ment as a whole. In such cases, the position of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering in the Department of Defense exemplifies the type of representation the Council needs. Other agencies that are primarily scientific should be represented by their directors or agency heads. The Bureau of the Budget might also advantageously assign an observer in view of the Bureau's overall responsibilities in Government planning and management.

'It also will be necessary to meet the Council's staff requirements. Staff support could be furnished by the office of the Chairman with assistance from personnel in the National Science Foundation and in other departments and agencies. The National Science Foundation makes numerous basic surveys in science both inside and outside of Government, and good liaison between the Foundation and the Council would be helpful and productive. The Bureau of the Budget also could be helpful to the Council in evolving its plans for meeting long-range needs in science and technology and in determining capital requirements. Nowhere in Government today, for example, is the total research and development budget adequately reviewed as a whole distinct from the program of an individual agency. Nowhere is it reviewed in terms of its technical content and objectives apart from budgetary examination. Nor is there a current procedure for separately reviewing the research portion of the total budget. The uncoupling of research from development in the budget process would be of considerable assistance in determining the appropriate level of support of each of the several categories of research and development as well as the soundness of the total allocation.

"Establishment of a Federal Council for Science and Technology will not automatically solve all the management problems of the Government's research. But if the Council acts wisely and boldly, and if it is supported enthusiastically by the various agencies concerned, it can play a profound role in underwriting the United States' scientific leadership in the years ahead."

Membership of the Panel

Robert F. Bacher, professor of physics, California Institute of Technology; William O. Baker, vice president (research), Bell Telephone Laboratories; Caryl P. Haskins, president, Carnegie Institution of Washington; George B. Kistiakowsky, professor of chemistry, Harvard University; Alan T. Waterman, director, National Science Foundation; Paul A. Weiss, Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research; and Emanuel R. Piore, chairman, director of research, International Business Machines Corporation.

Arnold Arboretum Controversy

A test suit of the Arnold Arboretum controversy has at last been filed. In 1953 the Corporation of Harvard University announced a plan to transfer the library and herbarium of the Arnold Arboretum in Jamaica Plain, Mass., to the university's new herbarium in Cambridge. A group of interested persons, the Association for the Arnold Arboretum, accused Harvard of a breach of trust in its administration of the Arboretum and tried unsuccessfully to obtain a judicial hearing [Science 119, 369 (19 Mar. 1954); 119, 459 (19 Apr. 1954); 121, 835 (10 June 1955)].

On 15 December 1958 John T. Hemenway, president of the Association for the Arnold Arboretum, announced the test suit in the following statement, delivered at the association's annual meeting.

'On December 4, 1958 a test suit was filed in the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts to determine whether the Corporation of Harvard University has committed a breach of trust in its administration of the Arnold Arboretum. This suit was begun in accordance with a decision of Attorney General Edward J. McCormack, Jr. which permitted the suit in his name by a group of 'relators.' This group includes: John S. Ames, former President of the Massachusetts Horticultural Society; Mrs. Oakes Ames, widow of Professor Oakes Ames, former head of the Arnold Arboretum; John T. Hemenway and Francis Blake, respectively President and Treasurer of the Association for the Arnold Arboretum, Inc.; Samuel Eliot Morison, Professor Emeritus of History at Harvard; Dr. Lewis Perry, former Principal of Phillips Exeter Academy; and Silas B. McKinley, prominent lawyer of St. Louis. The suit was filed by Thomas V. Rankin, of Boston, counsel for the relators. It is expected that this group will be later enlarged by the addition of other wellknown persons both from Massachusetts and other States.

"This suit is the result of six years of persistent effort during which access to the courts was denied to those who claimed breach of trust on the part of the Harvard Corporation. Substantial doubts were raised by responsible persons on the advice of competent counsel as to the legality of the Corporation's administration of the Arnold Arboretum trust, and the Corporation was repeatedly requested to obtain a judicial ruling on the legal questions. Contrary, however, to the established practice of responsible trustees in these circumstances, the Corporation refused any cooperation in obtaining a judicial ruling; and the present suit is the result of action by an independent Attorney General acting in the interests of justice.

"The trust establishing the Arnold Arboretum was created in 1872 by an agreement between trustees of James Arnold of New Bedford and the Harvard Corporation, which was made trustee. Early in 1953 the Corporation adopted a plan which called for the removal from Jamaica Plain to Cambridge of most of the Arboretum's fine library and herbarium and which also involved the transfer of Arboretum personnel and the diversion of Arboretum income.

"This 1953 plan was protested by various friends and benefactors of the Arboretum who, on the advice of counsel, claimed a breach of trust on the ground that the plan sacrificed the objectives and public usefulness of the Arboretum. They asked the Harvard Corporation, as trustees, to take the usual course of applying to the courts for a ruling. When Harvard refused, there followed the long effort to obtain a judicial hearing which now, after nearly six years, has culminated in the present suit.

"This long effort has been supported by the Association for the Arnold Arboretum, Inc., a nonprofit Massachusetts corporation organized in 1953 "to protect and support the Arnold Arboretum in the public interest." The Association has some 1200 members from about 40 States, including many well-known horticulturists and Harvard alumni.

"By proving that justice cannot be indefinitely denied, a major objective of the Association has been achieved. The filing of this suit demonstrates that no board of trustees, however influential, is so far above the law that it can permanently prevent a judicial ruling as to whether a trust is being legally administered when serious doubts as to the legality are raised by responsible persons. For this reason, the suit has a wide significance, not entirely confined to the Arnold Arboretum or to Harvard University."

NSF Science Information Service

The National Science Foundation announced on 11 December the establishment of a Science Information Service, in accordance with the provisions of the National Defense Education Act of 1958 and as directed by President Eisenhower on recommendation of his Science Advisory Committee [See Science 128, 1616 (26 Dec. 1958)]. The Science Information Service is designed to make scientific literature in all languages more readily available in order to shorten the time spent by scientists and engineers in searching for needed information. The new service, which is headed by Burton W. Adkinson, will carry out NFS's responsibility to take the leadership in bringing about effective coordination of