

for example, it suppressed the maximum on the copper wave completely.

(4) The enzymatic method is especially useful under conditions where conventional oxygen removal is either inconvenient or impossible.

(a) For polarographic determinations in very small volumes that cannot be conveniently handled in closed systems.

(b) For many amperometric titrations: closed systems or degassing after each addition of titrant can thus be obviated.³ We have, for example, obtained stoichiometric results when solutions of glutathione (containing the usual amounts of enzyme and substrate) were titrated with phenylmercuric hydroxide at a potential of -0.45 volt versus the S.C.E. (3). The titration was carried out in an open vessel and the oxygen introduced with each 0.2-ml addition of the titrant disappeared within about 1 min.

(c) For the removal of oxygen from protein solutions. This cannot be done satisfactorily by the usual

³ It should be noted, however, that the enzymes cannot be used in the presence of nonaqueous solvents, which are frequently employed in amperometric titrations.

methods, since such procedures as degassing or shaking in an inert atmosphere lead to foaming and denaturation and fail to remove oxygen completely (4, 5). The enzymatic method is therefore particularly suitable for this purpose and is proving very useful in current studies on the interaction between organic mercury compounds and proteins. In these experiments it is obviously desirable to keep the enzyme concentration to a minimum, so that it does not constitute more than a negligible fraction (less than 0.5%) of the total protein concentration. Such low enzyme concentrations do, of course, necessitate closed systems and the time for complete oxygen removal is somewhat longer.

References

1. KOLTHOFF, I. M., and LINGANE, J. J. *Polarography*. New York: Interscience, 395 (1952).
2. KEILIN, D., and HARTREE, E. F. *Biochem. J.*, **42**, 221 (1948).
3. BENESCH, R., and BENESCH, RUTH E. *Arch. Biochem.*, **38**, 425 (1952).
4. TANFORD, C., and EPSTEIN, J. *Anal. Chem.*, **23**, 802 (1951).
5. SAROFF, H. A., and MARK, H. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **75**, 1420 (1953).

Manuscript received May 25, 1953.

Comments and Communications

Sporabola

MY scientific urge, or perhaps only my idle curiosity, having been stimulated by the letter of Dr. P. H. Yancey (*SCIENCE*, **118**, 58 [1953]), I dipped into the right-hand top drawer of my desk where I keep the Oxford Pocket Dictionary because of its admirably convenient size, in spite of being myself a Cambridge graduate! I find there that "spore" is defined as "One of the germs by which flowerless plants are reproduced (Gk. *speirō*, sow)." Am I right in believing that, though the etymology is better, the definition is open to the same criticisms as those quoted by your correspondent from Alabama? I refrain from raising, except by mentioning, the general question of how far the scientist is justified in expecting from the non-scientific dictionary, definitions that will satisfy his criteria. Are not all scientific words a kind of condensate of experiment or observation and therefore not susceptible of precise definition, possibly even of complete comprehension, by the nonspecialist?

A. L. BACHARACH

26, Willow Road
London, N.W. 3, England

Received August 28, 1953.

Parental Age and Sex Ratio

MAY I be allowed to comment briefly on "The Dependence of the Secondary Sex Ratio in Humans on the Age of the Father," by Dr. Edward Novitski, which appeared in *SCIENCE*, **117**, 531 (1953). The data

utilized by Dr. Novitski in his study are published by the U. S. Bureau of Vital Statistics, and give the sex distribution of offspring in five-year intervals. Dr. Novitski fits a multiple linear regression plane to these data, and overlooks major points relevant to such statistical technique.

(1) The data are not linear. For the youngest age group, fathers 19 years old or under, mothers 19 years old or under, the sex ratio of offspring is less than for the 20-29-year age groups. For fathers, the maximum sex ratio of offspring falls into the 25-30-year age group; for mothers, it comes about three years earlier.

(2) The two inverted U-shaped curves of age of parent and sex ratio of offspring are almost identical with the ones reported by J. Yerushalmy [*Human Biol.*, **11**, 342 (1939)] on "Age of Parent and Still-birth Rates," thus indicating that differences in abortion rates are a major factor in this age trend.

(3) Furthermore, age of husband and wife are known to be positively correlated; and even if the data used by Novitski were linear, an adjustment would have to be made to correct for this correlation factor. A. Cioeco (*Human Biol.*, **10**, 36-64 [1938]) states: "Some writers believe that the relation between age of parent and sex ratio is manifest for the fathers rather than for the mothers. Our data (also from the U. S. Bureau of Vital Statistics publication) cannot be used to support any such conclusion, since for both fathers and mothers, when the ages of either are kept constant, there is irregularity in the relationship between age of parent and the relative masculinity. . . ."