

Comments and Communications

Use of Modified Ninhydrin Reagent in Quantitative Determination of Amino Acids by Paper Chromatography

Procedures for the quantitative estimation of amino acids separated on paper chromatograms have been reported.¹ In this laboratory we have been able to measure quantitatively some free amino acids in tissues of the rat by a method described elsewhere (AWAPARA, J. *J. biol. Chem.*, 1949, in press). Briefly, the procedure consisted in 1) locating the amino acid spots with a 0.05% ninhydrin solution in water-saturated butanol; 2) cutting the spots from the chromatogram and developing full

TABLE 1

Density Values at Various Concentrations of Amino Nitrogen

Amino nitrogen	Optical density			
	γ^*	Glutamic acid	Aspartic acid	Glycine Alanine
	4	0.124	0.155	0.128 0.105
	8	0.343	0.313	0.277 0.218
	12	0.551	0.487	0.434 0.410
	16	0.741	0.665	0.621 0.555

* Values given correspond to solutions made up to a volume of 25 ml, and corrected for paper blanks.

color with 1 ml of 2% aqueous ninhydrin solution in presence of pyridine by heating on a boiling water bath for 20 min; and 3) transferring the colored solution to a volumetric flask and measuring the color intensity in the Beckman Spectrophotometer at 570 m μ .

Recently S. Moore and W. H. Stein (*J. biol. Chem.*, 1948, 176, 367) have reported a modified ninhydrin reagent. They used a 2% ninhydrin solution in methyl cellosolve water at pH 5 (citrate buffer), which contained stannous chloride as a reducing agent. We have used this reagent instead of ninhydrin pyridine solution and obtained more consistent results and higher intensity of color. The intensity was found to increase considerably when larger amounts of reagent were used, up to 3 ml. Amounts greater than 3 ml were found to give constant values.

Table 1 shows density readings obtained at various concentrations for solutions of glutamic acid, aspartic acid, glycine, and alanine. In all cases the color intensity is a straight line function of the concentration. The

¹ AWAPARA, J. *J. biol. Chem.*, 1949, in press; FISHER, R. B., PARSONS, D. G., and MORRISON, G. A. *Nature*, 1948, 161, 764; NAFTALIN, A. *Nature*, 1948, 161, 763; POLSON, A., MOSLEY, V. M., and WYCKOFF, R. W. G. *Science*, 1947, 105, 603; and WOIWOD, A. J. *Nature*, 1948, 161, 169.

greatest source of error in this procedure is the filter paper, which gives blank readings ranging from 0.070 to 0.100. This range of 0.030 units indicates an error of about 7% when 10 γ of amino nitrogen are being determined. The reproducibility of analyses carried out on the same sheet of paper is, however, very satisfactory with variations of about 1 to 2%. Best results were obtained when an aliquot of the colored solution was removed from the tube and made to a convenient volume. By this procedure, the difficult removal of all the color on filter paper remaining in the tube was avoided. The amount of color adsorbed on filter paper was constant.

ALTON J. LANDUA and JORGE AWAPARA

University of Texas,

M. D. Anderson Hospital for Cancer Research

More on High School Biology Requirements

Dr. J. van Overbeek's apprehensions as to the effects of the inadequate teaching of the biological sciences in the secondary schools of this country (*Science*, 1949, 109, 210) are undoubtedly shared by a good many other biologists. I certainly agree that more adequate instruction in biology is needed in most of our secondary schools. However, Dr. van Overbeek's suggestion that we emulate the admirable Dutch program and require a course in biology in each year of high school is highly impractical. While he might have no difficulty convincing me and other biologists that this would be desirable, he would have little success in convincing most of those educators who are responsible for the secondary school curricula throughout the country. He would first have to convince them that one year of biology should be required of all high school students. At present many students are graduating from high school with nothing more in the way of science than a single (and usually inadequate) course in general science. It seems that the trend has been to reduce, rather than to increase, the science requirements for graduation from secondary schools.

Even if Dr. van Overbeek were successful in his efforts to have three or four years of biology required for graduation from high school, the program would fail through lack of qualified instructors. There are not even enough qualified biology teachers to handle the high school biology courses now offered. While there are many excellent teachers of high school biology scattered throughout the country, I believe it is safe to state that the majority, even in large cities, have so little training and interest in the subject that they almost waste their students' time. The prospects of an improvement in this situation are not encouraging. As all college and zoology professors know, their better and more capable majors go on to graduate school and eventually into research or college teaching.