that we boast one of the largest science enrollments, on a percentage basis, in the Nation. Our school has an enrollment of a little more than 2,100 students, 1,300 of whom take science. It is seldom that we graduate a person without more than two years of science. We have 240 students in our physics classes, and we have had that great a per cent (11.4) for about 12 years. If the student wishes, he can satisfy his science requirement by taking biology as a sophomore. Almost 700 take the subject each year, but in addition we seldom graduate a student who has not taken, in addition, one of the other laboratory sciences such as chemistry, physics, or our year course in geology.

We have not popularized our courses just by making them easy. On standardized tests we consistently beat the medians, even though we do carry along a few poor students. We believe that we have gained support by supplying good courses as well as advertising. We have done some pioneering also. In 1935 we started a full-year laboratory course in geology. In 1937 we started semester courses in radio physics, air navigation, and meteorology. These three courses were "shots in the dark," but they paid off in numerous fighter pilots, radio technicians, weather observers, and navigators in the war.

I am not sure that we would now welcome any rules requiring more than one science for graduation. We do not need it, and under our present plan we get people who want to take the subjects without other pressure. Our school has about every sort of outside activity imaginable, and the classroom has plenty of competition for students. We have gained our large enrollment by rowing upstream, and we sort of like it that way. We are ever on the lookout for ways to make our courses more attractive and more useful, and we hope to go on competing with whatever other attractions arise.

E. R. HARRINGTON, *Head*
Science Department, The Albuquerque High School
Albuquerque, New Mexico

More Reversed Winter Flounders

In a recent article (*Science*, 1945, **102**, 672–673) E. W. Gudger described three specimens of "left-handed" winter flounders in some detail and stressed the rarity of their occurrence. Various members of the staff of the Atlantic Biological Station, St. Andrews, New Brunswick, have made similar observations in the Fundy area that may be of interest to readers of *Science*.

On 2 August 1945 a reversed specimen was brought to the station by the fisherman who took it the previous day on a trawl set in the St. Croix River, opposite Robinston, Maine. He apparently recognized the fish as being a winter flounder and stated that he had heard of such curiosities from other fishermen but had never seen one himself until then. This fish, a female, measured 369 mm. in total length, and 283 mm. to the end of the last vertebra. The numbers of fin rays, body proportions, and other characters conformed to the specific description given by Bigelow and Welsh (*Bull. U.S.B. Fisheries*, **40**, 472–507), and the fish appeared healthy and normal in all respects except for reversal.

Another reversed specimen of about the same size was on exhibit in the station museum, along with a normal one for comparison, for a number of years previous to the 1932 fire which destroyed the Biological Station.

Mr. E. G. Rigby, curator of the station, states that he has seen, in addition, several other specimens taken during the course of his 30 years service here. Dr. A. H. Leim, chief biologist, makes a similar report and refers to the capture of a "right-handed" specimen that was pigmented on both sides.

J. C. MEDCOF
Fisheries Research Board of Canada

A Circular Slide Rule

Science, 1946, **103**, 113, describes a slide rule made by William E. Morrell for solving problems such as $d = \sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}$ and $r = \sqrt{x^2+y^2}$, etc., using a pair of linear scales and a pair of square-root scales which differ from those of the ordinary slide rule in that they are graduated according to the square root of numbers on the linear scales instead of the square root of numbers on a logarithmic scale.

If two identical scales are used, graduated so that they show square roots of numbers on a linear scale, the operation of finding the square root of the sum of two squares is done more simply than on the slide rule described. The problem is solved as simply as performing a multiplication on a pair of logarithmic scales.

The Rotarule, a circular slide rule manufactured by this writer, has carried such a pair of square root scales since 1927, as well as a linear scale making it possible to solve $r = \sqrt{x^2+y^2}$ and $c = a^2+b^2$ with a minimum of effort.

J. R. DEMPSTER
2204 Glen Avenue, Berkeley 7, California

Reply to Prof. Chamberlain

Some years ago it was seriously propounded that all scientific research be banned in order to permit the world to catch up. All that would happen, of course, would be that we ourselves would remain stagnant, and the rest of the world would go ahead. Some such thought as this is suggested as a result of the reading of Prof. Katherine Chamberlain's article on "Another chain reaction" (*Science*, 1946, **103**, 158–160), in which she suggests that all atomic bomb research be abandoned until a definite world understanding is reached regarding the use of this most powerful form of energy. I would suggest instead that the research be stepped up to double its present rate. Power is a force for good when used in the right hands, and right today we need some organization of the good forces, as Prof. Chamberlain indicates. The time is fast approaching when those who, in a democracy, do not take an active interest in public affairs will be deemed negligent.

ROBERT KERR DEWAR, M.D.
Fort William, Canada