

## References and Notes

1. K. C. Atwood and S. L. Scheinberg, *Science* **127**, 1058 (1958), abstr.; *J. Cellular Comp. Physiol.* **52**, suppl. 1, 97-123 (1958); S. L. Scheinberg and R. P. Reckel, *Genetics* **44**, 533 (1959), abstr.; K. C. Atwood and D. Megill, *Science* **130**, 1411 (1959), abstr.; S. L. Scheinberg and R. P. Reckel, *Genetics* **45**, 621 (1960); ———, *Science* **131**, 1887 (1960).
2. K. C. Atwood and S. L. Scheinberg, *Science* **129**, 963 (1959).
3. L. E. Young and E. Witebsky, *J. Immunol.* **51**, 111 (1945).
4. R. B. Goudie, *Lancet* **272**, 133 (1957); K. C. Atwood, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **44**, 1054 (1958).

\* Present address: Department of Microbiology, University of Illinois, Urbana.

18 September 1961

## New Source of the $j_2$ Gene Governing Jointless Pedicel in Tomato

**Abstract.** A multiple-flowered, jointless pedicel, single plant appeared in the tomato variety 146 in 1958. The gene governing the jointless pedicel character was found to be identical with the  $j_2$  gene found in a tomato strain from the Galapagos Islands. The character is considered to be a mutant and of potential value in the development of mechanically harvestable tomatoes.

An off-type, single plant of the tomato variety 146 (1), with multiple flower clusters, was found in a commercial field in 1958. Plants grown in 1959 from seed of this plant produced flower clusters with jointless pedicels. The clusters were bifurcate, differing from those of plants with the  $j_1$  gene (2). They were similar in appearance to the jointless pedicel clusters of strain LA 315 received from C. M. Rick. Strain LA 315 was derived from LA 166, a collection of *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium* from Indefatigable Island of the Galapagos Island group (3). The jointless pedicel character of strain

LA 315 was reported by Rick to be different from that determined by the gene  $j_1$  and was assigned the symbol  $j_2$  (3, 4). As far as we know this has been the only report of the  $j_2$  gene.

A series of crosses was made between the 146 selection and normal jointed, jointless  $j_1$  type, and jointless  $j_2$  type, using LA 315 for the last cross, with the results shown in Table 1.

Crosses 1, 2, and 3 indicated that 146 selection pedicel type was recessive to normal jointed, was conditioned by a single factor, and was like  $j_2$  pedicels in appearance. Crosses 4, 5, and 6 indicated that 146 selection was not identical with jointless  $j_1$ , because the  $F_1$  was jointed, both jointless types appeared in the  $F_2$ , and the two backcross populations were distinct, each approaching 1:1 ratios for jointed and jointless, with the backcross parent pedicel type only represented.

Crosses 7, 8, and 9 established the identity of the  $j_2$  gene for jointless pedicel in 146 selection and LA 315, with all populations having  $j_2$  pedicels.

In contrast with clusters of 1 to 6 flowers in most  $j_1$  tomato strains or varieties, the  $j_2$  clusters, as grown at Riverton, New Jersey, have from 7 to 30 or more flowers per cluster, although actual fruit set is usually 1 to 4 per cluster. The 146 selection differs from LA 315 in lacking the large and leaflike calyces and the ovoid fruit described for the latter (3, 4). The character behaves normally in crosses and has been combined with both determinate, *sp* and dwarf, *d* characters and with the double recessive, *d*, *sp*. Germination of seed is normal.

The jointless pedicel character results in a minimum of stems remaining on picked ripe fruit. This characteristic may be useful in the development

of mechanically harvestable tomato varieties (5).

The origin of the  $j_2$  character in 146 is unknown. The LA 315  $j_2$  was not grown in local experimental plantings until 1960, whereas the 146 selection appeared in 1958. This eliminates the possibility that a chance field pollination from LA 315 introduced the gene to the 146 variety.

GEORGE B. REYNARD  
Campbell Soup Company,  
Agricultural Research Department,  
Riverton, New Jersey

## References

1. G. B. Reynard, *Proc. Plant Sci. Seminar* **93** (1960), Campbell Soup Co., Camden, N.J.
2. L. Butler, *J. Heredity* **27**, 25 (1936).
3. C. M. Rick, *Am. J. Botany* **43**, 687 (1956).
4. ———, *Tomato Genetics Cooperative Rept.* No. 6, 23 (1956).
5. S. K. Ries and B. A. Stout, *Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* **75**, 632 (1960).

7 August 1961

## Sources and Isotopic Composition of Atmospheric Sulfur

**Abstract.** In nonindustrial areas the prime source of  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  in rain and snow is atmospherically oxidized  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  that is produced predominantly along coastal belts by anaerobic bacteria. The  $\delta \text{S}^{34}$  analyses of atmospheric  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  vary from +3.2 to +15.6 per mil in contrast to +20.7 per mil for sea water  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$ . Contrary to previous studies based on  $\text{Cl}^-/\text{SO}_4^{--}$  ratios, sea spray  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  is a minor source.

Studies on the relationship between variations in isotopic composition of sulfur and the genesis of sulfide minerals (1) have led us to encounters with the complexities of the biogeochemical cycle of sulfur. One significant portion of this cycle is the role of sulfur in the atmosphere.

Sulfur occurs in the atmosphere predominantly, if not exclusively, as  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  in aerosols and in  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  gas. The second form, and in all probability the third, is oxidized to  $\text{SO}_3$ , which in the presence of moisture subsequently forms hygroscopic  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  nuclei. The  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  in aerosols is returned to the earth in precipitation of rain and snow—in variable concentrations between essentially 1 to 10 mg of  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  per liter of precipitation.

Three sources for  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  in the atmosphere have been suggested. The first and most obvious source, especially to urbanites, is industrial  $\text{SO}_2$ , which, as shown by Junge (2), is certainly quantitatively insufficient to account for the total amount of  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  in the atmosphere. The second source is  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  produced by anaerobic

Table 1. Classification of tomato plants for pedicel type.

| Cross | Parent plants                    | Generation | No. of plants of phenotype |                      |                      |
|-------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|       |                                  |            | Jointed                    | Jointless $j_1$ type | Jointless $j_2$ type |
| 1     | 146 sel. × Jointed               | $F_1$      | 11                         | 0                    | 0                    |
| 1     | 146 sel. × Jointed               | $F_2$      | 72                         | 0                    | 16                   |
| 2     | 146 sel. $F_1$ × Jointed         | $BC_1$     | 41                         | 0                    | 0                    |
| 3     | 146 sel. $F_1$ × 146 sel.        | $BC_1$     | 12                         | 0                    | 10                   |
| 4     | 146 sel. × Jointless $j_1$       | $F_1$      | 6                          | 0                    | 0                    |
| 4     | 146 sel. × Jointless $j_1$       | $F_2$      | 18                         | 3                    | 1                    |
| 5     | 146 sel. $F_1$ × Jointless $j_1$ | $BC_1$     | 13                         | 7                    | 0                    |
| 6     | 146 sel. $F_1$ × 146 sel.        | $BC_1$     | 12                         | 0                    | 10                   |
| 7     | 146 sel. × LA 315 $j_2$          | $F_1$      | 0                          | 0                    | 4                    |
| 7     | 146 sel. × LA 315 $j_2$          | $F_2$      | 0                          | 0                    | 46                   |
| 8     | 146 sel. $F_1$ × 146 sel.        | $BC_1$     | 0                          | 0                    | 21                   |
| 9     | 146 sel. $F_1$ × LA 315 $j_2$    | $BC_1$     | 0                          | 0                    | 22                   |

bacteria existing in marshes, soil, and lakes and, most important of all, along coastal plains and shelves (3). The third source is nonhygroscopic particles of sulfate derived from sea spray (4); it has been suggested that  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  in particles derived from sea spray forms a stable aerosol that is spread throughout the earth's atmosphere while soluble chlorides that enter the atmosphere by the same process are returned rapidly to the sea.

Nevertheless, not only has the relative importance of these three sources been extremely difficult to ascertain, but skepticism has been expressed about the efficacy of the sea-spray process. In 1953 Hutchinson (5, p. 421) noted that information on the isotopic composition of sulfur in rain water "should throw light on this subject." The basis for his statement was that sea-water sulfate has a remarkably constant isotopic composition for sulfur. The  $\text{S}^{32}/\text{S}^{34}$  isotopic ratio is  $21.76 \pm 0.01$  or the  $\delta \text{S}^{34}$  enrichment is  $+20.7$  per mil compared to the meteoritic standard of 22.21 for isotopic studies of sulfur (6). If sea spray is an important source of  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  in aerosols, the  $\delta \text{S}^{34}$  composition of  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  collected from precipitation should be essentially  $+20$  per mil since very little, if any, isotopic fractionation is expected during the transfer of the  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  from the sea spray to the atmosphere.

Östlund (7) recently published the first isotopic analyses of sulfur from  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  collected from snow and rain. He also included three isotopic analyses of sea water  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$ . The sea water analyses he reported are obviously in error as the  $\text{S}^{32}/\text{S}^{34}$  ratios are too high. If the abnormal values are only a question of sulfur isotope standards, which is most likely, these analyses can be salvaged by applying a correction factor of  $-0.12$ , as suggested by Östlund (8), to all of the  $\text{S}^{32}/\text{S}^{34}$  analyses. This has been done for Östlund's samples listed in Table 1. These corrected values still verify his conclusion that "the sulfate sulfur in precipitation is not likely to originate principally from sea-water spray."

We also concur in this conclusion, on the basis of our analyses of Japanese samples, provided by Ken Sugawara, as listed in Table 1. In addition, however, we have also noted that the  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  samples collected by Sugawara from industrial locations are more highly enriched in  $\text{S}^{32}$  than the samples collected from nonindustrial sites near the western coast of Japan. Although these latter samples do more closely approach the sulfur isotopic composition of  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  from the China Sea (Table 1), they are, even so, still enriched by about 5 per mil in  $\text{S}^{32}$ .

This enrichment is quite typical (9) of the isotopic fractionation to be expected by reduction of  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  by anaerobic bacteria of the *Desulfovibrio* genus and the resulting evolution of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ . Although these coastal samples may still contain  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  derived from sea spray, the isotopic analyses indicate that  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  of biogenic origin, produced in tidal flats and coastal belts, is the prime source of atmospheric  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$ . Continental and fresh-water environments for the sulfate reducers are unimportant sources of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , as is indicated by the  $\text{S}^{32}$ -enriched lake water analyses shown in Table 1.

Our isotopic analyses of Sugawara's samples collected from industrial sites exhibit  $\delta \text{S}^{34}$  compositions similar to all of Östlund's  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  precipitation samples. It is suggested, of course, that these samples contain  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  derived predominantly from atmospheric oxidation of  $\text{SO}_2$  of industrial origin. If the  $\delta \text{S}^{34}$  composition of industrial fuels were uniform, it would be possible to determine quantitatively the specific amounts and sources of atmospheric  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$ . Analyses, however, of just five samples of Japanese coal (Table 1) indicate the relatively broad spread in their isotopic composition of sulfur. The nu-

Table 1. Isotopic composition of sulfur in industrial coal and sea, lake, and atmospheric sulfate.

| Sample location                         | Sample     | Sample content<br>(mg SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>--</sup><br>per liter) | Sample content*<br>(percent by weight) | Isotopic data                  |                                  |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                         |            |                                                                   |                                        | δ S <sup>34</sup><br>(per mil) | S <sup>32</sup> /S <sup>34</sup> |
| Ostlund samples†                        |            |                                                                   |                                        |                                |                                  |
| Stockholm, Sweden                       | Snow       | 1.5                                                               |                                        | +5.4                           | 22.09                            |
| Stockholm, Sweden                       | Snow       | 4.0                                                               |                                        | +6.8                           | 22.06                            |
| Köping, Sweden‡                         | Rain       | 3.2                                                               |                                        | +8.2                           | 22.03                            |
| Stockholm, Sweden                       | Rain       | 7.9                                                               |                                        | +3.2                           | 22.14                            |
| Stockholm, Sweden                       | Rain       | 18.8                                                              |                                        | +5.0                           | 22.10                            |
| Stockholm, Sweden                       | Rain       | 7.2                                                               |                                        | +4.5                           | 22.11                            |
| Stockholm, Sweden                       | Rain       | 5.1                                                               |                                        | +3.6                           | 22.13                            |
| Stockholm, Sweden                       | Rain       | 6.1                                                               |                                        | +6.8                           | 22.06                            |
| Stockholm, Sweden                       | Rain       | 9.5                                                               |                                        | +6.8                           | 22.06                            |
| Huddinge, Sweden                        | Rain       | 5.3                                                               |                                        | +6.8                           | 22.06                            |
| Huddinge, Sweden                        | Rain       | 2.8                                                               |                                        | +6.3                           | 22.07                            |
| Flahult, Sweden                         | Rain       | 7.6                                                               |                                        | +7.3                           | 22.05                            |
| Port Aransas, Tex.§                     | Rain       |                                                                   |                                        | +6.3                           | 22.07                            |
| Nahcotta, Wash.§                        | Rain       |                                                                   |                                        | +5.4                           | 22.09                            |
| Arctic Sea                              | Seawater   | 2740.                                                             |                                        | +20.2                          | 21.77                            |
| North Sea                               | Seawater   | 2170.                                                             |                                        | +19.7                          | 21.78                            |
| Gulf of Bothnia, Baltic Sea             | Seawater   | 450.                                                              |                                        | +20.7                          | 21.76                            |
| Lake Mälaren                            | Lake water | 47.                                                               |                                        | +2.7                           | 22.15                            |
| Sugawara samples                        |            |                                                                   |                                        |                                |                                  |
| Tokyo(A), Japan                         | Rain       | 8.9                                                               |                                        | +7.3                           | 22.05                            |
| Tokyo(B), Japan                         | Rain       | 8.6                                                               |                                        | +7.3                           | 22.05                            |
| Tokyo(C), Japan                         | Rain       |                                                                   |                                        | +5.0                           | 22.10                            |
| Nagoya(B), Japan                        | Rain       | 2.3                                                               |                                        | +5.9                           | 22.08                            |
| Nagoya(C), Japan                        | Rain       |                                                                   |                                        | +3.2                           | 22.14                            |
| Wakayama, Japan ¶                       | Rain       | 3.5                                                               |                                        | +7.3                           | 22.05                            |
| Nara, Japan ¶                           | Rain       | 1.3                                                               |                                        | +6.3                           | 22.07                            |
| Kurume(A), Japan**                      | Rain       | 3.0                                                               |                                        | +15.6                          | 21.88                            |
| Kurume(B), Japan**                      | Rain       | 2.1                                                               |                                        | +12.8                          | 21.93                            |
| Kurume(C), Japan**                      | Rain       |                                                                   |                                        | +15.6                          | 21.88                            |
| Tottori(A), Japan**                     | Snow       | 2.7                                                               |                                        | +13.2                          | 21.92                            |
| Tottori(B), Japan**                     | Rain       | 2.3                                                               |                                        | +15.1                          | 21.88                            |
| Matsumoto, Japan                        | Rain       |                                                                   |                                        | +12.3                          | 21.94                            |
| Wakayama, Japan                         | Seawater   |                                                                   |                                        | +20.7                          | 21.76                            |
| East China Sea                          | Seawater   |                                                                   |                                        | +20.7                          | 21.76                            |
| Nakai samples                           |            |                                                                   |                                        |                                |                                  |
| Long Island Sound, Conn.<br>(surface)   | Seawater   | 2170.                                                             |                                        | +20.2                          | 21.77                            |
| Long Island Sound, Conn.<br>(7-m depth) | Seawater   | 2170.                                                             |                                        | +20.2                          | 21.77                            |
| Linsley Pond, Conn. (surface)           | Lake water | 10.2                                                              |                                        | +7.7                           | 22.04                            |
| Linsley Pond, Conn.<br>(13.5-m depth)   | Lake water | 9.6                                                               |                                        | +7.3                           | 22.05                            |
| Queechy Lake, Conn.<br>(12-m depth)     | Lake water | 13.8                                                              |                                        | +7.3                           | 22.05                            |
| Kyushu, Japan                           | Coal       |                                                                   | 1.54                                   | +23.5                          | 21.70                            |
| Kyushu, Japan                           |            |                                                                   | 1.44                                   | +23.9                          | 21.69                            |
| Kyushu, Japan                           | Coal       |                                                                   | 3.05                                   | +11.9                          | 21.95                            |
| Kyushu, Japan                           |            |                                                                   | 3.14                                   | +11.9                          | 21.95                            |
| Kyushu, Japan                           | Coal       |                                                                   | 1.49                                   | +22.1                          | 21.73                            |
|                                         |            |                                                                   | 1.48                                   | +22.6                          | 21.72                            |
| Hokkaido, Japan                         | Coal       |                                                                   | 0.33                                   | +18.3                          | 21.81                            |
| Hokkaido, Japan                         | Coal       |                                                                   | 0.35                                   | +15.1                          | 21.88                            |

\* Determined by Parr Bomb method. † Östlund's isotopic data have been corrected by subtracting 0.12 from ratio values. ‡ Köping is located near Lake Mälaren. § Coastal locations, U.S. || Industrial sites. ¶ Near industrial sites. \*\* Nonindustrial sites.

merous atmospheric  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  samples collected near industrial sites, all of which have  $\text{S}^{32}/\text{S}^{34}$  ratios in excess of 22.03, indicate that the two Japanese coal samples enriched in  $\text{S}^{34}$  are atypical. Certainly many more  $\delta \text{S}^{34}$  analyses of sulfur in industrial fuels are needed.

It is interesting to note that Junge (2) and Eriksson (10), using identical data for the concentration of excess  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  in precipitation over the United States, have suggested a decrease and an increase, respectively, of excess  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  near the coast line. It seems apparent, therefore, that  $\delta \text{S}^{34}$  studies would assist these investigators. In nonindustrial areas, however, the limited present data indicate that  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  of biogenic origin is the major source of atmospheric  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  (11).

M. L. JENSEN  
N. NAKAI

Department of Geology, Yale  
University, New Haven, Connecticut

#### References and Notes

1. M. L. Jensen, *Econ. Geol.* **54**, 374 (1959).
2. C. E. Junge, *J. Geophys. Research* **65**, 227 (1960).
3. E. J. Conway, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad.* **B48**, 114 (1942).
4. K. Sugawara, S. Oana, T. Koyama, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* **22**, 47 (1949).
5. G. E. Hutchinson, *The Earth as a Planet*, G. P. Kuiper, Ed. (Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1954), pp. 371-433.
6.  $\delta \text{S}^{34}$  (per mil) =  $\left( \frac{\text{S}^{32}/\text{S}^{34} \text{ (standard)}}{\text{S}^{32}/\text{S}^{34} \text{ (sample)}} - 1 \right) \times 1000$
7. G. Östlund, *Tellus* **11**, 478 (1959).
8. ———, personal communication (4 July 1960).
9. N. Nakai, and M. L. Jensen, *J. Earth Sci., Nagoya Univ.* **8**, 181 (1960).
10. E. Eriksson, *Tellus* **12**, 63 (1960).
11. This study was financed by the National Science Foundation, grant No. NSF G-10326, and the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, contract No. AT (30-1) 2261. We are also very grateful to K. Sugawara, Nagoya University, for providing the  $\text{SO}_4^{--}$  rain samples.

13 September 1961

### Photoperiodic Response of Female Fringe-Toed Lizards

**Abstract.** *Uma notata* females were held over the winter under 24 hours of light per day. These animals laid from two to four clutches of eggs, with 4 to 7 weeks between clutches. Clutches contained two to four eggs. Additional light is believed to be more important than heat in causing this response.

Recently Farner (1) reviewed the present status of photoperiodicity in the animal kingdom, and Bartholomew (2) reviewed photoperiodism in reptiles. Both stated that knowledge of the photoperiodic response in reptiles is extremely limited. Most of what is known concerns males, although Bartholomew (3, 4) studied *Xantusia vigi-*

Table 1. Egg-laying by *Uma notata* in response to light stimulation, 1960-61.

| Data                                   | Animal No. 1             | Animal No. 2           | Animal No. 3              |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Snout-vent length at 1st clutch (mm)   | 70                       | 71                     | 76                        |
| Date exposed to 24 hr of light per day | 20 July                  | 20 July                | 23 Nov.                   |
| 1st clutch                             |                          |                        |                           |
| Date                                   | 10 Nov.                  | 22 Nov.                | 24 Jan.                   |
| No. eggs                               | 2                        | 2                      | 2                         |
| 2nd clutch                             |                          |                        |                           |
| Date                                   | 9 Dec.                   | 21 Dec.                | 26 Feb.                   |
| No. eggs                               | 3                        | 4                      | 2                         |
| 3rd clutch                             |                          |                        |                           |
| Date                                   | 27 Jan.                  | 12 Feb.                |                           |
| No. eggs                               | 3                        | 3                      |                           |
| 4th clutch                             |                          |                        |                           |
| Date                                   | 8 Mar.                   |                        |                           |
| No. eggs                               | 3                        |                        |                           |
| Autopsy date                           | 12 June                  | 10 Apr.                | 21 Mar.                   |
| Condition at autopsy                   |                          |                        |                           |
| Left ovary                             | 6 eggs, 1 large (7.4 mm) | 3 eggs, largest 1.0 mm | 6 eggs, 1 large (13.7 mm) |
| Right ovary                            | 6 eggs, 1 large (7.2 mm) | 0 eggs                 | 6 eggs, 1 large (12.3 mm) |

lis females in this regard. However, he found that ovaries in this species reacted to a much smaller degree than testes (4). To my knowledge, no one has reported any female reptile ovulating in response to light stimulation. Consequently, the following observations seem worthy of note.

Fringe-toed lizards (*Uma notata*) are abundant in the Algodones Dunes, Imperial County, California. Females lay eggs in the field from April through August, and lay from one to four eggs in a clutch (5). The number of clutches per individual each year is unknown, however. These animals normally hibernate beneath the sand from November to February (5).

On 20 July 1960, two subadult females, which had been captured 2 days earlier in the Algodones Dunes, were placed in a small terrarium (12½ by 12½ by 24 inches). A 60-watt incandescent light bulb illuminated and heated the terrarium from above, 24 hours per day. Approximately 2 inches of sand covered the bottom of the cage. Sand surface temperatures in the terrarium ranged from 26.2°C to 29.4°C, depending on the sand's location in relation to the light bulb. Surface temperatures did not vary during the period under consideration.

These animals burrowed in the sand a good deal. Thus, they were not exposed to the light for 24 hours each day. It is impossible to say how many hours of light each animal received, since this was determined by the animal's behavior.

On 10 November lizard No. 1 laid its first clutch of eggs, followed about 2 weeks later by animal No. 2 (see

Table 1). Both animals possessed bright reddish-orange sides at the time of laying. This color pattern was identical with that seen in the field on adults of both sexes from May to September (5). The laboratory animals, at the time of laying, were about the minimum size (snout-vent length) for laying females in the field (5).

On 23 November lizard No. 3, which was approximately the same size, was placed in the terrarium. This female had been hibernating in an outdoor cage as part of another experiment. It did not respond to the light or warmth in any manner until 12 December. Then it began to move about and eat. By 14 December it was beginning to acquire the reddish-orange patches on the sides of the abdomen and by 3 January 1961 its sides were bright reddish-orange. It laid its first clutch of eggs 2 months after it was placed in the terrarium. Table 1 summarizes the egg-laying data for the three animals and gives an indication of ovary conditions at autopsy.

The first and second clutches were approximately 4 weeks apart in all animals. Both lizards that produced a third clutch did so about 7 weeks after they produced the second. The single fourth clutch was laid almost 6 weeks later than the third. Thus, the interval between specific successively numbered clutches was about the same for all animals, but the time between an individual's clutches was rather variable. The eggs laid by these lizards averaged 20.2 by 11.7 mm in size (range, 17.5 by 10.0 mm to 24.0 by 13.1 mm). This compares very closely to the average size of eggs found in the oviducts