SCIENCE

2 September 1960

Vol. 132, No. 3427

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE



For photomicrography and simultaneous observation, binocular photo tube "FS" is available

the laboratory microscope which fulfills research requirements



the new LEITZ LABOLUX IIIa

The Leitz Labolux IIIa is a new laboratory microscope with built-in illumination and the famous Labolux ball-bearing focusing control, which combines both coarse and fine focusing in a single knob. Available with a wide variety of accessories, the Labolux IIIa is recommended for all routine laboratory work and, in addition, can be equipped to fulfill the most exacting research.

A variety of interchangeable tubes is available: monocular, binocular or trinocular (binocular viewing plus photo tube for photomicrography). Tubes can be rotated 360° so that the Labolux IIIa may be faced away from the observer, for increased accessibility to all controls and to the object stage, and to make "conference-viewing" by two consultants more convenient.

Among the condensers available are the Abbe type, the Berek 2-diaphragm condenser, and condensers for phase contrast and dark field observations. The Labolux IIIa is readily adapted to fluorescence microscopy by addition of the Leitz fluorescence accessories. The large stand, in a new contemporary design, is constructed for a lifetime of use with fatigue-free operation and precision performance. All controls, including the knobs for the mechanical stage, are in a low convenient position. High-power objectives have spring-loaded mounts for prevention of damage to lenses and slides.

LABOLUX IIIa, Model S 25/95

• inclined binocular tube S with knurled knob to adjust for proper interpupillary distance • built-in mechanical stage #25 • two-lens condenser #95 • substage unit with rack and pinion focusing accepts sleeve-type condensers • quadruple nosepiece with achromats 3.5X, 10X, 45X and 100X oil immersion, the last two having spring-loaded mounts • paired 10X wide-field eyepieces • horizontal carrying case • 3-step transformer, 6 V., 2.5 Amp.

For literature and/or a personal demonstration in your laboratory, write Dept. SC-92

Leitz

E. LEITZ, INC., 468 PARK AVENUE SOUTH, NEW YORK 16, N. Y.
Distributors of the world-famous products of
Ernst Leitz G. m. b. H., Wetzlar, Germany—Ernst Leitz Canada Ltd.
LEICA CAMERAS · LENSES · PROJECTORS · MICROSCOPES · BINOCULARS

25158

SCIENCE is published weekly by the AAAS, 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C. Second-class postage paid at Washington, D.C., and additional mailing office. Annual subscriptions: $8.50; foreign postage, $1.50; Canadian postage, 75¢.

The Richmond Arsenal was producing thousands of rockets for the Confederacy and experimentations on their deadly missiles were still underway as late as 1865.

Today, as a vital part of one of the world's largest electronics companies, Raytheon's Missile Systems Division is making significant contributions to the art of missilery. Here, engineers are working with far-advanced concepts in electronics, aeronautics, and astronautics. Constantly analyzing, evaluating, creating, they're helping to solve *tomorrow's* defense problems *now.*

Raytheon has room for more such men at all levels of responsibility. For them, Raytheon's Missile Systems Division creates a climate for talent — perhaps *your* talent.

19th CENTURY: REBEL ROCKET OF '61

ENGINEERS: Immediate openings in Operations Analysis • Radar Systems • Data Processing • Design Data • Systems Test • Specification Engineering • Circuit Design • Systems Analysis • Electronic Packaging • Transmitter Design • Process Engineering • Product Engineering • Publications • Quality Control • Microwave.

Your resumé will receive prompt attention. Please address it to: Mr. Richard S. Malcolm, Coordinator of Employment/ Placement, Missile Systems Division, Raytheon Company, 520 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts.

17WS

RAYTHEON MISSILE SYSTEMS DIVISION

... creates a climate for talent.

2 September 1960, Volume 132, Number 3427

SCIENCE

Cover The surface of a solitary crystalline cholesterol stone, 2 by 1.5 cm, from the gall bladder of a 47-year-old man. The gallstone is composed of cholesterol crystals in bands that appear to be wound like a thread in a ball. [B. Halpert, Veterans Administration Hospital, Houston, Tex.]

GET YOUR ADVANCE COPY

of the General Program of the AAAS New York Meeting by first class mail – early in December

The General Program of the 127th Meeting of the AAAS in New York, 26–31 December 1960, will be available to you, at cost, within the first week in December—whether you can attend the Meeting or not.

Program Content

1. The two-session AAAS General Symposium, "Moving Frontiers of Science V"—Speakers: Edward Anders, H. W. Magoun, George Wald, and H. H. Goldstine; Thomas Park, presiding.
2. The "Challenge to Science" evening with Sir Charles P. Snow, Theodore M. Hesburgh, and W. O. Baker; Warren Weaver, presiding.
3. On "AAAS Day," the three broad, interdisciplinary symposia—Plasma: Fourth State of Matter; Life under Extreme Conditions; and Urban Renewal and Development, arranged by AAAS Sections jointly.
4. The Special Sessions: AAAS Presidential Address and Reception; Joint Address of Sigma Xi and Phi Beta Kappa by Polykarp Kusch; the Tau Beta Pi Address; National Geographic Society Illustrated Lecture; and the first George Sarton Memorial Address by René Dubos.
5. The programs of all 18 AAAS Sections (specialized symposia and contributed papers).
6. The programs of the national meetings of the American Astronomical Society, American Nature Study Society, American Society of Zoologists, History of Science Society, National Association of Biology Teachers, Scientific Research Society of America, Sigma Delta Epsilon, Society for General Systems Research, Society for the Study of Evolution, Society for the History of Technology, Society of Systematic Zoology, and the Society of the Sigma Xi.
7. The multi-sessioned special programs of the American Association of Clinical Chemists, American Astronautical Society, American Geophysical Union, American Physiological Society, American Psychiatric Association, American Society of Criminology, Association of American Geographers, Ecological Society of America, Mycological Society of America, National Science Teachers Association, New York Academy of Sciences—and still others, a total of some 90 participating organizations.
8. The four-session program of the Conference on Scientific Communication: The Sciences in Communist China, cosponsored by the AAAS, NSF, and ten societies.
9. The sessions of the Academy Conference, the Conference on Scientific Manpower, and the conference of the American Council on Women in Science.
10. The sessions of the AAAS Cooperative Committee on the Teaching of Science and Mathematics, and of the AAAS Committee on Science in the Promotion of Human Welfare.
11. Titles of the latest foreign and domestic scientific films to be shown in the AAAS Science Theatre.
12. Exhibitors in the 1960 Annual Exposition of Science and Industry—103 booths—and descriptions of their exhibits.

Advance Registration

Advance registration has these decided advantages: (1) You avoid delay at the Registration Center upon arrival; (2) You receive the General Program in ample time to decide, unhurriedly, which events and sessions you particularly wish to attend; (3) Your name is posted in the Visible Directory as the Meeting opens.

The following coupon may be used both by advance registrants and by those who wish only the advance copy of the General Program.

———————THIS IS YOUR COUPON FOR AN ADVANCE COPY OF THE GENERAL PROGRAM———————

1a. ☐ Enclosed is $3.50 for my advance Registration Fee which brings me the General Program, Convention Badge, and all privileges of the Meeting (50¢ is for first-class postage and handling).

1b. ☐ Enclosed is $2.50 for only the General Program. (It is understood that, if I should attend the Meeting later, the Badge–necessary for the privileges of the Meeting–will be secured for $1.00 more.)
(check 1a or 1b)

2. FULL NAME (Dr., Miss, etc.) .....
(Please print or typewrite) (Last) (First) (Initial)

3. ACADEMIC, PROFESSIONAL, OR BUSINESS CONNECTION .....

4. OFFICE OR HOME ADDRESS .....
(For receipt of General Program)
CITY ..... ZONE ..... STATE .....

5. FIELD OF INTEREST .....

6. CONVENTION ADDRESS .....
(May be added later, after arrival)

Please mail this Coupon and your check or money order for the total amount to the
AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE
1515 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington 5, D.C.

Modern . . . Versatile . . .
. . . Economical!

INTERNATIONAL'S ALL-NEW MODEL UV UNIVERSAL CENTRIFUGE

This latest contribution to centrifuging progress combines in one moderately priced unit all the features most wanted by medical and industrial laboratories.

STREAMLINED DESIGN! Cabinetized construction adds new eye appeal to traditional International "work horse" ruggedness. Unitized control panel simplifies operation. Convenient storage space keeps accessories handy.

WIDE-RANGE VERSATILITY! Swings more than **80** different accessory combinations . . . at speeds up to **5400** RPM. For example: 4 one-liter bottles, 150 serum tubes, 6-250 ml bottles, 16-50 ml tubes.

MOST-WANTED FEATURES! Stainless steel guard bowl makes cleaning easy. Electric tachometer, timer and brake assure accuracy . . . improve performance. Powerful series-wound motor is International-made for extra reliability.

GET ALL THE FACTS about this modern, versatile, economical centrifuge . . . the one model you can standardize on for general-purpose laboratory work.

INTERNATIONAL IEC EQUIPMENT CO.

BLDG. NO. 3B, 1284 SOLDIERS FIELD ROAD • BOSTON 35, MASSACHUSETTS

Please rush complete data on International's all-new MODEL UV Universal Centrifuge and accessories. Sold and serviced the world over by authorized International dealers.

Name..... Title.....

Institution.....

Street & No.....

City..... Zone..... State.....

2 September 1960, Volume 132, Number 3427

SCIENCE

SCIENCE, which is now combined with THE SCIENTIFIC MONTHLY, is published each Friday by the American Association for the Advancement of Science at National Publishing Company, Washington, D.C. The joint journal is published in the SCIENCE format. SCIENCE is indexed in the *Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature*.

Editorial and personnel-placement correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C. Manuscripts should be typed with double spacing and submitted in duplicate. The AAAS assumes no responsibility for the safety of manuscripts or for the opinions expressed by contributors. For detailed suggestions on the preparation of manuscripts and illustrations, see *Science* **125**, 16 (4 Jan. 1957).

Display-advertising correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, Room 740, 11 West 42 St., New York 36, N.Y.

Change of address notification should be sent to 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C., 4 weeks in advance. If possible, furnish an address label from a recent issue. Give both old and new addresses, including zone numbers, if any.

Annual subscriptions: $8.50; foreign postage, $1.50; Canadian postage, 75¢. Single copies. 35¢. Cable address: Advancesci, Washington.

Technical Decisions in Technical Hands

In the last few years the Defense Department has come to appreciate more fully the importance of science to military technology as well as the importance of putting technical decisions in technical hands. The Defense Reorganization Act of 1958 established the new position of Director of Defense Research and Engineering—a kind of vice-president in charge of science—with a salary at the same level as that commanded by the Assistant Secretaries for the Army, Navy, and Air Force. And the first man to occupy the new position, Herbert York, is a physicist who is equipped to understand first-hand a good proportion of the technical matters that come his way. York, unfortunately, suffered a heart attack a few weeks ago, and John Rubel of the Department has been named acting director while he is recovering, but this may be an appropriate time to review recent developments.

Expressed in terms of direct obligations, the portion of current spending for which the new office is responsible is well over $5 billion. The main responsibility is to review all the technical programs initiated by the military departments, from basic research through the late development stage, which covers the very expensive construction and testing of prototypes of possible new weapons. Other responsibilities include an important advisory role in the procurement of weapons for actual operation and the authority to initiate, if there is a need, a certain number of scientific projects independently of the military departments.

The office of Director of Defense Research and Engineering is an upgraded version of the short-lived office of Assistant Secretary for Research and Engineering, which was established in 1957 by combining the earlier offices of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Development and the Assistant Secretary for Applications Engineering. The offices were combined to mediate differences between a scientific judgment centered in one office and an engineering judgment centered in the other, but the first man to hold the joint office was not a scientist, nor was he noted for his rapport with scientists. Difficulties concerning the extent of authority also arose shortly after the present office was established, but these were quickly settled. A dispute between York and the recently created Director of Guided Missiles was solved by dissolving the latter office, while a dispute with the Director of the Advanced Research Projects Agency was solved by bringing that agency under York's jurisdiction.

There is still in the Defense Department a vast structure of committees advising on technical questions, although its form is changing, but the major decisions coming from the new office are York's, based on his own grasp of the scientific issues involved. It is not surprising that York, as the maker of important decisions, has sometimes been criticized for placing his bets mistakenly. Thus George H. Mahon, chairman of the House Defense Appropriations subcommittee, said to him in the course of hearings this spring, ". . . there are those in industry and in Government who feel that you are placing a barricade before certain projects which they think are very important. I am sure that there are also those who feel that you are placing your stamp of approval on projects that are unsound. . ."

But at the House hearings, and the Senate appropriations' hearings too, York's performance in office, and as a witness, sat very well with his interrogators. And if scientists familiar with defense problems are disturbed by this or that technical decision, they can be greatly cheered by the general course of events—J.T.

A NEW APPROACH TO PRECISE MEASUREMENT OF RADIOACTIVITY IN GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY





Typical gas chromatogram trace showing mass peaks of fatty acid methyl esters. Superimposed on the mass trace, the bar graph indicates no radioactivity in any of the unsaturates.

The Packard Model 830 Tri-Carb® Gas Fraction Collector enables quantitative collection of individual organic components in a gas chromatographic effluent stream. Precise measurement of radioactivity in component peaks can be made after collection.

An 8″ diameter turntable holds up to 50 Tri-Carb Cartridges, each packed with silicone-coated scintillation crystals. Vapors leaving the gas chromatography mass detector pass through the heated gas injection nozzle of the collector and condense on the coated surfaces of the scintillation crystals. As the operator observes the beginning and completion of each peak on the mass detector trace, he actuates a control switch to change cartridges. Changing takes only a fraction of a second.

After sample collection, the cartridges are placed in positioning adapters in an Automatic Tri-Carb Liquid Scintillation Spectrometer for completely automatic counting. No sample preparation is necessary and up to 100 samples can be handled at one time.

Counting efficiency for carbon-14 in Tri-Carb Cartridges is over 50%. Backgrounds for these cartridges in the Tri-Carb Spectrometer are extremely low—less than 5 cpm. Consequently, even peaks with very low activity can be accurately measured in short counting periods.

The Packard Model 830 Tri-Carb Collector is suitable for use with any gas chromatograph using a nondestructive mass detection system.

For literature, prices, and further information write:

Packard Instrument Company, Inc.

P. O. BOX 428-A, LA GRANGE, ILLINOIS

ATLANTA • BOSTON • LOS ANGELES • NEW YORK • PHILADELPHIA
SAN FRANCISCO • WASHINGTON, D. C. • ZURICH, SWITZERLAND

Meetings

Forthcoming Events

October

1–2. Enzymes in the Manufacture, Storage and Distribution of Food, symp., London, England. (Society of Chemical Industry, 14 Belgrave Square, London, S.W.1)

2–5. American Inst. of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers, fall, Denver, Colo. (E. O. Kirkendall, AIME, 29 W. 39 St., New York 18)

2–6. Water Pollution Control Federation, 33rd annual, Philadelphia, Pa. (WPCF, 4435 Wisconsin Ave., Washington 16)

2–7. American Soc. of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Los Angeles, Calif. (T. R. Broadbent, 508 E. S. Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah)

3–5. Applied Spectroscopy, 7th symp., Ottawa, Canada. (C. R. Langdon, Aluminium Co. of Canada, Ltd., Arvida, Quebec, Canada)

3–5. Communications, 6th natl. symp., Utica, N.Y. (B. H. Baldridge, Communications Symp., Light Military Electronics Dept., General Electric Co., Utica)

3–5. Nuclear Science, 7th annual, Gatlinburg, Tenn. (H. E. Banta, Oak Ridge National Lab., P.O. Box X, Oak Ridge, Tenn.)

3–7. Atomic Energy, 5th intern. seminar, Wiesbaden, Germany. (Mr. Trebst, Diplom-Volkswirt, Generalsekretär, Internationale Studiengesellschaft e.V., Theodorenstr. 6-8, Wiesbaden)

4–6. Radio Interference Reduction, 6th conf., Chicago, Ill. (S. I. Cohn, Armour Research Foundation, 10 W. 35 St., Chicago)

4–7. Recent Developments in Research Methods and Instrumentation, 10th annual symp., Bethesda, Md. (J. B. Davis, Natl. Institutes of Health, Bethesda 14)

5–7. Accelerator Conf., Amsterdam, Netherlands. [J. S. Woldringh, High Voltage Engineering (Europa) N.V., Amersfoort, Netherlands]

5–8. American Acad. of Cerebral Palsy, 14th annual, Pittsburgh, Pa. (J. D. Russ, 1520 Louisiana Ave., New Orleans 15, La.)

6–10. American Assoc. of Textile Chemists and Colorists, natl. conf., Philadelphia, Pa. (G. P. Paine, AATCC, P.O. Box 28, Lowell, Mass.)

6–8. Clay Conf., 9th natl., Lafayette, Ind. (J. L. White, Agronomy Dept., Purdue Univ., Lafayette)

6–8. Society of Experimental Test Pilots, annual symp., Los Angeles, Calif. (SETP, 44919 N. Cedar Ave., Lancaster, Calif.)

8. Helminthological Soc. of Washington, 50th, College Park, Md. (Publicity Committee, HSW, Animal Disease and Parasite Research Branch, ARS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, Md.)

9–13. Electrochemical Soc., Houston, Tex. (Electrochemical Soc., 216 W. 102 St., New York 25)

9–14. American Acad. of Ophthalmology and Otolaryngology, Chicago, Ill. (W. L. Benedict, 15 Second St., S.W., Rochester, Minn.)

10–12. Human Factors and Bioastronautics, conf., Dayton, Ohio. (J. J. Harford, American Rocket Soc., 500 Fifth Ave., New York 36)

10–12. Industrial Health, cong., Charlotte, N.C. (Council on Occupational Health, AMA, 535 N. Dearborn St., Chicago 10, Ill.)

10–12. Operations Research Soc. of America, natl., Detroit, Mich. (H. J. Miser, ORSA, Research Triangle Inst., 505 W. Chapel Hill St., Durham, N.C.)

10–14. American College of Surgeons, San Francisco, Calif. (W. E. Adams, 40 E. Erie St., Chicago 11, Ill.)

10–14. American Soc. of Civil Engineers, Boston, Mass. (W. H. Wisely, ASCE, 33 W. 39 St., New York 18)

11–13. Applications of Nuclear Energy, conf., Karlsruhe, Germany. (Ing. Küpfmüller, Deutsches Atomforum, Friedrichstr. 2 III, Düsseldorf, Germany)

11–13. Synthetic Rubber, 2nd intern. symp., London, England. (Rubber and Plastics Age, Gaywood House, Great Peter St., London, S.W. 1)

11–14. Audio Engineering Soc., 12th annual conv., New York, N.Y. (H. F. Olson, RCA Laboratories, Princeton, N.J.)

11–14. Inelastic Scattering of Neutrons in Solids and Liquids, symp., Vienna, Austria. (International Atomic Energy Agency, 11 Kärntner Ring, Vienna 1)

(See issue of 19 August for comprehensive list.)

Letters

Life of Scientific Publications

The philosophic appeal of Weiss' analogical description of the life functions of a body of knowledge [*Science* **131**, 1716 (1960)] is weakened by the way the illustrations are handled. To show the "real fate of plain recorded data" he selected lengthy series of several journals and tabulated the citations of earlier works in terms of the age of the reference at the time it was cited. The resulting frequencies were then transformed into percentages of *citations* and plotted against age of reference. The curves obtained all dropped sharply as the age of the reference increased. In some cases more than half of all of the references made were to works published within the previous 5 years. Weiss concludes: "the active life span of pure data is at any rate amazingly short: they die of either assimilation or oblivion."

Since the curves presented are based on percentages of citations rather than percentages of works published, conclusions drawn from them can refer only to citations, not to works published. The probability that a paper cited will be of a given age (which is what Weiss' curves show) is not the same as the probability that a paper of a given age will be cited (which is what he is concerned about). There is some evidence [Dennis, *Am. Psychologist* **13**, 457 (1958)] that the latter probability *increases* with age. Contrary to Weiss, Dennis found that the older the work, the greater the probability that it would be cited.

The source of the apparent paradox lies, of course, in the "population explosion" in scientific papers. The 19th century saw 15-fold increase in scientific publications between its first and last decades, over half of all of the papers being published in the last two decades of the century [Dennis, *Am. Psychologist* **13**, 457 (1958)], and the output seems still to be accelerating. If Weiss' curves were corrected for the actual number of papers there were of a given age, they would certainly flatten out considerably and they might even reverse their direction. His point, however, is well taken; while he has shown only that most of the papers that people refer to are new, it is also quite true that there are many more new papers than anyone can or ever will refer to. The problem which Weiss sees as one of senescence and decay appears to be more nearly one of infant mortality.

S. JAMES GOFFARD
CHARLES D. WINDLE
George Washington University, Washington, D.C.

I find the marginal comments by Goffard and Windle quite noteworthy. In theory, their plea for a correction factor for the proliferation of journals is well taken. In practice, however, the contention that the curves would then "certainly flatten out considerably and . . . might even reverse their direction" is invalid on several counts. (i) In both of the "several" journals sampled, the curves for the 1st and 10th years of the sampling periods are essentially the same, despite the "population explosion" of journals during that period. (ii) Curves for two different 10-year periods (1938–49 and 1950–59) of the same journal (*Biol. Bull.*) are essentially congruous. (iii) An "experimental" proof that correction for publication volume would not have altered the essential trend of the curves lies in the fact that the major temporary drop in publication volume during World War I registered in the annual curves only as a minor dip.

Since the terse treatment of the subject in my article does not reflect the volume of data from which the conclusions have been distilled, I appreciate the present opportunity for supplementary—and, I hope, clarifying—comment.

PAUL WEISS
Rockefeller Institute, New York, New York

The article by Weiss provides an interesting analogy between biological growth and the growth of documentation. I fear, however, that its simplicity may be misleading. There are other ecological factors operating in the field of documentation of knowledge which need to be considered. I mention only two of these factors here.

The editorial blue pencil still provides a kind of natural selectivity as a brake on the growth of documentation. The fields of science are now so well disciplined that it may be safe to say that papers which are not published are not worth publishing. It is the specialization and overspecialization in the sciences which brings new journals into being at a rate recently estimated as two a day. The editorial blue pencil is doing its best to control this tide of information. The actual need is for more information, not less, to increase the basic research which is the foundation upon which is built our expanding science. Somehow, we shall have to innovate our reporting devices to be sure that vastly increased amount of information does eventually become knowledge.

Another problem is the suggestion regarding the fast-aging and the slow-aging periodical. This neglects the painful problem of re-invention. Librarians have long been aware of the necessity of buying serials back to the first vol-

ume when funds, space, and availability permit. A thorough "search of the literature" is still a good safeguard against expending research funds on work which has already been reported elsewhere. If the fast-aging journal is discarded and its citations are not blended into any subsequent studies, what is to prevent the research it has reported from being done over again?

Actually, there appear to be two evaluations involved in the information-knowledge process. The first is editorial —whether to accept or reject a manuscript; the second is documentary and may occur years after the publication of the paper. The paper's research impact is then measured as a weight factor in a citation study. Its scientific durability may then be impartially assessed. I suggest reference to the paper by Raisig on "Mathematical evaluation of the scientific serial" [*Science* **131**, 1417 (1960)] for one recent, improved means of making this evaluation.

JOHN BUCKLEY

Yale School of Nursing, New Haven, Connecticut

Stern's View of Lewis H. Morgan

In a recent issue [*Science* **131**, 1435 (1960)] you published a review of Carl Resek's *Lewis Henry Morgan, American Scholar* in which the reviewer, comparing Resek's work to that of my late husband, Bernhard J. Stern, states that "in Stern's hands, Morgan, caught in a crossfire of Marxism and Boasian anti-evolutionism, suffers the worst of both worlds and emerges as a virtual class enemy as well as a 'not erudite,' unoriginal thinker with a few good ideas and more bad ones."

Not only is this estimate of Bernhard J. Stern's *Lewis Henry Morgan, Social Evolutionist* (University of Chicago Press, 1931) intemperate and lacking in scientific objectivity but it is totally erroneous.

Since Stern's book is out of print, may I request that you set the record straight by publishing some of his evaluations of Morgan. In the final chapter summarizing Morgan's contributions, he says:

"Pioneers in unploughed fields of science scrape the soil thinly leaving the more intensive work to be done by generations that follow. They may plant some seeds of thought that later prove infertile, for their knowledge of the character of the field is imperfect. Morgan was such a pioneer. He was among the first to extend the science of social origins into the remote past. In doing so he used an evolutionary method popular in his period but since discarded as applied to the study of culture. Divorced from its evolutionary setting much of Morgan's work remains a permanent contribution to the yet infant science of anthropology. His Iroquois study is still considered a classic. His discovery of the kinship systems was epoch-making and irrespective of his interpretations and his arrangement, his compilation in the field has proved to be a lasting storehouse of fact for all later anthropologists. . . ."

CHARLOTTE C. STERN

423 West 120 Street, New York, New York

Sweating in Man

Victor Cummings [*Science* **131**, 1675 (1960)], in his article on thermoregulatory and emotional sweating in man, is apparently unaware of the careful work of Chalmers and Keele [*J. Physiol.* **114**, 510 (1951); *Brit. J. Dermatol.* **64**, 43 (1952)], who demonstrated that neither type of sweating is blocked by an intradermal adrenergic blocking agent but that both are blocked by atropine; these results are essentially identical with Cummings'.

It is unfortunate that Cummings raised again the specter of adrenergic innervation of human sweat glands without presenting a more forthright analysis of the available evidence which tends to put the ghost to rest. The pertinent points, covered in the review of Randall and Kimura [*Pharmacol. Revs.* **7**, 365 (1955)] except where noted, are as follows.

1) Human sweat glands respond to directly administered epinephrine and related compounds, and to acetylcholine. Both substances act on the same glands [Mellinkoff and Sonnenschein, *Science* **120**, 997 (1954)].

2) The response to exogenous epinephrine is blocked by local or systemically administered adrenergic blocking drugs (for example, dibenamine).

3) Emotionally induced sweating is blocked by systemically administered dibenamine, but not by locally administered dibenamine; it is blocked by locally administered atropine.

4) Dibenamine analogs have been shown in other circumstances to have central blocking activity [Sawyer and Parkerson, *Endocrinology* **52**, 346 (1953)].

The simplest and most likely explanation of these observations is that there is no adrenergic innervation of human sweat glands and that adrenergic blocking drugs reduce sweating only by their central blocking action. The question of the physiological significance of the responsiveness of the glands to directly administered epinephrine remains open.

RALPH R. SONNENSCHEIN

University of California Medical Center, Los Angeles