

Book Reviews

A Diderot Pictorial Encyclopedia of Trades and Industry. Manufacturing and the technical arts in plates selected from *L'Encyclopédie, ou Dictionnaire Raisonné des Sciences, des Arts et des Métiers* of Denis Diderot. Edited with an introduction by Charles Coulston Gillispie. Dover, New York, 1959. 2 vols. xxx pp. + 485 plates. \$18.50.

Ever since scholarship has flourished, its ogre and goddess has been "the literature." The 17th century saw the birth of the scientific journal, the invention of the device of the scientific paper, and the cumulative growth of the sciences whose accretion was facilitated by this means. The 19th century saw the advent of the abstracting journals whose function it was to provide a palliative for the flood of reading matter which was even then beginning to overpower the man at the research front.

Between these periods, in the 18th century, came the era of the encyclopedia, the consolidation of the corpus of knowledge. The world had had its encyclopedists since classical times and through the Middle Ages, but during the 18th century the spread of printing and the urge toward rational systematization

produced efforts of a new order of magnitude. A surprisingly large number of these early encyclopedias have survived through many editions and are still with us. Head and shoulders above all the others, especially in its treatment of science and technology, was the *Encyclopédie* of Diderot.

It is well known how devastatingly effective Diderot's writings were as propaganda for his liberal ideology. His most ingenious scheme, however, was to make the Baconian examination of the trades, arts, and technologies in the *Encyclopédie* carry the ideology with it. By methodically examining and recording the tools and methods, the trades and machines, he dignified the craftsmen and emphasized the technological implications of a science that had previously been dominated by mathematics—a study which was the province of only the few, not accessible to the multitude.

Out of these circumstances, of "the literature" and the Enlightenment, came the *Encyclopédie*. Its preoccupation with science and technology was served superbly well by the art of the copperplate. In all, the *Encyclopédie* and its *Supplement* included 12 volumes containing 3129 plates. These constitute an entire "science museum" of illustrations—a museum containing panoramas of each sort of workshop and industry, with exhibits showing each device in such meticulous detail that we could reproduce it completely. By dipping into these large volumes of plates one can visit this frozen museum and recapture almost anything one seeks from the period.

This outstanding archive of illustration has long been familiar to those concerned with the history of science and technology. It provides that sort of pleasurable experience in which the hours slip by as one browses deeper into sections utterly irrelevant to one's immediate purpose. The complete set is far too rare, too costly, too large for most people to have on their own bookshelves. Even a reprinting of the volumes of plates alone would have been prohibitive in cost. The next best thing was to

make a selection of the more appealing plates. That is what we have here—a choice of about one plate in six from the main work. This is the type of book which publishers say has "real estate value." One needs to own it and have it on the shelves for browsing and occasional use.

One cannot pretend that this selection will be very useful to scholars. Anyone who needs to refer to the *Encyclopédie* must have the full quota of plates and the original text. It is evident, however, that these two volumes will introduce many students and amateurs to a work that might otherwise rest unseen in the rare book room of the library. For this purpose the very well-written editorial introduction is most fitting, though the detailed notes on trades and on individual plates tend to be minimal. Probably, however, Gillispie has shown his usual good taste here; the main thing is the plates. One wishes only to know what they illustrate, not to have a lecture on each technology and trade.

The selection of plates for the anthology was based on artistic value and human interest. This seems a reasonable basis of choice, and to concentrate on the trades and industry side of the *Encyclopédie* seems reasonable too. I would, however, have liked to see science also represented in the selection through inclusion of a few pictures of scientific instruments and apparatus.

Technically the reproduction seems good (if one does not lay these volumes next to the originals), though the brilliance of the copperplates might have been better preserved by using a less yellow paper and thus increasing the contrast. The volumes are handsome, and one must give great credit to Dover Publications for instigating this lavish effort as a complement to the revolution they have been effecting in more workaday areas in the book-using habits of scientists.

DEREK J. DE SOLLA PRICE

*School of Historical Studies,
Institute for Advanced Study*

The Evolution of North America. Philip B. King. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1959. xvii + 190 pp. Illus. \$7.50.

This volume is a very notable production by Philip B. King, a principal geologist on the staff of the U.S. Geological Survey. It is the outcome of the author's long interest in the regional




Fig. 1. An obsolete assault tower (plate 74 of the *Diderot Encyclopedia*). Notice that "battering ram" is a metaphor taken literally by the military engineers of early times.

geology of North America. The emphasis is on the development of the structure of the outer shell of North America, rather than on its stratigraphy, its paleontology, or the origin of its land forms.

The book deals, in the main, with southern Canada and the United States (exclusive of Alaska), because that portion of North America is geologically best known. Moreover, regions that are thought to illustrate principles of continental evolution or stages in that evolution have been selected. The author, therefore, warns the reader that he is likely to discover that some favorite area of his is not discussed.

The book comprises nine chapters. Each chapter closes with a short list of references, mainly of the more recent literature. These lists are not exhaustive but "represent reading in which the author himself found pleasure, instruction, or stimulus."

The idea that the North American continent has undergone a steady evolution dates back to James D. Dana. "The scheme of progress even to minor details dates from the beginning. . . . Tracing out the development of the American continent from these Archean beginnings, is one of the main purposes of geological history." In short, Dana's hypothesis was that the continents, beginning from old nuclei, grew by successive additions, on their borders, of folded geosynclinal belts more and more recent in age. This idea is still widely held. King's view, which is similar, is given briefly in chapter 1 (pages 7-8); it is that the continental plate was built outward from a nucleus over the original underlying layers of basic rock ("sima"). However, the idea of an evolutionary growth of North America is not developed *in extenso* or presented in chronologic sequence.

In contrast, Kuenen in 1950 bluntly stated that the widely held idea on continental growth "does not meet the facts." The folding of a geosynclinal tract, according to Kuenen, instead of enlarging a continent, actually thickens and narrows a belt that already belongs to the continent. Such opposing hypotheses manifestly require further examination.

In a remarkably frank statement in the preface of the book King declares that the work is not "a textbook in the usual sense. . . . It is avowedly 'slanted' in directions of his [King's] current thinking, and contains willful prejudices and outrageous hypotheses, some of which may not stand the test of time. These prejudices and hypotheses would cer-

tainly corrupt the tender minds of undergraduate students and uninitiated laymen as no textbook should do." To ameliorate this harsh judgment, which implies a complete negation of the scientific method, it is but fair to point out that *outrageous hypotheses* is used in the William Morris Davis sense of meaning hypotheses that were considered completely unreasonable but eventually became orthodox doctrine [*Science* 63, 463 (1926)].

In conclusion, geologists will find this volume, within the limitations indicated, to be a remarkably interesting and valuable synthesis of the regional geology of North America.

ADOLPH KNOPF

*Department of Geology,
Stanford University*

The House of Intellect. Jacques Barzun. Harper, New York, 1959. viii + 276 pp. \$5.

It is fashionable to feel uneasy about education and to have a sense of disquiet about the status of educators, scholars, and intellectuals. College presidents are coming out of their mendicant stoop and looking their constituency in the eye. Scientists speak up, are heard, and get money. *The House of Intellect*, by Jacques Barzun, is good medicine for the uneasy minds and for the self-confident ones.

His "Intellectual"—aggressively capitalized—is not simply the educator or the scholar. He is broadly defined through comparison with the artist and the scientist. More specifically he is the critical, discriminating, and clarifying mind, not imprisoned within a narrow speciality but with access to the broad fields of human knowledge. For different reasons the artist, the scientist, and the professional specialist are enemies of, or obstacles to, "the Intellect." The artist is the enemy of the Intellect because of such things as art's revulsion from words, from coherence, and from a normal and clear portrayal of the real world. Thus, art provides imprecision in language and distortion in thought and lets good taste and discrimination founder in inconsistent, arbitrary, and eccentric forms of expression. Science is the enemy of the Intellect because it has broken up the unity of knowledge and has favored a high-walled disciplinary separateness. Finally, the pervasive American spirit of philanthropy—which is the parent of mass education, of the right of equal

entry for other forms of knowledge into education—is the enemy of the Intellect because it corrupts judgment, makes it difficult to insist on quality and success as established by rigorous standards of measurement.

Each reader will find his own favorite chapters in this aggressively written book. Two chapters, "Education without instruction" and "Instruction without authority," are required reading for all who seek some of the explanations of why American education may be failing to meet the challenge by which it is faced. The underpayment of the teacher and the underemployment of the pupil are only the first of these.

Each reader will also find cause for irritation and disagreement. Barzun is clearly unfair to the scientist in failing to recognize that some of the characteristics of new scientific fields, especially the submicroscopic ones in biology and physics, do not lend themselves to easy communication. In these, essential understanding is available only to small, specially trained corps of experts. Sometimes Barzun descends from helpful criticism to mere faculty-club chitchat without seeming to notice the difference. There are statements on the letting of scientific research contracts that are simply not true.

This is a book of nuggets; the reader must do a lot of his own panning to get them out, but it is worth while.

C. W. DE KIEWIET

University of Rochester

Progress in Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry. vol. 8. J. A. V. Butler and B. Katz, Eds. Pergamon, New York, 1957. viii + 409 pp. Illus. \$17.50.

Volume 8 in this series will be as useful as the previous volumes to specialists and advanced research workers in physiology. One must agree with the editors of this informative collection that here is something for all tastes. Among the best chapters are one by R. H. Smith on the biosynthesis of connective tissue components, which emphasizes the important role of ascorbic acid in the formation of collagen, and one by F. O. Schmitt and N. Geschwind on axon surfaces and the problem of neuronal junctions. Likewise, I. C. Whitfield has contributed a superb summary of the physiology of hearing. But other sections do not meet the same standard of organization.

It is, of course, understandable that