects accompanies the writing of a scientific paper. The author quickly discovers how little he knows, the gaps which must be filled.

The system of rewards and punishments tends to make honest, vigorous, conscientious, hard-working scholars out of people who have human tendencies of slothfulness and no more rectitude than the law requires.

When the game is played under different rules in an arena such as politics, it should not be surprising that the performance of scientists sometimes leaves something to be desired.—P.H.A.