14 March 1958, Volume 127, Number 3298

SCIENCE

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE

ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Board of Directors WALLACE R. BRODE, President PAUL E. KLOPSTEG, President Elect LAURENCE H. SNYDER, Retiring President PAUL M. GROSS GEORGE R. HARRISON CHAUNCEY D. LEAKE MARGARET MEAD THOMAS PARK MINA REES WILLIAM W. RUBEY ALAN T. WATERMAN PAUL A. SCHERER, Treasurer DAEL WOLFLE, Executive Officer

> DAEL WOLFLE, Executive Officer GRAHAM DUSHANE, Editor JOSEPH TURNER, Assistant Editor ROBERT V. ORMES, Assistant Editor

Editorial Board

WALLACE R. BRODE BENTLEY GLASS KARL LARK-HOROVITZ Edwin M. Lerner William L. Straus, Jr. Edward L. Tatum

Editorial Staff

MARY L. CRABILL, SARAH S. DEES, LUCILLE GUI-NARD, NANGY S. HAMILTON, OLIVER W. HEATWOLE, YUKIE KOZAI, ELLEN E. MURPHY, BETHSABE PED-ERSEN, MADELINE SCHNEIDER, JACQUELYN VOLLMER, MARIA A. WOLSAK

EARL J. SCHERAGO, Advertising Representative

SCIENCE, founded in 1880, is published each Friday by the American Association for the Advancement of Science at Business Press, Lancaster, Pa. Entered at the Lancaster, Pa., Post Office as second class matter under the Act of 3 March 1879. SCIENCE is indexed in the *Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature*.

Editorial and personnel-placement correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C. Manuscripts should be typed with double spacing and submitted in duplicate. The AAAS assumes no responsibility for the safety of manuscripts or for the opinions expressed by contributors. For detailed suggestions on the preparation of manuscripts, book reviews, and illustrations, see Science 125, 16 (4 Jan. 1957).

Display-advertising correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, Room 740, 11 West 42 St., New York 36, N.Y.

Change of address notification should be sent to 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C., 4 weeks in advance. If possible, furnish an address stencil label from a recent issue. Be sure to give both old and new addresses, including zone numbers, if any.

Annual subscriptions: \$8.50; foreign postage, \$1.50; Canadian postage, 75¢. Single copies, 35¢. Cable address: Advancesci, Washington.



All Those In Favor

There is an important difference between the major bills for federal support of education now being considered by Congress and those that have been introduced in former years. In general—and of course there are exceptions—the major current bills emphasize improvement of quality, while earlier ones emphasized funds for school construction and for general purposes. Thus both the Administration bill and the Hill-Elliott bill (see analysis and comparison of these bills in *Science*, p. 389, 21 Feb. 1958) provide funds, in some cases with matching funds provided by the states, for the employment of additional teachers of science and mathematics or the improvement of salaries of teachers in these fields, for the employment of consultants or supervisors to improve teaching in science and mathematics, for help to teachers who wish to improve their knowledge of the subjects they are teaching, for the purchase of laboratory equipment, and for scholarships and fellowships for superior students.

Most of these provisions are of interest to the large number of scientists who are concerned with improving our educational system. Yet relatively few scientists have expressed their interest effectively as far as members of Congress are concerned. There are two easy explanations. First, only recently has general information concerning the major bills been widely available. Second, it is not the custom of the scientific community to "write to your Congressman." From the Congressman's standpoint, however, the lack of expression of interest means lack of interest. Members of Congress are quite ready to say that they depend to a considerable extent upon the voluntary expressions of interest and advice they receive from their constituents and that they find these expressions a useful guide in deciding what is desirable and what is wanted by the informed members of the public. In fact, several members of Congress have made it clear that they would like very much to receive more advice from persons who are well informed concerning educational and scientific matters.

At present, probably the most useful advice would concern general principles to be observed in legislation and the types of federal support that would be most desirable. There is not yet any bill ready for vote, and, for that matter, the bills that will be voted on have probably not yet been written. After the conclusion of hearings that are now being held, it is likely that the responsible committees will write new bills based upon those already submitted but modified on the basis of information and advice received during the hearings or in correspondence. When these bills have been written, it will be appropriate to express endorsement or criticism of specific bills or provisions of those bills.

The responsible committee in the Senate is the Committee on Labor and Public Welfare (Lister Hill, Ala.; James E. Murray, Mont.; Mathew M. Neely, W. Va.; John F. Kennedy, Mass.; Pat McNamara, Mich.; Wayne Morse, Ore.; Strom Thurmond, S.C.; H. Alexander Smith, N.J.; Irving M. Ives, N.Y.; William A. Purtell, Conn.; Barry Goldwater, Ariz.; Gordon Allott, Colo.; and John Sherman Cooper, Ky.), and in the House of Representatives, the Subcommittee on Education (Carl Elliott, Ala.; George S. McGovern, S.D.; Edith Green, Ore.; Stuyvesant Wainwright, N.Y.; and Donald W. Nicholson, Mass.). Letters, telegrams, or personal visits to any



Vorld's largest producer of Plastic Laboratory War 562 of these committee members, and, indeed, to any member of either House, would be appropriate and helpful.

Decisions in science are reached by a somewhat different method from decisions in Congress; hence the rules of the "scientific game" are quite different from those of the "Congressional game." But it is Congress that will enact legislation affecting science and education, and it is Congress that writes the rules for deciding on the legislation to be enacted. One of those rules is that persons who have an informed interest in proposed legislation are expected to tell Congress what they want.—D. W.

National Library Week-16-22 March 1958

Wake up and read! is the slogan of a nationwide campaign to increase the reading of books which will reach its climax during National Library Week, 16–22 March 1958. Sponsored jointly by the American Library Association and the National Book Committee ("a society of citizens devoted to the use of good books" founded in 1954), the campaign will stress the joys and profits of better reading. The AAAS, NEA, and many other national educational, cultural, and technical organizations have heartily endorsed this undertaking. State and local committees everywhere are active in promoting library open house, special exhibits, programs, and a myriad of other events and activities to focus attention in two directions, on the great wealth of good books flowing regularly from the presses and on the underutilized, and often inadequate, library resources in the United States.

A 1955 Gallup poll disclosed that 61 percent of American adults had not read a book, other than the Bible, during the previous year and that only 17 percent of those interviewed were reading a book at the time of the survey. From other surveys we learn that America is only one-third as literate as Great Britain and only one-fifth as literate as Sweden, in terms of adult book reading. We are told that one-half of American adults live within a mile of a public library, but only one-fifth of them ever enter it. Among American college students the situation is equally unpromising, for the average reading of this group is one book a month, and it is reported that many find it possible to complete a college curriculum without any reading other than assigned textbooks. Is the fact that only 12 percent of the homes constructed during the past decade have built-in bookshelves a clue? We think it is.

Two conferences on the development of lifetime reading habits, one with reference to secondary education held in June 1954, and one with reference to college undergraduates held at the University of Michigan 21-22 February 1958, disclosed that an inquiring mind and permanent interests in reading usually are developed initially in the home and supplemented by the resources of the community library. While some "converts" may be made of nonreaders during high school and college days, the percentage is small. If there are few or no books at home, and if the community and school libraries (where they do exist) are unattractive, poor in book resources, and uninspired in administration, then there is no climate for the growth of the will-to-know and the development of a lifetime companionship with books.

The AAAS, in cooperation with the National Science Foundation, has operated since the fall of 1955 an experimental traveling high school science library to encourage collateral reading in science and mathematics by high school students so that their perspectives might thereby be broadened and their interest in science careers stimulated. This program and its booklist have been very popular. The proportion of science and mathematics books in the libraries of schools applying for the program usually is quite small, and often the few books available are out of date. In many instances the annual amount appropriated for school library books is no more than the sum necessary to replace lost or worn-out books.

Let National Library Week turn our attention to our home library situation, to the improvement of the facilities and resources of our school and community libraries, and to the unprecedented wealth of good books now in print in the United States which should be used more widely and effectively.—HILARY J. DEASON, AAAS.