

A Case History in Biological Research

Chance and the exchange of ideas played roles in the
discovery that genes control biochemical events.

Edward L. Tatum

In casting around for a new approach, I considered that much of biochemical genetics has been and will be covered by George Beadle and Joshua Lederberg, and in many symposia and reviews in which many aspects have been and will be considered in greater detail and with greater competence than I can hope to present them here. It occurred to me that perhaps it might be instructive, valuable, and interesting to use an approach which I have attempted to define by the title "A case history in biological research."

In the development of this case history I hope to point out some of the factors involved in all research—specifically, the dependence of scientific progress on knowledge and concepts provided by investigators, past and present, all over the world; on the free interchange of ideas within the international scientific community; on the hybrid vigor resulting from cross-fertilization between disciplines; and last but not least, on chance, geographical proximity, and opportunity. I would like, finally, to

complete this case history with a brief discussion of the present status of the field and a prognosis of possible developments.

Under the circumstances, I hope I will be forgiven if this presentation is given from a personal viewpoint. After graduating from the University of Wisconsin in chemistry, I was fortunate in having the opportunity to do graduate work in biochemistry and microbiology at that university under the direction and leadership of W. H. Peterson and E. B. Fred. At that time, in the early 1930's, one of the exciting areas being opened concerned the so-called "growth factors" for microorganisms, for the most part as yet mysterious and unidentified. I became deeply involved in this field and was fortunate to be able, in collaboration with H. G. Wood, then visiting at Wisconsin, to identify one of the required growth factors for propionic acid bacteria as the recently synthesized vitamin B₁ or thiamine (1). This was before the universality of the need for the B vitamins, and the enzymatic basis of this requirement, had been clearly defined. Lwoff and Knight had already envisaged a correlation of the need of microorganisms for "growth factors" with failure of synthesis and had correlated this failure with evolution, particularly in relation to the complex environment of "fastidious" pathogenic microorganisms.

However, the tendency at this time was to consider "growth factors" to be highly individual requirements, peculiar to particular strains or species of microorganisms isolated from nature, and variation of microorganisms in these respects was not generally considered to be related to gene mutation and variation in higher organisms. Actually, my ignorance of and naïveté about genetics was probably typical of most biochemists and microbiologists of the time, my only contact with genetic concepts having been a course primarily on vertebrate evolution.

After completing graduate work at Wisconsin I was fortunate in being able to spend a year studying at the University of Utrecht with F. Kögl, the discoverer of the growth factor biotin, and to work in the same laboratory with Nils Fries, who already had made significant contributions in the field of nutrition and growth of fungi.

At this time Beadle was just moving to Stanford University and invited me, as a biochemist, to join him in the further study of the eye-color hormones of *Drosophila*, which he and Ephrussi in their work at the California Institute of Technology and in Paris had so brilliantly established as diffusible products of gene-controlled reactions. During this, my first contact with modern genetic concepts, as a consequence of a number of factors—the observation of Khouvine, Ephrussi, and Chevais (2) in Paris that dietary tryptophan was concerned with the production of eye-color hormone in *Drosophila*, our studies on the nutrition of *Drosophila* in aseptic culture (3), and the chance contamination of one of our cultures of *Drosophila* with a particular bacterium—we were able to isolate the *v*⁺ hormone in crystalline state from a bacterial culture supplied with tryptophan (4) and, with A. J. Haagen-Smit, to identify it as kynurenine (5), originally isolated by Kotake and later structurally identified correctly by Butenandt. It has since been recognized that kynurenine occupies a central position in tryptophan metabolism in many organisms other than insects, including mammals and fungi.

Dr. Tatum is a member of the staff of the Rockefeller Institute. This article is the lecture which he delivered in Stockholm, Sweden, on 11 December 1958, when he received the Nobel prize in medicine and physiology, a prize which he shared with George W. Beadle and Joshua Lederberg. It is published by permission of the Nobel Foundation. Dr. Beadle's lecture appears on page 1715 of this issue. Dr. Lederberg's lecture will be published in a subsequent issue.

Work with *Neurospora*

At about this time, as the result of many discussions and considerations of the general biological applicability of chemical genetic concepts, and stimulated by the wealth of potentialities among the microorganisms and by their variation in nature with respect to nutritional requirements, we began our work with the mold *Neurospora crassa*.

I shall not enumerate the factors involved in our selection of this organism for the production of chemical or nutritionally deficient mutants but must take this opportunity to reiterate our indebtedness to the previous basic findings of a number of investigators. Foremost among these was B. O. Dodge, who established this ascomycete as a most suitable organism for genetic studies (6); and C. C. Lindegren (7), who became interested in *Neurospora* through T. H. Morgan, a close friend of Dodge.

Our use of *Neurospora* for chemical genetic studies would also have been much more difficult, if not impossible, without synthetic biotin, available as a result of the work of Kögl (8) and du Vigneaud (9). In addition, the investigations of Nils Fries on the nutrition of *Ascomycetes* (10) were most helpful, as is shown by the fact that the synthetic minimal medium used with *Neurospora* for many years was that described by him, supplemented only with biotin; it has ordinarily been referred to since then as "Fries medium." It should also be pointed out that the experimental feasibility of producing the desired nutritionally deficient mutant strains depended on the early pioneering work of Roentgen, with x-rays, and on that of H. J. Muller, on the mutagenic effect of x-rays and ultraviolet light on *Drosophila*. All that was needed was to put these various facts and findings together to produce in the laboratory, with irradiation, nutritionally deficient (auxotrophic) mutant strains of *Neurospora* and to show that each single deficiency produced was associated with the mutation of a single gene (11).

Having thus successfully tested with *Neurospora* the basic premise that the biochemical processes concerned with the synthesis of essential cell constituents are gene-controlled, and alterable as a consequence of gene mutation, we felt that it would be a desirable and natural step to carry this approach to the bacteria, in which so many and such varied naturally occurring growth-factor requirements were known, to see whether

analogous nutritional deficiencies followed exposure of the bacteria to radiation. As is known to all of you, the first mutants of this type were successfully produced in *Acetobacter* and in *Escherichia coli* (12), and the first step had been taken in bringing the bacteria into the fold of organisms suitable for genetic study.

Coincidence and Chance

Now, to point out some of the curious coincidences or twists of fate involved in science: One of the first series of mutants in *Neurospora* which was studied intensively from the biochemical viewpoint was that concerned with the biosynthesis of tryptophan. In connection with the role of indole as a precursor of tryptophan, we wanted also to study the reverse process, the breakdown of tryptophan to indole, a reaction typical of the bacterium *E. coli*. For this purpose we obtained, from the bacteriology department at Stanford, a typical *E. coli* culture, designated K-12. Naturally, this strain was later used for the mutation experiments just described, and a variety of biochemically marked mutant strains of *E. coli* K-12 were soon available. Esther Zimmer, who later became Esther Lederberg, assisted in the production and isolation of these mutant strains.

Another interesting coincidence is that F. J. Ryan spent some time on leave from Columbia University at Stanford, working with *Neurospora*. Shortly after I moved to Yale University in 1945, Ryan encouraged Lederberg, then a medical student at Columbia who had worked with Ryan on *Neurospora*, to spend some time with me at Yale University. As all of you know, Lederberg was successful in showing genetic recombination between mutant strains of *E. coli* K-12 (13) and never returned to medical school but continued his brilliant work on bacterial recombination at Wisconsin. In any case, the first demonstration of a process analogous to a sexual process in bacteria was successful only because of the clear-cut nature of the genetic markers available, which permitted detection of this very rare event, and because of the combination of circumstances which had provided those selective markers in one of the rare strains of *E. coli* capable of recombination. In summing up this portion of this case history, then, I wish to emphasize again the role that coincidence and

chance played in the sequence of developments, but yet more strongly to acknowledge the even greater contributions of my close friends and associates, Beadle and Lederberg, with whom it is a rare privilege and honor to share this award.

Basic Concepts

Now for a brief and necessarily somewhat superficial mention of some of the problems and areas of biology to which these relatively simple experiments with *Neurospora* have led and contributed. First, however, let us review the basic concepts involved in this work. Essentially these are (i) that all biochemical processes in all organisms are under genic control; (ii) that these over-all biochemical processes are resolvable into a series of individual stepwise reactions; (iii) that each single reaction is controlled in a primary fashion by a single gene—or, in other terms, in every case a 1:1 correspondence of gene and biochemical reaction exists, such that (iv) mutation of a single gene results only in an alteration in the ability of the cell to carry out a single primary chemical reaction. As has repeatedly been stated, the underlying hypothesis, which in a number of cases has been supported by direct experimental evidence, is that each gene controls the production, function, and specificity of a particular enzyme.

Important experimental implications of these relations are that each and every biochemical reaction in a cell of any organism, from a bacterium to man, is theoretically alterable by gene mutation, and that each such mutant cell strain differs in only one primary way from the nonmutant parental strain. It is probably unnecessary to point out that these experimental expectations have been amply supported by the production and isolation, by many investigators during the last 15 or more years, of biochemical mutant strains of microorganisms in almost every species tried—bacteria, yeasts, algae, and fungi.

Biochemical Mutants

It is certainly unnecessary for me to do more than point out that mutant strains such as those produced and isolated first in *Neurospora* and *Escherichia coli* have been of primary utility as genetic markers in detecting and eluci-

dating the details of the often exotic mechanisms of genetic recombination of microorganisms.

Similarly, it seems superfluous even to mention the proven usefulness of mutant strains of microorganisms in unraveling the detailed steps involved in the biosynthesis of vital cellular constituents. I would like to list, however, a few of the biosynthetic sequences and biochemical interrelationships which owe their discovery and elucidation largely to the use of biochemical mutants. These include the synthesis of the aromatic amino acids via dehydroshikimic and shikimic acids (14), by way of prephenic acid to phenylalanine (15), and by way of anthranilic acid, indole glycerol phosphate (16), and condensation of indole with serine to give tryptophan (17); the conversion of tryptophan via kynurenine and 3-hydroxyanthranilic acid to niacin (18); the biosynthesis of histidine (19); the biosynthesis of isoleucine and valine via the analogous dihydroxy and keto acids (20); the biosynthesis of proline and ornithine from glutamic acid (21); and the synthesis of pyrimidines via orotic acid (22).

If the postulated relationship of gene to enzyme is correct, several consequences can be predicted. First, mutation should result in the production of a changed protein, which might be enzymatically inactive, might have intermediate activity, or might have otherwise detectably altered physical properties. The production of such proteins, changed, in respect to heat stability, enzymatic activity, or other properties such as activation energy, by mutant strains, has indeed been demonstrated in a number of instances (23). Recognition of the molecular bases of these changes must await detailed comparison of their structures with those of the normal enzyme, by means of techniques similar to the elegant methods of Sanger. That the primary effect of gene mutation may be as simple as the substitution of a single amino acid by another and may lead to profound secondary changes in protein structure and properties has recently been strongly indicated by the work of Ingram on hemoglobin (24). It seems inevitable that induced mutant strains of microorganisms will play a most important part in providing material for the further examination of these problems.

A second consequence of the postulated relationship stems from the concept that the genetic constitution defines the potentialities of the cell, the

time and degree of expression of these potentialities being to a certain extent modifiable by the cellular environment. The analysis of this type of secondary control at the biochemical level is one of the important and exciting new areas of biochemistry. This deals with the regulation and integration of biochemical reactions by means of feedback mechanisms restricting the synthesis or activities of enzymes (25) and, through substrate induced biosynthesis of enzymes (26). It seems probable that some gene mutations may affect biochemical activities at this level (modifiers and suppressors) and that chemical mutants will prove of great value in the analysis of the details of such control mechanisms.

An equally fascinating newer area of genetics, opened by Benzer (27) with bacteriophage, is that of the detailed correlation of fine structure of the gene in terms of mutation and recombination with fine structure in terms of activity. Biochemical mutants of microorganisms have recently opened this area to investigation at two levels of organization of genetic material. The higher level relates to the genetic linkage of nonallelic genes concerned with sequential biosynthetic reactions. This has been shown by Demerec and by Hartman in the biosynthesis of tryptophan and histidine by *Salmonella* (28).

At a finer level of organization of genetic material, the biological versatility of *Neurospora* in forming heterocaryotic cells has permitted the demonstration (29) that genes damaged by mutation in different areas, within the same locus and controlling the same enzyme, complement each other in a heterocaryon in such a way that synthesis of enzymatically active protein is restored, perhaps in a manner analogous to the reconstitution of ribonuclease from its *a* and *b* constituents, by the production in the cytoplasm of an active protein from two gene products defective in different areas. This phenomenon of complementation, which appears also to take place in *Aspergillus* (30), permits the mapping of genetic fine structure in terms of function and should lead to further information on the mechanism of enzyme production and clarification of the role of the gene in enzyme synthesis.

The concepts of biochemical genetics have already been, and will undoubtedly continue to be, significant in broader areas of biology. Let me cite a few examples from microbiology and medicine.

Microbial Genetics and Antibiotics

In microbiology the roles of mutation and selection in evolution are coming to be better understood through the use of bacterial cultures of mutant strains. In more immediately practical ways, mutation has proven of primary importance in the improvement of yields of important antibiotics; the classic example is penicillin, the yield of which has gone up from around 40 units per milliliter of culture shortly after its discovery by Fleming to somewhat over 4000 units as the result of a long series of experimentally produced mutational steps. On the other side of the coin, the mutational origin of antibiotic-resistant microorganisms is of definite medical significance. The therapeutic use of massive doses of antibiotics to reduce the numbers of bacteria which by mutation could develop resistance is a direct consequence of the application of genetic concepts. So is the increasing use for therapeutic purposes of two antibiotics in combination; resistance to both of them would require the simultaneous mutation of two independent characters.

Microbial Genetics and Mammalian Cells

As an important example of the application of these same concepts of microbial genetics to mammalian cells, we may cite the probable mutational origin of resistance to chemotherapeutic agents in leukemic cells (31) and the increasing and effective use of two or more chemotherapeutic agents simultaneously in the treatment of this disease. In this connection it should be pointed out that the most effective chemotherapeutic agents in cancer so far found are those which interfere with deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis, and that more detailed information on the biochemical steps involved in this synthesis is making possible a more rational design of such agents. Parenthetically, I want to emphasize the analogy between the situation in a bacterial culture consisting of two or more cell types and that involved in the survival of a malignant cell, regardless of its origin, in a population of normal cells. Changes in the cellular environment such as are involved in chemotherapy would be expected to affect the metabolic efficiency of an altered cell, and hence to affect its growth characteristics. However, as in the operation of selection pressures in bacterial popu-

lations, based on the interaction between cell types, it would seem that the effects of chemotherapeutic agents on the efficiency of selection pressures among mammalian cell populations can be examined most effectively only in controlled mixed populations of the cell types concerned.

In other areas in cancer, the concepts of genetics are becoming increasingly important, both theoretically and practically. It seems probable that neoplastic changes are directly correlated with changes in the biochemistry of the cell. The relationships between deoxyribonucleic acid, ribonucleic acid, and enzymes which have been recognized during the last few decades lead one to look for the basic neoplastic change in one of these intimately interrelated hierarchies of cellular materials.

In relation to deoxyribonucleic acid, hereditary changes are now known to take place as a consequence of mutation, or of the introduction of new genetic material through virus infection (as in transduction) or directly (as in transformation). Although each of these related hereditary changes may theoretically be involved in cancer, definite evidence is available only for the role of viruses, stemming from the classic investigations of Rous on fowl sarcoma (32). At the ribonucleic acid level of genetic determination, any one of these classes of change might take place, as in the ribonucleic acid containing viruses, and result in a heritable change, perhaps of the cytoplasmic type, semiautonomous with respect to the gene. At the protein level, regulatory mechanisms determining gene activity and enzyme synthesis, as mentioned earlier, likewise provide promising areas for exploration.

Among the many exciting applications of microbial-genetic concepts and techniques to the problems of cancer, may I mention in addition the exploration by Klein (33) of the genetic basis of the immunological changes which distinguish the cancer cell from the normal cell and the studies of Puck (34) and of Eagle (35) on the culture, nutrition, morphology, and mutation of isolated normal and malignant mammalian cells. Such studies are basic to our exploration and to our eventual understanding of the origin and nature of the change to malignancy.

No matter what the origin of a cancer cell, however, and what the precise genetic level at which the primary change takes place, it is not too much to hope and expect eventually to be able to cor-

rect or alleviate the consequences of the metabolic defect, just as a closer understanding of a heritable metabolic defect in man makes possible the correction or alleviation of the defect. In terms of biochemical genetics, the consequences of a metabolic block may be rectified by dietary limitation of the precursor of an injurious accumulation product (aromatic amino acids in phenylketonuria) or by supply of the essential end product from without the cell, the specific blood protein in hemophilia, or a specific essential nutrient molecule such as a vitamin.

Predictions

I do not have space for more examples. Perhaps, however, I will be pardoned if I venture briefly to make a few more predictions and express some hopes for the future.

It does not seem unrealistic to expect that, as more is learned about control of cell machinery and heredity, we will see the complete conquest of many of man's ills, including hereditary defects in metabolism and the currently more obscure conditions such as cancer and the degenerative diseases, just as diseases of bacterial and viral etiology are now being conquered.

With a more complete understanding of the functioning and regulation of gene activity in development and differentiation, these processes may be more efficiently controlled and regulated, not only to exclude structural or metabolic errors in the developing organism but also to produce better organisms.

Perhaps within the lifetime of some of us, the code of life processes tied up in the molecular structure of proteins and nucleic acids will be broken. This may permit the improvement of all living organisms by processes which we might call biological engineering.

This might proceed in stages, from in vitro biosynthesis of better and more efficient enzymes to biosynthesis of the corresponding nucleic acid molecules, and to introduction of these molecules into the genome of organisms, either through injection, through introduction of viruses into germ cells, or through a process analogous to transformation. Alternatively, it may be possible to reach the same goal by a process involving directed mutation.

As a biologist, and more particularly as a geneticist, I have great faith in the versatility of the gene and of living organisms in providing the material with

which to meet the challenges of life at any level. Selection, survival, and evolution take place in response to environmental pressures of all kinds, including sociological and intellectual. In the larger view, the dangerous and often poorly understood and poorly controlled forces of modern civilization, including atomic energy and its attendant hazards, are but more complex and more sophisticated environmental challenges of life. If man cannot meet those challenges, in a biological sense he is not fit to survive.

However, it may confidently be hoped that, with real understanding of the roles of heredity and environment and with the resulting improvement in man's physical capacities and greater freedom from physical disease, will come an improvement in his approach to, and understanding of, sociological and economic problems. As in any area of scientific research, a problem clearly seen is already half solved. Hence, a renaissance may be foreseen, in which the major sociological problems will be solved and mankind will take a big stride towards the state of world brotherhood and mutual trust and well-being envisaged by Alfred Nobel.

References

1. E. L. Tatum, H. G. Wood, W. H. Peterson, *Biochem. J.* 30, 1898 (1936).
2. Y. Khouvine, B. Ephrussi, S. Chevais, *Biol. Bull.* 75, 425 (1938).
3. E. L. Tatum, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.* 27, 193 (1941).
4. — and G. W. Beadle, *Science* 91, 458 (1940).
5. E. L. Tatum and A. J. Haagen-Smit, *J. Biol. Chem.* 140, 575 (1941).
6. B. O. Dodge, *J. Agr. Research* 35, 289 (1927).
7. C. C. Lindegren, *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club* 59, 85 (1932).
8. F. Kögl, *Ber. deut. chem. Ges.* 68, 16 (1935).
9. V. du Vigneaud, *Science* 96, 455 (1942).
10. N. Fries, *Symbolae Botan. Upsalienses* 3, 1 (1938).
11. G. W. Beadle and E. L. Tatum, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.* 27, 499 (1941).
12. E. L. Tatum, *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia Quant. Biol.* 11, 278 (1946).
13. J. Lederberg and E. L. Tatum, *Nature* 158, 558 (1946).
14. B. D. Davis, in W. D. McElroy and H. B. Glass, Eds., *A Symposium on Amino Acid Metabolism* (Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, 1955), p. 799; E. L. Tatum, S. R. Gross, G. Ehrensward, L. Garnjobst, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.* 40, 271 (1954).
15. R. L. Metzenberg and H. K. Mitchell, *Biochem. J.* 68, 168 (1958).
16. C. Yanofsky, *J. Biol. Chem.* 224, 783 (1957).
17. E. L. Tatum and D. M. Bonner, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.* 30, 30 (1944).
18. D. Bonner, *ibid.* 34, 5 (1948); H. K. Mitchell and J. F. Nyc, *ibid.* 34, 1 (1948).
19. B. N. Ames, in W. D. McElroy and H. B. Glass, Eds., *A Symposium on Amino Acid Metabolism* (Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, 1955).
20. E. A. Adelberg, *J. Bacteriol.* 61, 365 (1951).
21. H. J. Vogel, in W. D. McElroy and H. B. Glass, Eds., *A Symposium on Amino Acid Metabolism* (Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, 1955).
22. H. K. Mitchell, M. B. Honlahan, J. F. Nyc, *J. Biol. Chem.* 172, 525 (1948).
23. W. K. Maas and B. D. Davis, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.* 38, 785 (1952); N. H. Horowitz and M. Fling, *Genetics* 38, 360 (1953); T. Yura and H. J. Vogel, *Biochim. et Bio-*

phys. Acta 17, 582 (1955); J. R. S. Fincham, *Biochem. J.* 65, 721 (1957); D. R. Suskind and L. I. Kurek, *Science* 126, 1068 (1957); N. H. Giles, C. W. H. Partridge, and N. J. Nelson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.* 43, 305 (1957); T. Yura, *ibid.*, in press.

24. V. M. Ingram, *Nature* 180, 326 (1957).

25. H. J. Vogel in *Proc. Symposium on Genet. Basis of Heredity*, Baltimore (1957); L. Gorini and W. K. Maas, *Biochim. et Biophys. Acta* 25, 208 (1957); R. A. Yates and A. B.

Pardee, *J. Biol. Chem.* 221, 757 (1956); H. E. Umbarger and B. Brown, *ibid.* 233, 415 (1958).

26. M. Cohn and J. Monod, *Symposia Soc. Gen. Microbiol. No. 3* (1953), p. 132.

27. S. Benzer, in *Proc. Symposium on Chem. Basis of Heredity*, Baltimore (1957).

28. P. E. Hartman, in *ibid.*

29. N. H. Giles, C. W. H. Partridge, N. J. Nelson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.* 43, 305 (1957); M. E. Case and N. H. Giles, *ibid.*

44, 378 (1958); J. A. Pateman and J. R. S. Fincham, *Heredity* 12, 317 (1958).

30. E. Calef, *ibid.* 10, 83 (1956).

31. L. W. Law, *Nature* 169, 628 (1952).

32. P. Rous, *J. Exptl. Med.* 12, 696 (1910).

33. G. Klein, E. Klein, L. Révész, *Nature* 178, 1389 (1956).

34. T. T. Puck, in *Proc. Symposium on Growth and Develop.*, Princeton (1957).

35. H. Eagle, V. I. Oyama, M. Levy, A. E. Freeman, *Science* 123, 845 (1956).

Genes and Chemical Reactions in *Neurospora*

The concepts of biochemical genetics began with Garrod's "inborn errors" and have evolved gradually.

George W. Beadle

On this occasion of sharing the high honor of a Nobel award with Edward L. Tatum for our "discovery that genes act by regulating chemical events," and with Joshua Lederberg for his related "discoveries concerning the organization of the genetic material of bacteria," it seems appropriate that I sketch briefly the background events that led to the work on *Neurospora* that Tatum and I initiated in 1940. I shall leave to my corecipients of the award the task of describing in detail the developments in *Neurospora* that followed our first success, and the relation of this to the rise of bacterial genetics, which has depended largely on studies of genetic recombination following conjugation and transduction.

I shall make no attempt to review the entire history of biochemical genetics, for this has been done elsewhere (1-4).

Anthocyanins and Alcaptonuria

Soon after de Vries, Correns, and Tschermak "rediscovered" Mendel's 1865 paper and recognized its full significance, investigators in the exciting new field, which was to be called genetics, naturally speculated about the physical nature of the "elements" of Mendel and the manner of their action. Renamed genes, these units of inheri-

tance were soon found to be carried in the chromosomes.

One line of investigation that was destined to reveal much about what genes do was started by Wheldale (later name Onslow, by marriage) in 1903. It began with a genetic study of flower pigmentation in snapdragons. But soon the genetic observations began to be correlated with the chemistry of the anthocyanin and related pigments that were responsible. The material was favorable for both genetic and chemical studies, and the work has continued to yield new information ever since and almost without interruption. Many workers and many species of plants have been involved (1-5).

It became clear very soon that a number of genes were involved and that they acted by somehow controlling the onset of various identifiable and specific chemical reactions. Since an understanding of the genetics helped in interpreting the chemistry and vice versa, the anthocyanin work was well known to both geneticists and biochemists. It significantly influenced the thinking of both fields and thus had great importance in further developments.

A second important line of investigation was begun even earlier by the Oxford physician-biochemist Sir Archibald E. Garrod. At the turn of the century he was interested in a group of congeni-

tal metabolic diseases in man, which he later named "inborn errors of metabolism." There are now many diseases so described; in fact, this has come to be recognized as a category of diseases of major medical importance.

One of the first "inborn errors" to be studied by Garrod was alcaptonuria. Its most striking symptom is blackening of urine on exposure to air. It had been recorded medically long before Garrod became interested in it, and important aspects of its biochemistry were understood. The substance responsible for blackening of the urine is alcapton or homogentisic acid (2,5-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid). Garrod suggested early that alcaptonuria behaved in inheritance as though it were differentiated by a single recessive gene.

By 1908 a considerable body of knowledge about alcaptonuria had accumulated. This was brought together and interpreted by Garrod in his Croonian lectures and in the two editions of his book, *Inborn Errors of Metabolism*, which were based on them (6). It was his belief that alcaptonuria was the result of inability on the part of affected individuals to cleave the ring of homogentisic acid as do normal individuals. He believed this to be due to absence or inactivity of the enzyme that normally catalyzes this reaction. This in turn was dependent on the absence of the normal form of a specific gene.

Thus, Garrod had clearly in mind the concept of a gene-enzyme-chemical-reaction system in which all three entities were interrelated in a very specific way. In the 1923 edition of *Inborn Errors* (6) he wrote: "We may further conceive that the splitting of the benzene ring of homogentisic acid in normal metabolism is the work of a special enzyme, that in congenital alcaptonuria this enzyme is wanting. . . ."

Failure to metabolize an intermediate

The author is chairman of the Division of Biology at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena. At present he is Eastman visiting professor at Oxford University, Oxford, England. This article is the lecture which he delivered in Stockholm, Sweden, 11 December 1958, on receiving the Nobel prize in medicine and physiology. It is published with the permission of the Nobel Foundation.