



FIG. 1. Schematic d-c record of hypnosis.

behavior, utilizing the technique developed by Milton H. Erickson; e.g., as his hand rose, a subject would become sleepier until, finally, when it touched his face, he would close his eyes and sleep, signifying he was ready by returning the hand to his lap. Catalepsy, when used to induce hypnosis, sometimes produced marked emf changes (Fig. 1). When this occurred during the trance, or when the subject voluntarily raised an arm, minimal changes were recorded.

Depth of hypnosis, as measured electrometrically, does not seem to be correlated with ability to develop amnesia

or other phenomena often necessary for a good therapeutic trance. Any disturbance of the hypnotic state could be detected immediately by changes in voltage and in configuration of the tracing. It is thus possible to measure objectively changes in depth of hypnosis.

A complete report of methodology and results will be published elsewhere.

References

1. BARKER, W., and BURGWIN, S. *Psychosom. Med.*, 1948, **10**, 317.
2. BURR, H. S., LANE, C. T., and NIMS, L. F. *Yale J. Biol. Med.*, 1936, **9**, 65.
3. BURR, H. S., and MAURO, A. *Yale J. Biol. Med.*, 1949, **21**, 249.
4. PYNES, J. B. *Arch. Neurol. Psychiat.*, 1947, **57**, 84.
5. LANGMAN, L., and BURR, H. S. *Amer. J. Obstet. Gynaec.*, 1949, **57**, 274.
6. LOOMIS, A. L., HARVEY, E. N., and HOBART, G. *Science*, 1936, **83**, 239.
7. ———. *J. exp. Psychol.*, 1936, **19**, 249.
8. LUNDHOLM, H., and LÖWENBACH, H. *Character and Pers.*, 1942, **11**, 145.

Comments and Communications

Are Nonflying Wings Functionless?

In a recent able review of evidence that the so-called ratite birds had flying ancestors, Steiner (*Rev. Suisse de Zool.*, 1949, **56**, 364) concluded with the remark (in German) that "the wing, made useless by the transition to a cursorial habitus, was reduced as a direct result of its high specialization, because it was no longer capable of taking on a new function (in *Rhea* and *Struthio* at most still used for display of the decorative wing feathers!)."'

There is nothing new in the observation, but it is worth while to point out that even so highly specialized a structure as the bird wing is quite capable of taking on functions distinct from, although usually related to, that of aerial flight. The penguin wing is certainly a highly functional organ. Loss of ancestral power of flight in this case clearly involved change, not loss, of wing function (Simpson, G. G. *Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist.*, 1946, **87**, 1). The same is probably true of some or all of the distinctly cursorial flightless birds.

The rhea is among such truly cursorial birds, a running herbivore (and occasional insectivore) adapted to treeless plains where large predatory carnivores are present, and this also applies to the African ostriches. Long personal observation of wild Patagonian rheas in their natural habitat did not disclose any definite display of the wing feathers, although this may occur. Repeated observations did confirm the fact that the wings are not wholly passive and that they probably do serve a useful function. When running, the rheas keep the wings spread and seem definitely to balance themselves in this way, especially in the rather awkward maneuver of turning rapidly.

This true function for the reduced wings may well explain the rule that the wings are usually merely reduced

and not lost altogether in cursorial birds. It is true that the wings were completely functionless and were lost externally in the moas of New Zealand and in *Aepyornis* and its allies in Madagascar. These birds, however, were probably not truly cursorial types, as suggested by their heavy, evidently slow proportions, their herbivorous habits, and their practical immunity from predation except by man, whose persecution was too late and too brief to induce cursorial adaptation. Among other extinct birds, the phororhacoids in South America, *Diatryma* in North America, and *Gastornis* in Europe all had cursorial proportions. All were associated with mammalian predators, and they were themselves probably running predators. All retained reduced but probably functional wings more or less as in the rheas.

It is a habit of thought to consider that changes associated with reduction in size and loss of a function, as in nonflying wings, are *ipso facto* degenerative. The modifications of the penguin wing can, however, be viewed as progressive specializations for their new function. May this not also be true of the rhea wing and analogous cases?

G. G. SIMPSON

The American Museum of Natural History
New York City

The *Systema Naturae* of the Twentieth Century

If the International Zoological Congress, to meet in Copenhagen in 1953, decides to allow another interval of five years before its next meeting, the latter will fall in 1958. It will be 200 years after the issue of Linnaeus' tenth edition of his *Systema Naturae*, an anniversary which must not pass without a serious attempt being made to bring the ideas of this great naturalist a new step forward.