second, as to the composition of such a Department. A Commission of governmental and nongovernmental experts in science and nonscience areas, similar to a Hoover Commission . . . , might consider these problems, and especially the second phase."

This proposal, which had the support of almost all of the witnesses at the hearings (even that of some who were opposed to establishment of a Department of Science) was taken up by the Senate committee and drafted into a bill. Those who did not support the proposal were the witnesses for the administration who had been opposed to the Department of Science bill. The principal administration spokesmen were Alan T. Waterman, director of the National Science Foundation, and William F. Finan, assistant director for management and organization at the Bureau of the Budget. Waterman suggested that such a commission would be premature in view of the fact that insufficient time has passed to allow full evaluation of new government agencies such as the Federal Council for Science and Technology. He said that there should be a trial period of 1 or 2 years for assessment of the work of such agencies before any study preliminary to setting up a new department is made. The Budget Bureau's spokesman offered two main points in support of his opposition. First, he stated that any new department should be established around an appropriate "major purpose." "Science and technology," he said, "cannot be said to constitute a major purpose of Government." The second objection was based on the Bureau's belief that setting up a commission would be a waste of time and money because the case for a new department would prove to be untenable.

These views did not sit well with the committee. "The inevitable conclusion," the committee report states, "was reached that it is the desire of the present administration to continue to center within the Executive Office of the President all control over civilian science operations." The report ended with a recommendation that a Department of Science Commission, as called for in the committee bill, be established.

The chances that such a commission will be established are much better than they normally would be, according to observers, because of the supplemental issue of congressional access to Executive information and personnel. If Senator Humphrey, who is known for his zeal, succeeds in alerting his fellow members to the issue, the bill calling for a Department of Science Commission may be approved by both House and Senate before the 86th Congress adjourns next year.

### **Radiation Study Delayed**

A government study on radiation protection, described as "well under way" on 3 April, has yet to be completed. Authorities working on the study, which is designed to determine how the government can best be organized to monitor fallout, have set no date for its completion. Participating in the study, which President Eisenhower ordered, are three top governmental officials—John A. McCone, chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission; Arthur Flemming, Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare; and Maurice Stans, director of the Budget Bureau.

One of the principal issues to be decided is whether the major responsibility for radiation protection should continue to be exercised by the Atomic Energy Commission or be transferred to the Public Health Service, a unit of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. When the study is completed, Stans, who is directing it, will submit recommendations to the President for organizing the governmental activities for radiological protection.

# New AAAS Newsletter

The first issue of a new AAAS bulletin, titled *Science Education News*, was distributed last month to members of the scientific community. Publication of the six-page bulletin, which is to be issued quarterly, is an activity of the Science Teaching Improvement Pregram conducted by the association with the support of the Carnegie Corporation.

Charlotte Colton of Washington, formerly associated with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, in the capacity of science editor, has been appointed editor of the newsletter. She will be assisted by an advisory board of contributing editors, representative of various scientific societies. It is the present plan of the advisory board to devote each quarterly issue to a special phase of science education. This first issue, however, is more general in nature and includes editorial statements written by the contributing editors on the needs in science education and the reasons why their respective societies are involved in extensive education programs. The fall issue probably will be devoted to teachereducation programs. Other topics suggested for later issues are the use of moving pictures and television, curriculum studies, and visiting-lectureship programs. In addition to key articles on a particular theme, each issue will contain brief announcements and notices on science education.

### **Immortals of Science**

Final selection of the world's 25 "Immortals of Science," whose names will be permanently inscribed on the Science Wall of Honor at the University of Bridgeport, has been announced by James H. Halsey, president of the university. The names of the 25 scientists selected will be permanently inscribed on the limestone walls of the university's \$1,400,000 Charles A. Dana Hall of Science, now under construction. The building will be completed in January of 1960.

Selected as "Immortals of Science" and listed in chronological order are: Hippocrates, 460–357 B.C.; Aristotle, 384–322 B.C.; Euclid, 330–275 B.C.; Archimedes, 287–212 B.C.; Leonardo da Vinci, A.D. 1452–1519; Nicolaus Copernicus, 1473–1543; Galileo Galilei, 1564– 1642; Johannes Kepler, 1571–1630; Sir William Harvey, 1578–1657; René Descartes, 1596–1650; Robert Boyle, 1627– 1691; Sir Isaac Newton, 1642–1727; Joseph Priestley, 1733–1804; Antoine Laurent Lavoisier, 1743–1794; Karl Friedrich Gauss, 1777–1855; and Michael Faraday, 1791–1867.

Also, Charles R. Darwin, 1809–1882; Gregor J. Mendel, 1822–1884; Louis Pasteur, 1822–1895; James C. Maxwell, 1831–1879; Robert Koch, 1843–1910; Wilhelm K. Roentgen, 1845–1923; Max K. E. L. Planck, 1858–1947; Marja Sklodowska Curie, 1867–1934; and Lord Ernest Rutherford, 1871–1937.

Newton polled the most votes in the world-wide election; he was followed closely by Pasteur. Galilei, Darwin, M. Curie, Archimedes, Copernicus, Faraday, Mendel, and Aristotle complete the list of the ten scientists who received the most ballots.

The rules for making nominations for the Science Wall of Honor specified that recognition in all instances would be limited to accomplishments in the fields of natural science—not in philosophy, history, or the social sciences. The scientist must have made a fundamental discovery regarding the laws of nature or have been responsible for an invention not based on a previously known fundamental law of nature. Discoveries were to be weighed in accordance with their general value to mankind and their contribution to man's fund of knowledge. Ballots were cast by 1116 electors from a total of 49 foreign countries and from each of the 50 states of the United States, in the world-wide election.

#### Bohr Speaks at

#### Laboratory Dedication

Niels Bohr, the Danish nuclear physicist, was the principal speaker at the dedication, 25 June, of General Dynamics Corporation's new John Jay Hopkins laboratory of pure and applied science, outside San Diego, Calif. In a short address, Bohr spoke of the interaction between technology and science and of the technological demands that atomic physics has made. He said that the new laboratory has "most promising prospects" of meeting these demands.

The laboratory, which is located on a 300-acre site just north of San Diego, has, in addition to the standard research center buildings, a building to house its reactor, a linear accelerator, a fusion research center, and a "hot cell" facility. In all, there are approximately 100 laboratories in the group. In a demonstration at the dedication ceremonies, the power output of the reactor, located at the north end of the site, was increased from 1 watt to 1 million kilowatts in less than 0.1 second. The actual flash lasted approximately 0.015 second. The self-regulating feature of the reactor's fuel-moderator elements of uranium and zirconium hydride immediately reduced the power to normal operating levels.

Among the many messages read at the

ceremony was one from President Eisenhower, in which he sent congratulations to General Dynamics and continued:

"I hope that your new laboratory will be the home of many original and challenging ideas, concepts and products, and that it will fully realize the great potential you visualize for it."

## Scripps Vessel Sails for 2-Year Study

The research vessel Stranger of Scripps Institution of Oceanography has sailed for Thailand, where the institute will conduct a 2-year oceanographic program under the auspices of the Thai and Vietnam governments and the U.S. International Cooperation Administration. The ship will be based in Bangkok. The scientific leader of the expedition is Anton Brunn, Danish zoologist, who was leader of the Danish round-the-world Galathea



Aerial view of General Dynamics Corporation's John Jay Hopkins laboratory for pure and applied science, San Diego, California. The new facility, named for the corporation's founder, was dedicated 25 June. 17 JULY 1959 151