SCIENCE

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Board of Directors

CHAUNCEY D. LEAKE, President THOMAS PARK, President Elect PAUL E. KLOPSTEG, Retiring President HARRISON BROWN H. BENTLEY GLASS MARGARET MEAD DON K. PRICE MINA REES ALFRED S. ROMER WILLIAM W. RUBEY ALAN T. WATERMAN PAUL A. SCHERER, Treasurer DAEL WOLFLE, Executive Officer

Editorial Board

KONRAD B. KRAUSKOPFH. BURR STEINBACHEDWIN M. LERNERWILLIAM L. STRAUS, JR.PHILIP M. MORSEEDWARD L. TATUM

Editorial Staff

DAEL WOLFLE, Executive Officer GRAHAM DUSHANE, Editor JOSEPH TURNER, Assistant Editor ROBERT V. ORMES, Assistant Editor

BETHSABE ASENJO, CHARLOTTE F. CHAMBERS, SARAH S. DEES, NANCY S. HAMILTON, OLIVER W. HEAT-WOLE, HOWARD MARGOLIS, ELLEN E. MURPHY, PATRICIA D. PADDOCK, EDGAR C. RICH, BARBARA SUTHERLAND, NANCY TEIMOURIAN, LOIS W. WOODWORTH, CONRAD YUNG-KWAI

EARL J. SCHERAGO, Advertising Representative



SCIENCE, which is now combined with THE SCIENTIFIC MONTHLY, is published each Friday by the American Association for the Advancement of Science at National Publishing Company, Washington, D.C. The joint journal is published in the SCIENCE format. SCIENCE is indexed in the *Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature*.

Editorial and personnel-placement correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C. Manuscripts should be typed with double spacing and submitted in duplicate. The AAAS assumes no responsibility for the safety of manuscripts or for the opinions expressed by contributors. For detailed suggestions on the preparation of manuscripts and illustrations, see *Science* 125, 16 (4 Jan. 1957).

Display-advertising correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, Room 740, 11 West 42 St., New York 36, N.Y.

Change of address notification should be sent to 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C., 4 weeks in advance. If possible, furnish an address label from a recent issue. Give both old and new addresses, including zone numbers, if any.

Annual subscriptions: \$8.50; foreign postage, \$1.50; Canadian postage, 75¢. Single copies, 35¢. Cable address: Advancesci, Washington.

Copyright 1960 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Science Proves . . .

One need watch television only briefly to learn that scientific instruments, phrases, and symbols are being used—and misused—to promote a wide variety of products. If the listener reacts as the advertiser wishes, he smokes the cigarette chosen by "more scientists and educators" than any other brand, dresses his hair with the preparation that does not evaporate in a solar heater, shaves with the blade that "engineers" call a "scientific breakthrough," and then, for he probably needs it, takes the pill recommended by "three out of four doctors" and follows it with the one shown in blown-up cross section and improved by its "enteric coating."

This din of pseudoscientific chatter has nothing to do with the brilliant generalization or the careful collection of data by which science advances. But for scientists it has two meanings: (i) "science" is a useful sales gimmick, now apparently on a par with endorsement by a pretty girl; and (ii) the public, including children, is given a false and misleading impression of the methods, character, and integrity of scientific work. Against this result there is growing revolt.

What can be done? First, protest. Specific ads that are false or misleading can be protested to the Federal Trade Commission, which invites such reports, and to the advertisers and TV chains, which should receive them whether invited or not.

FTC chairman Earl W. Kintner recently told the Association of Consulting Chemists and Chemical Engineers that scientists and consulting laboratories should extend the scope of their professional responsibility to insist that their findings be properly reported in any commercial usage made of them. Advertisers and advertising agencies, he continued, also have a professional responsibility, and warned them that if they abdicate self-discipline, they invite the imposed discipline of tighter government controls.

Ridicule is also useful. A *New Yorker* cartoon shows an executive blasting as "absolutely unscrupulous" an ad in which SCIENCE, in large caps, is paired with a bottle of unknown content, and adding, "Why didn't we think of it first?"

But attacking misleading ads alone is like treating symptoms; TV ads reflect the state of television as a whole. The widely syndicated critic John Crosby, in a roundhouse swing at the whole industry, recently announced that television has become so bad that it no longer merits a daily column; he will write about it only once in a while. The 1 January 2000 issue of the Seattle Daily Galaxy (a publicity paper for the Century 21 International Exposition to be held in Seattle in 1962) discusses tariffs on Mars imports, regrets surplus production of sea farms, and reports low morale at the moon colony. In contrast with these indications of how the world is sweeping on, and in a transparent jibe at the state of television, the day's TV program ends with a movie that was grade B 57 years earlier. Perhaps television executives should be included among the groups that need to develop professional attitudes and self-discipline; TV advertising is not likely to exhibit high standards until TV producers gain respect for the taste and intelligence of their audience.

In the meantime, we can protest and we can ridicule. TV commercials too frequently deserve both.—D.W.