All those people in the White House, now chosen by the single President, ought to have some kind of direct responsibility to the people. After all, we are a democracy. At least the chief assistants ought to be so chosen. Finer would have them be heads of departments, except for one or two who would be deputy or assistant Presidents. But it would be corporate cabinet. Its decisions would be collective ones, and all members would be responsible for them. As for their qualifications, Finer would make it necessary for all of them to be members of Congress or at least to have served four years in that body. Incidentally, this would, he feels, have a beneficial effect on Congress, about which he is even more caustic, in its present state, than he is about the Presidency.

There is more to this. Any critic who passes what might be called the constitutional barrier—that is, who allows himself to consider what he would recommend if the Constitution did not exist-is pretty certain to make some suggestions shocking to those who will not give themselves this freedom. These suggestions, moreover, involve not only the organ of government they have set out to consider, but all the others as well. The relations are too intimate for any to be considered in isolation. So Finer has a few suggestions to make about Congress as well as the Presidency. The Supreme Court—perhaps on the grounds that he had stirred up enough controversy already—he leaves pretty much alone. In the end, the debate will involve that body too.

What shall we say of these criticisms and suggestions? I prefer, at this moment, to say that the criticisms are valid. They do point to the need for change, and drastic change. As to the suggestions, it seems to me inevitable that we shall go back to the state of mind Madison was in a few months before the Constitutional Convention of 1787. Concerning the Executive, Madison said that he was not certain in his own mind whether he should be one man or whether he should be so situated as to be primus inter paresthe first among equals. How is that to be done? If we are to reverse the Fathers' judgment that the President should be one and try to make him a collectivity, how shall we dispose the relationships among the pares so that the Presidency will exhibit initiative, wisdom, and dedication; so that jealousies and ambitions will be minimized; and so that the public interest will become the first and last thought of everyone involved? That is the problem posed for us.

Herman Finer has made a suggestion. We shall hear from others. The first problem for the listeners, the undecided, in this debate will be to listen without prejudice, without preconception, and especially without constitutional blinders. It ought to be recalled that Washington, Franklin, Morris, Wilson, and all the others attendant on that Philadelphia conclave recognized no binding duty to the document under which they were then governed, and that if they had, they would have been stopped from producing the one we have been governed under ever since. Not even such charters are immune to the erosions of time and change.

R. G. TUGWELL

119 Northway Road, Greenbelt, Maryland

Africa Today and Tomorrow. An outline of basic facts and major problems. John Hatch. Praeger, New York, 1960. 289 pp. \$4.

Events in Africa are moving much too rapidly for publication schedules to keep up with them. Inevitably, any book dealing with Africa which attempts to give an outline of basic facts and major problems is out of date before it can appear. Africa Today and Tomorrow was completed in the middle of 1959. Since then there have been major changes in almost every African country. The former French territories, with one exception, are now independent nations. Rioting in Nyasaland and more recently in Southern Rhodesia has raised questions about the longterm stability of the Federation of the Rhodesias and Nyasaland. A threatened African rebellion in the Union of South Africa has been crushed, but crushed in such a way that hostility between white and black has been exacerbated. The last few weeks have brought independence to the Belgian Congo, followed by violence, economic chaos, and intervention by the United Nations.

At the same time that the Africa which John Hatch wrote about has been changing rapidly, world interest in the affairs of that continent has become more urgent. More and more Americans are coming to feel that they need some guide to the continent which will help them to understand the reasons for

African unrest, their demands for independence, and their needs for economic and technical assistance. Hatch has provided a succinct and informed account of recent African history which should be very useful as a background to the news reports that are now in the foreground. The focus is upon political and economic developments, country being described in its turn. Hatch, who is an Englishman, has concentrated upon the regions where British interests have predominated. Some 175 pages deal with these areas. The discussion of the Portuguese territories and of the former territories of Belgium, France, and Italy is much sketchier. A final chapter, entitled "Future perspectives," attempts to predict the trends of the future. No one could quarrel with his conclusion that "All the signs in emergent Africa point to a revolutionary situation. . . . No one can doubt that during the next few years a series of revolutions will occur in every area of the continentsome constitutional, some violent, some in co-operation with the immigrant Europeans and Asians, others characterized by bitter racial antagonism."

Two useful appendixes conclude the book. One gives a brief chronology of major dates in African history; the other is a short gazette listing the various countries with their populations, principal products, form of government, and type of franchise.

E. Colson

Department of Anthropology, Brandeis University

Prehistoric Investigations in Iraqi Kurdistan. Robert J. Braidwood and Bruce Howe. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill., 1960. 184 pp. \$5.

This book purports to be the story of a long-term field project aimed at shedding light on how settled villagefarming communities first came into being; but all kinds of evidence from many major prehistoric and some early historic sites in southwestern Asia are presented and discussed in considerable detail by Braidwood and Howe. Specialized dating techniques, climatological evidence, paleoethnobotany, and the archeology of animal domestication are dealt with separately by Frederick R. Matson, Herbert E. Wright, Jr., Hans Helbaeck, and Charles A. Reed, respectively. Reed happily emphasizes the grave dangers of attempting to