

the phenomenon seems to be very general. Similar recovery has been noted with several intensities of punishment, levels of food deprivation, and schedules of reinforcement, as well as with electrified grids rather than implanted electrodes.

The temporary increase in responding after the removal of punishment seems to involve some type of contrast effect. Previous studies have revealed that the addition of punishment during extinction (1) produced a reduction in responding and that the later removal of the punishment produced a temporary increase in responding which appeared to be a type of compensation for the behavioral reduction. This study (3) reveals that this same temporary increase follows the removal of the punishment, even though the punishment has ceased to be effective. It appears, therefore, that this compensatory increase in responding does not require that the behavior in question be suppressed at the time the punishment is removed.

NATHAN H. AZRIN  
Anna State Hospital, Anna, Illinois

#### References and Notes

1. W. K. Estes, *Psychol. Monographs* 57, No. 3 (1944).
2. N. H. Azrin, *J. Exptl. Anal. Behavior* 2, 161 (1959).
3. This investigation was supported by a grant from the Psychiatric Training and Research Fund of the Illinois Department of Public Welfare.

5 October 1959

## Correction of Sample

### Absorption of Radioactivity

**Abstract.** In the method presented here for the correction of sample absorption of  $C^{14}$  activity, the only requirement is the availability of any  $C^{14}$ -labeled compound of sufficiently high specific activity to permit addition, in negligible mass, of a number of counts equal to or greater than that in unknown samples and with solubility characteristics that exclude preferential layering during drying of samples. The principle may be applied to liquid scintillation counting. Absorption curves are dispensed with, and the weights of the assayed samples need not be determined.

In a recent article, Hendler (1) has presented an elaborate new correction for self-absorption of  $C^{14}$  which is based on a variable absorption coefficient that has been found empirically to decrease hyperbolically with the weight of the sample. We have for some time employed (2) a method for correction due to sample absorption of  $C^{14}$  (and other weak  $\beta$ -emitters) that is extremely simple and requires no knowledge of the weights of samples, the variability

of absorption with weight of sample, geometrical conditions, or other factors. The method requires only the counting of a duplicate sample to which is added, before drying, a known quantity of a standard solution of the  $C^{14}$ -labeled compound being assayed, the added  $C^{14}$  activity being *essentially carrier-free compared to the weight of the unknown sample*.

If  $U$  and  $S$  represent the number of microcuries of  $C^{14}$  present in the unknown sample and the added standard, respectively,  $A$  is the counting rate of the unknown sample and  $B$  is the counting rate of the duplicate unknown sample containing the added known quantity of  $S$ , then

$$cU = A$$

$$cU + cS = B$$

where  $c$  is the counting rate per microcurie of  $C^{14}$  under the particular conditions of assay and is the same for both samples. These equations permit determination of the  $C^{14}$  content of the unknown sample in absolute units (microcuries) if that of the standard is known. However, it is frequently necessary to know only the relative  $C^{14}$  contents of different unknown samples or the  $C^{14}$  content in terms of a standard. Then

$$U = [A/(B - A)] S$$

and unknown samples of varying and undetermined weights may readily be assayed relative to the standard and to each other without further correction for absorption in the samples.

To avoid random errors of small differences, the amount of  $S$  added should be at least as great as, and preferably much greater than, that expected in the unknown sample. For greater accuracy a third sample may be prepared in which the amount of added  $S$  is doubled.

It is not essential that the standard  $C^{14}$  compound be identical with that in the unknown sample if the two compounds do not sediment out differently during the plating procedure. This requirement is easily tested.

The method is also applicable to liquid scintillation counting with a single modification. Here, equal volumes of solvent, with and without standard, are added to duplicate samples of the unknown to provide for equal quenching in both samples.

SOLOMON A. BERSON

ROSALYN S. YALOW

Radioisotope Service, Veterans  
Administration Hospital,  
Bronx, New York

#### References

1. R. W. Hendler, *Science* 130, 772 (1959).
2. S. A. Berson, S. Weisenfeld, M. Pascullo, *Diabetes* 8, 116 (1959).

8 October 1959

## A Growth-Stimulating Factor for an Epithelial Cell Line in a Reduced Serum Medium

**Abstract.** A concentrate obtained from heated solutions of glucose and phosphate demonstrated a stimulatory effect upon epithelial cells cultured in vitro. Survival, attachment to glass, and early cell division were influenced favorably.

A number of references have been made concerning the catalysis of biologically active substances in heated solutions containing glucose (1). The activity of this heat-catalyzed substance(s) has generally been described as one of growth stimulation or more rapid spore germination. All of the existing references reported upon results obtained with microorganisms, but the activity covered such a rather large group of bacteria, yeasts, and molds that it seemed worth while to see whether a similar result could be obtained in tissue cultures in which mammalian epithelial cells were the test system.

The problem was simplified somewhat because a concentrate of the active material was available from a previous study (2). This bacteriologically active concentrate was tested and was found to produce measurable decreases in the generation time of mammalian cells up to 8 days in culture. This effect was most pronounced when moderately small inocula were used and when the serum concentration was reduced below the optimum concentration.

The concentrate, designated GP factor, was isolated from a solution containing 150 gm of glucose, 20.1 gm of  $K_2HPO_4$  and 9.9 gm of  $KH_2PO_4$  in 1500 ml of demineralized water. The solution was autoclaved for 20 minutes at 15 lb pressure, cooled, and absorbed with 10 gm of acid-washed Norit A for 12 hours. The charcoal was then washed successively with water and methyl alcohol until the filtrate was colorless. The active factor was then eluted from the charcoal with a 40 : 60 pyridine-water solution. Vacuum distillation of this eluate yielded a dark brown, pyridine-free residue, which was resuspended in 20 ml of water and filter-sterilized for testing.

An inoculum of about 10,000 cells per milliliter was used in these tests and was taken from glass-grown cultures of HELA (original) cells. The cells were removed from the glass by scraping with a rubber policeman, trypsinized for even suspension, washed twice by centrifugation in basal salt solution, and then added to cultures containing varying amounts of horse serum. The inoculum size was determined by whole cell counts in a hemacytometer. The cells were examined at selected time