

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
FOR THE
ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Board of Directors

GEORGE W. BEADLE, *President*
PAUL B. SEARS, *President Elect*
WARREN WEAVER, *Retiring President*
WALLACE R. BRODE
JOHN R. DUNNING
MARK H. INGRAHAM
PAUL E. KLOPSTEG
CHAUNCEY D. LEAKE
MARGARET MEAD
THOMAS PARK
LAURENCE H. SNYDER
PAUL A. SCHERER, *Treasurer*
DAEL WOLFLE, *Administrative Secretary*

DAEL WOLFLE, *Acting Editor*
CHARLOTTE V. MEETING, *Assistant Editor*

Editorial Board

MARK H. ADAMS	KARL LARK-HOROVITZ
WALLACE R. BRODE	EDWIN M. LERNER
BENTLEY GLASS	WILLIAM L. STRAUS, JR.

Editorial Staff

PEARL BOBIE, CATHERINE H. BORRAS, BEVERLY
BUHNERKEMPE, SARAH S. DEES, MARY JANE DOU-
VILLE, OLIVER W. HEATWOLE, M. DALE HOOPER,
YUKIE KOZAI, RUTH McNEIL, ELLEN E. MURPHY,
ROBERT V. ORMES, BETHSABE PEDERSEN, JACQUELYN
VOLLMER.

EARL J. SCHERAGO, *Advertising Representative*

SCIENCE, founded in 1880, is published each Friday by the American Association for the Advancement of Science at Business Press, Lancaster, Pa. Entered at the Lancaster, Pa., Post Office as second class matter under the Act of 3 March 1879.

SCIENCE is indexed in the *Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature*.

All correspondence should be addressed to SCIENCE, 1515 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington 5, D.C. Manuscripts should be typed with double spacing and submitted in duplicate. The AAAS assumes no responsibility for the safety of manuscripts or for the opinions expressed by contributors.

Change of address: The notification should reach us 4 weeks in advance. If possible, please furnish an address stencil label from a recent issue. Be sure to give both old and new addresses, including zone numbers, if any.

Annual subscriptions: \$7.50; foreign postage, \$1; Canadian postage, 50¢. Single copies, 25¢. Special rates to members of the AAAS. Cable address: Advancesci, Washington.

The AAAS also publishes THE SCIENTIFIC MONTHLY.



Reserve Forces Act of 1955

The Reserve Forces Act of 1955 has been placed on the statutes, and thus the military manpower program of the nation has been settled for a time—possibly a long time. It seems unlikely that the Congress will open up these touchy issues short of a gathering international storm. The present legislation could be with us a long time.

Nevertheless, few commentators consider it good legislation. It was intended to provide for the building up of an adequate, trained reserve immediately available for service in emergency so that there would not again be need for the sudden recall of veterans, as there was in 1950. At best the new law goes only a short way in this direction. It does provide that those who enter reserve status (after enactment of the legislation) are subject to positive penalties for failure to meet reserve obligations for training, thus putting some teeth into reserve training requirements. It does provide that a limited number (200,000 annually) who are released from active duty after 1 year may enlist in the Ready Reserve and fulfill their military obligation in a shorter time than the 6-year period generally applicable to most young men under the new law. It does permit young men prior to age 18½ to enlist in a reserve component and escape active service, although their total obligation is then up to age 28. Finally, it permits young men up to 18½ years of age to enlist for a period of basic training (3 to 6 months to be determined by the Secretary of Defense) and then to serve in an active reserve program for 7½ additional years.

These several avenues are obviously established in the hope that some or all of these choices may provide a channel of traffic into a burgeoning reserve force capable of meeting the demands of possible military crisis of the future. There is no single provision for what a civil defense crisis might require. It is safe to say that the bill leaves something to be desired.

With respect to specialized personnel, however, the bill registers certain clear gains in national viewpoint on service obligation. It recognizes that the military strength of the nation requires that a due proportion of the critical civilian skills be released for civilian needs. This is manifest in the provision that "members of the Reserve Forces possessing critical civilian skills will not be retained in numbers beyond the requirements for those skills except for persons who have military skills for which there is an overriding requirement" [Sec. 208 (k) (3)]. To insure the above, continuous screening procedures by the Department of Defense are made mandatory.

A second provision affecting specialized personnel is Sec. 262 (b) (3). This section adds new features as regards specialized personnel. If under 18½, persons may elect to enlist in a reserve component, take 3 to 6 months training, then join a reserve unit. If they then pursue training in a profession or skill recognized as critical, they may be screened out to the Standby Reserves under the provisions of Sec. 208.

Or, a young man may elect to pursue his studies under the student-deferment program. If he then emerges as a specialist in a category considered to be possessed of critical civilian skills, he may be authorized to enlist in a reserve component, first taking his 3 to 6 months training. Thereafter he becomes subject to screening to the Standby Reserve under Sec. 208.

It is notable that, whereas in general throughout the legislation regulations are prescribed either by the Secretary of Defense or the appropriate secretary of one of the armed forces, in Sec. 208 and Sec. 262 the act specifically lodges this authority in the President.

Obviously, much of the significance of these provisions will depend on the manner and the spirit of their administration. It is, therefore, worthy of note that the intent of the Congress, as indicated by the remarks of Congressmen in the various hearings on the bill, is unusually clear, even though the actual wording of the legislation seems not to depart so drastically from the past. Indications are that the administration is prepared to follow in the lead of Congress in this respect.—M. H. TRYTTEN, *Office of Scientific Personnel, National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, Washington, D.C.*