invertebrate course for several years. However, his major research interest soon changed to parasitology. Beginning at the Kansas State Agricultural College and later as research parasitologist at the Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of Wyoming, he at first concerned himself with parasites of livestock, demonstrating the insect transmission of swamp fever in horses and working extensively with Sarcocystis tenella of sheep. Later he became interested in the parasites of wildlife, particularly the tapeworms of the genus Diphyllobothrium and the coccidian parasites of the

sage grouse. Working out the life-cycle of the latter led to the first description of the mating behavior of the sage grouse, the discovery of one of the highest degrees of social organization in gallinaceous birds, and a comparative behavioral study of two related species. At the time of his death he was working on two problems: one on the relationships between *Diphyllobothrium cordiceps* and *D. latum*, which he concluded were physiologically and morphologically distinct, and the other on the races of *Eimeria* in the sage grouse, which developed in isolation conforming to the isolation pro-

duced by the social behavior of the host.

As a teacher, Scott had a gift for presenting a clear organization of a subject, but his outstanding capacity was for friendships. He was an idealist in human affairs and could understand and appreciate any quality except selfishness. Those whom he inspired share his belief that the pursuit of knowledge is an honorable occupation whose end is general and individual welfare. He died on 15 August 1956 in Laramie, Wyoming.

J. P. Scott Roscoe B. Jackson Memorial Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine

News of Science

AAAS Awards

The following awards were presented during the recent AAAS annual meeting in New York.

The AAAS-Anne Frankel Rosenthal memorial award went to Jacob Furth, associate director of research at the Children's Cancer Research Foundation, Harvard Medical School. The award, consisting of \$1000, is from funds provided by the Rosenthal Foundation.

Furth was born in Hungary and educated in Germany, where he began his professional career. He came to the United States in 1924. After a year on the staff of the Henry Phipps Institute in Pennsylvania, he was appointed to the faculty of Cornell University College of Medicine, where he remained until 1948. Then for the 4 years he served as chief of the pathology and physiology section of the Biology Division of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. In 1954 he was appointed to his present position at Harvard.

Herbert C. Kelman, research psychologist at the National Institute of Mental Health, received the \$1000 AAAS Socio-Psychological Research award. Kelman was born in Vienna 29 years ago. Following receipt of a Ph.D. degree from Yale University in 1951, he was given a fellowship by the Social Science Research Council and spent a year at the Phipps Psychiatric Clinic of Johns Hopkins University. From 1952 to 1954 he served as a U.S. Public Health Service research

fellow. Then, after a year at the Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences at Stanford, Calif., he assumed his present position.

Kelman's prize winning study was a theoretical and experimental investigation of social influence. Social influence or social pressure sometimes leads a person to change his attitudes or behavior, but this conformity takes place at different levels. A person may conform because he expects to be rewarded for conforming and punished for nonconforming behavior. He may conform because he wants to maintain good relations with other people whose behavior or attitude he copies. Or, he may conform because he really believes that the ideas or actions are good and proper. Kelman analyzed and studied experimentally some of the factors involved in these three kinds of compliance with social influences. Kelman was a college roommate of Yehudi A. Cohen of the Albert Einstein College of Medicine who received the award last

The AAAS-Ida B. Gould memorial award for research on cardiovascular problems was presented jointly to C. W. Lillehei of the University of Minnesota School of Medicine and his associate Richard Allison DeWall. The award is being given for Lillehei's leadership in the field of open heart surgery through the preparation of oxygenators of various sorts, including the pump oxygenator that was originated by DeWall.

Neal E. Miller, James Rowland An-

gell professor of psychology at Yale University, and James Olds, associate research psychologist in the department of anatomy at the University of California, Los Angeles, received the 29th Newcomb Cleveland \$1000 award for their experiments with animals which show that certain areas in the brain apparently govern feelings of punishment and gratification. The prize-winning papers were "Learning and performance motivated by direct stimulation of the brain," by Miller, and "Effects of hunger, sex, and tranquilizers on localized reward systems in the brain," by Olds.

Miller and his colleagues at Yale have shown how different emotions and drives may be aroused by stimulating certain places deep in a primitive part of the brains of rats and other animals. They have studied reactions that seem to be like pain and fear, flight, rage, hunger, sex, and thirst. Olds, working with Milner in Montreal, discovered that electric stimulation of other points deep in the brain can act as a reward. Thus, while the animals used by the Yale group would work to escape direct stimulation of the brain, Olds' rats would work to get it. Since Olds found that his reward effect could be influenced by drives, he could use it as a means of studying the location of various "drive centers" in the brain. The work going on in the Yale and California laboratories and that in other laboratories is fitting together to begin to give a picture of how motivations are aroused deep in the brain.

Population Changes

Families are becoming larger in the United States, the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company's statisticians report. An increasing number of couples are now having a third or fourth child. The annual rate for third births has climbed from 1.8 per 100 married women under age 45 in 1940–41 to 3.1 per 100 in 1954–55. For fourth births, the rate in-

creased by 70 percent during this period. Fifth and subsequent births have also increased somewhat in recent years and are likely to continue upward for the balance of the decade, although there is little likelihood that the rates for these birth orders will return to the levels of the 1920's.

Second births increased almost without interruption from a low point in 1933 to a peak in 1952. Although the rate has fallen off somewhat, it still is at an unusually high level—one-third above the rate in 1940, and about one-eighth higher than in 1920. In 1945–55 the number of families that had a second child exceeded those that had a first child—a situation which is probably without precedent in our history.

At the other end of the scale, the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company statisticians report that the proportion of older people has been increasing for more than a century, slowly at first and more rapidly in recent decades. The proportion of population at age 65 or older is 8.6 percent, or about one in 12. In 1900 only 4.1 percent of all Americans were in this age group.

According to the Population Reference Bureau, Inc., for the third consecutive year the number of births in the US has totaled more than 4 million. This rising tide of births will soon add further to the mounting school enrollment figures. For the three years from October 1953 through October 1956, kindergartens and elementary schools had to expand enough to take in an extra million children each year. The Census Bureau finds that the total increase for those three years was 3,119,000. The big increase in school children is still to come. Kindergarten enrollment went up 82 percent from 1950 to 1955. Between 1950 and 1955, the number of children who are 5 years of age or under jumped from 14,184,504 in 1950 to 18,305,000 in 1956, an increase of 4,120,496. The number of children in elementary schools increased by 24 percent, and high-school enrollment rose only 19 percent.

Uranium Production

The Atomic Energy Commission has disclosed for the first time statistics concerning uranium ore reserves and uranium mining and milling operations. The information, which was limited to production since 1 July 1955, was authorized by the commission's revised declassification guide.

The uranium ore reserves still in the ground on 1 Nov. 1956 were estimated in millions of tons as follows: New Mexico, 41; Utah, 7.5; Colorado, 4.1; Arizona, 2.6; Wyoming, 2.3; Washington, 1.5; and others, 1. The total is 60 million tons.

The uranium ore mined during the period from July to December 1955 was 840,000 dry tons; from January to June 1956, 1.34 million dry tons; and from July to December 1956, 1.66 million dry tons

The amount of uranium concentrate milled from the raw ore doubled this last year, the yield of concentrate from the crude ore averaging about ½ of 1 percent. At the beginning of 1956, uranium concentrate was produced at a rate of about 4000 tons per year; at the close of the year the rate was more than 8000 tons per year. Specifically, uranium concentrate milled during the period from July to December 1955 was 1600 tons; from January to June 1956, 2600 tons; and from July to December 1956, 3400 tons

At present, 12 uranium mills are in operation in the United States. All are privately owned with the exception of one AEG-owned plant. The total private investment is established at \$50 million, with a total daily capacity of 8960 tons. Eight more mills, representing an investment of about \$35 million and a rated daily capacity of 4025 tons, are scheduled for completion in 1957 or early 1958.

Randomized Cloud-Seeding Experiment

A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors of Santa Barbara County, Santa Barbara, Calif., to finance a randomized cloud-seeding experiment provides an unusual opportunity for studying the effects of silver iodide smoke, produced by ground generators, on storms passing over mountainous areas. It appears that this will be the first randomized experiment conducted in the United States using ground generators of silver iodide. Also, because of possible complications with lawsuits for damages and the consequent reluctance of public agencies to conduct cloud-seeding experiments on their own, it may be quite some time before another experiment of this kind is organized. In the past there appear to have been only two randomized cloud-seeding trials, those conducted by the U.S. Weather Bureau and by the University of Chicago meteorologists and statisticians. However, these trials involved seeding from aircraft.

During the decade that has elapsed since the discovery of techniques for artificially nucleating supercooled clouds there has been a large amount of effort expended to secure an answer to the question, "Does cloud seeding produce significant increases in precipitation?"

Several years ago the Division of Water Resources of the Department of Public Works of the state of California conducted an investigation of this subject, particularly in relation to the widespread commercial cloud-seeding operations in California. With the help of the Statistical Laboratory of the University of California, it was established that no clear-cut answer to the question of the efficacy of the cloud-seeding operation is available and that none can be expected until a special, so-called "randomized," experiment is performed.

In order to understand this pessimistic conclusion one must take into account that, according to the opinion of professional meteorologists, not all storms are suitable for seeding and only a part of them are actually seeded. Also, in order to judge whether or not the seeding is effective, one must have some sort of standard of comparison, such as, for example, the amount of rain fallen from the same storm in a comparison area, presumably not affected by seeding. In these conditions, even if the comparison between the rain in the target and in the comparison areas appears favorable to the conclusion that seeding is beneficial. there is always the question of whether or not the observed excess of rain in the target is the effect of seeding or a mark of success of forecasting.

In fact, the meteorologist engaged in cloud seeding may be expected to be able to identify among the approaching storms those that will deposit in the target relatively more rain than the others. Then, if only those more promising storms are seeded, the comparison with any preassigned standard would tend to indicate a positive effect of seeding, even though the actual effect of this operation is minute or nil.

This difficulty of distinguishing between the success of forecasting and the success of seeding can be avoided by performing a randomized trial. The meteorologist engaged in weather modification is allowed to select at will the opportunities for seeding. Once such an opportunity is identified, a random experiment is performed: for example, a coin is tossed. If the coin falls heads then the actual cloud seeding begins, but not otherwise. However, the observations of the rainfall are conducted on all seeding opportunities, both those seeded and those not seeded. Then, with a sufficiently long series of observations, the comparison between the seeded and not seeded storms allows a definite conclusion regarding the effectiveness of seeding as such, free from the possible effects of forecasting.

For quite some time appeals for a randomized experiment went unheeded. On the one hand, the communities that paid for cloud seeding (and they were those that believed in the effectiveness of these operations) were reluctant to let about one-half of the seeding opportuni-