

of the full implications of continental glaciation during the Pleistocene.

Bailey's own reminiscences have special values. He knew men who knew both Lyell and Darwin. Bailey could also write (p. 28) "When I think of Lamarck my mind goes back to the battle of the Somme. I had noticed some bricks in the side of a trench, the only relic of the village of Bazantin, northeast of Albert. Someone unknown paused beside me: 'This,' he said, 'was the birthplace of Lamarck'; and on he went."

A. O. WOODFORD

Department of Geology,  
Pomona College

## Darwin and the Antipodes

**The Evolution of Living Organisms.** A symposium to mark the centenary of Darwin's *Origin of Species* and of the Royal Society of Victoria, held in Melbourne, December 1959. G. W. Leeper, Ed. Melbourne University Press, Victoria; Cambridge University Press, New York, 1962. 459 pp. Illus. \$22.50.

This book, the latest, and likely the last, portion of the long harvest of books celebrating the centennial of Darwin's great classic, consists of 36 articles by 42 authors. Although most of the contributors are Australians and New Zealanders, Gavin de Beer and T. S. Westoll from England and Ernst Mayr from the United States participated in the symposium and contributed to the volume. Mayr's paper, "Accident or design: The paradox of evolution," the opening one at the symposium, was a tribute to Oscar Tiegs.

The articles are quite disparate; some are reviews or discussions of fields of study or of general problems, and others present original data with relatively little comment. That some are singled out for mention is not an indication of superior merit.

Darwin did not observe evolution happening; he inferred that evolution must have happened. It is a rather better guess than most "would-have-beens" that to behold evolution actually taking place would have pleased Darwin enormously. In "Evolution made visible," F. M. Burnet summarizes the observations on evolution taking place in poliomyelitis, influenza, and myxomatosis viruses and in their human and

rabbit hosts in Australia and elsewhere. M. J. D. White and L. E. Andrew can justly claim that they have "seen" the operation of natural selection in the populations of the grasshopper *Moraba scurra*, although their selection mostly maintains a status quo. F. H. W. Morley, C. I. Davern, V. E. Rogers, and J. W. Peak observe changes produced by natural selection in different environments in the introduced pasture plant, subterranean clover (*Trifolium subterraneum*). A known mixture of 13 clones of this plant was sown on a series of plots in localities that have different climatic and soil conditions, and rapid changes in the composition of the mixture were recorded, different clones being most successful under different conditions. C. A. Fleming did not exactly witness species forming in the lamellibranch genus *Bassina*, and neither did I. M. Mackerras in the Tabanid flies or A. R. Main in the Australian frogs, but their elegant papers are probably as close as we can come to proving this by inference from observational and experimental data in true Darwinian tradition.

Another group of papers are concerned with experimental studies of the mechanisms that bring about evolution. A. S. Fraser's lively, contentious "Survival of the mediocre" claims that the genetic models of population structure used by Fisher, Wright, Haldane, and others "are gross oversimplifications." What has been disregarded is the genetic variability due to balanced polymorphism and to epistatic gene interactions, and especially to the phenomenon of developmental canalization. A very thoughtful paper by J. LeGay Brereton raises the fundamental but difficult question "Can evolution work at the group level?" and concludes that "there is evidence that it can, for how else could behavior which is disadvantageous to the individual but of advantage to the group have evolved?" Some interesting data on the "self-regulation" of experimental populations of the beetle *Tribolium* are presented, and data on populous birds, mammals, and isopods are discussed.

The two concluding papers, by D. J. Carr and S. G. M. Carr and by L. D. Pryor, essay various approaches to the formidable problem of the systematics of the Australian tree genus *Eucalyptus*. A recent revision recognizes 522 species names, but, even though "with some application, foresters quickly learn to recognize the facies of the species in

their districts," it is becoming clear that species in *Eucalyptus* is simply not the same biological phenomenon which it is in, say, *Drosophila* or birds or, presumably, in most of the living world. This may be a source of vexation to some minds addicted to order and simplicity, but it is this kind of "disorder" which led Darwin to conclude that species are not fixed entities but products of evolution.

The gracious article by Gavin de Beer manages deftly to pay well-deserved compliments to his Australian hosts by citing Darwin's praise of the Australia of 1836, praise which is fully applicable to the Australia of 1960.

THEODOSIUS DOBZHANSKY  
Rockefeller Institute

## Biology of the Chordates

**The Life of Vertebrates.** J. Z. Young. Oxford University Press, New York, ed. 2, 1962. xv + 820 pp. Illus. \$10.

The many admirers of J. Z. Young's book, *The Life of Vertebrates*, will welcome the second edition of that excellent text, which was generally acclaimed at its first publication for its felicitous blending of anatomy, physiology, ecology, and phylogeny into an integrated and comprehensive account of the biology of the chordates.

The revision retains the format and plan of the first edition. As Young says in his preface, "I thought it better that the book should continue to show the idiosyncrasies and interests of the author." These interests, as the book itself attests, are remarkably wide-ranging. The past 12 years have been fruitful ones in many areas of vertebrate zoology and much of this progress is reflected in the present edition. Many sections have been rewritten, and new material has been added which incorporates such recent advances as Enami's work on the teleost urohypophysis and Bone's on the nervous system of *Amphioxus*, as well as various contributions in sensory physiology, and new discoveries in primate paleontology, to select but a few examples. The taxonomy has, in a number of instances, been revised to accord with current authoritative usage. A number of errors that crept into the first edition have been corrected.

Several exceptions may be recorded (these may be scored against the first