SCIENCE

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Board of Directors

THOMAS PARK, Retiring President, Chairman
PAUL M. GROSS, President
ALAN T. WATERMAN, President Elect

HENRY EYRING
H. BENTLEY GLASS
MARGARET MEAD

WILLIAM
W. RUBEY
PAUL A. SCHERER, Treasurer
DAEL WOLFLE, Executive Officer

Editorial Board

DAVID M. BONNER
MELVIN CALVIN
ERNEST COURANT
FARRINGTON DANIELS
JOHN T. EDSALL
DAVID R. GODDARD
ALEXANDER HOLLAENDER
ROBERT JASTROW
KONRAD B. KRAUSKOPF
EDWIN M. LERNER

NNER WILLARD F. LIBBY
IN NEAL E. MILLER
PHILIP M. MORSE
DANIELS
COLIN S. PITTENDRIGH
ALL
KENNETH S. PITTER
DDARD H. BURR STEINBACH
H. BURR STEINBACH
FOW WILLIAM L. STRAUS, JR.
KRAUSKOPF EDWARD L. TATUM
JOHN R. WINCKLER
CLARENCE M. ZENER

Editorial Staff

DAEL WOLFLE Publisher HANS NUSSBAUM Business Manager

PHILIP H. ABELSON, Editor

ROBERT V. ORMES Managing Editor ELLEN E. MURPHY Assistant Editor

NANCY TEIMOURIAN, Assistant to the Editor

News: Daniel S. Greenberg, John R. Walsh, Eleanor L. Hill, Marion Y. Kline

Book Reviews: SARAH S. DEES

Editorial Assistants: Eleanore J. Butz, Grayce A. Finger, Gary O. Goldsmith, Nancy S. Hamilton, Oliver W. Heatwole, Shelley Mann, Edgar C. Rich, John E. Ringle, Eva Woo

Staff Assistants: LILLIAN HSU, KAY E. KROZELY, BARBARA J. SHEFFER

EARL J. SCHERAGO, Advertising Director

SCIENCE, now combined with THE SCIEN-TIFIC MONTHLY, is published each Friday by the American Association for the Advancement of Science at National Publishing Company, Washington, D.C. SCIENCE is indexed in the Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature.

Editorial correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW. Washington 5, D.C. Manuscripts should be typed with double spacing and submitted in triplicate. The AAAS assumes no responsibility for the safety of manuscripts. Opinions expressed by authors are their own and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated. For detailed suggestions on the preparation of manuscripts, see Science 138, 496 (26 Oct. 1962).

Advertising correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, Room 1740, 11 West 42 St., New York 36, N.Y.

Change of address notification should be sent to 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C., 4 weeks in advance. Furnish an address label from a recent issue. Give both old and new addresses, including zone numbers.

Annual subscriptions: \$8.50; foreign postage, \$1.50; Canadian postage, 75¢. Single copies, 35¢. School year subscriptions: 9 months, \$7.00; 10 months, \$7.50. Cable address: Advancesci, Washington.

Copyright © 1963 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Manpower or Mind Power

Numbers are the standard yardstick in studies of scientific personnel. They are the measure employed in comparisons of our scientific effort with the Russians' and are commonly used in government reports. This emphasis is disturbing. It carries the implication that scientists, like nuts and bolts, are interchangeable and can be mass-produced. The latest report exemplifying this tendency is entitled "Meeting Manpower Needs in Science and Technology" and was released 12 December by the President's Science Advisory Committee. The document calls for an increase in the number of Ph.D. degrees granted in the engineering, mathematical, and physical sciences from 2,900 in 1960 to 7,500 in 1970. To reach this goal the report proposes increased subsidies for graduate students. Given sufficient federal support, large numbers of men can be persuaded to undertake graduate study. Will such a program produce excellence?

Unfortunately the report barely mentions quality and offers no other inducement to scholarship than financial security. This is not astonishing. All of us can understand numbers and money. But who can measure or inspire creative genius? Scientists vary greatly in their effectiveness. One Enrico Fermi is more valuable to the nation than a thousand ordinary Ph.D.'s. When we increase the number of Ph.D.'s do we increase or do we diminish the probability of fostering such geniuses? I suspect that in the recent expansion of science quality has been diluted. This impression is based in part on my evaluation of some recent Ph.D. theses which would barely have earned an M.S. degree in an earlier period. Many papers today seem pedestrian. The experimental equipment employed usually is superb; the idea content too often is thin. Some graduate students in more than one eastern school are on the job only 40 hours a week. A desirable standard is more like 70 to 90 hours. This development indicates lack of motivation. A gifted individual has nothing if he is without drive and a sense of direction. A man of moderate endowment may show flashes of genius if he struggles hard enough. Some of the great scientists of the past were comparatively free from financial pressures; others were creative in spite of adversity. Most individuals seem to need a hardening experience to bring out their best. Giving such people financial security is as likely to hurt them as to help them. The Great Depression was a valuable experience for some scientists who were in their formative years at the time. Turning away from the negative aspects of lack of money, they emphasized the search for truth, the love of knowledge. the joy of discovery, the esteem of colleagues. Will these values seem important to the additional students who are lured into graduate school by increased subsidies? Implementation of the report should produce a fine crop of technologists for industry. It may diminish the number of gifted individuals with the necessary motivation to be truly creative. We hope that in planning for future manpower less attention will be directed toward numbers and more toward quality. The current report is the first in a series. Perhaps a later document will deal with these difficult but more important aspects of this national problem.—P.H.A.