Additional work is now under way in both greenhouse and field to determine the degree in identity of symptoms under normal conditions of growth for tobacco. Data are also being sought to aid in determining whether the response of the tobacco plant to isoleucine is of a primary or secondary nature. Secondary causatives of this type have already been reported by McMurtrey (*1*) as thallium and Spencer (*2*) as sulfanilamide.

References

1. McMurtrey, J. E., Jr. *Science*, 1932, **76**, 86.
2. Spencer, E. L. *Amer. J. Bot.*, 1939, **26**, 14 S.

On the Specificity of Epidemic and Murine Typhus

Vincent Groupé and Richard Donovick[1]

The Biological and Chemical Laboratories
E. R. Squibb and Sons, New Brunswick, New Jersey

That the rickettsiae of epidemic and murine typhus are closely related antigenically is shown by the fact that infection of guinea pigs with epidemic typhus confers complete immunity to infection with murine typhus and vice versa. That they are, however, not antigenically identical has been shown by the following observations: (a) Immunity induced with one inoculation of killed murine rickettsiae protected guinea pigs only against infection with the homologous type, although repeated inoculations of the same vaccine also immunized against the heterologous type (*7*). (b) Serum obtained from a horse after one series of inoculations with killed murine rickettsiae protected guinea pigs only against infection with the homologous type (*8*) although serum from the same horse after a second series of inoculations with the murine vaccine protected guinea pigs against both homologous and heterologous types (*9*). (c) Purified rickettsial suspensions reacted in complement-fixation tests only with homologous convalescent human and guinea pig sera, although the corresponding "soluble antigens" reacted with both homologous and heterologous sera (*5*). (d) Absorption of sera from cases of Brill's disease with murine antigen removed murine but not epidemic antibody, although epidemic antigen removed both murine and epidemic antibody (*4*). (e) Sera obtained from guinea pigs immunized with murine and epidemic typhus vaccine, respectively, neutralized only the homologous toxic substance (*6*).

The specificity of epidemic and murine typhus has been further demonstrated by the active immunization of mice in the experiments described below. Murine (Wilmington strain) and epidemic (Breinl strain) typhus vaccines were prepared from heavily infected yolk sacs[2] and two groups of 50 mice[3] each were immunized with one intraperitoneal inoculation of 0.5 cc. of epidemic and murine vaccine, respectively. Fourteen days after inoculation half of each group of immunized mice was challenged with homologous and the remainder with heterologous toxic substance (*1, 3*). The challenge dose, consisting in each case of 0.5 cc. of toxic substance, representing 3 to 4 LD_{50}, was injected intravenously in the tail vein. The results, recorded 18 hours after challenge, are presented in Table 1. It

TABLE 1

Specificity Shown by Active Immunization of Mice

Challenged I.V. with 0.5 cc. of:	Vaccinated I.P. with 0.5 cc. of:		Controls	
	Epidemic typhus vaccine	Murine typhus vaccine	Toxic substance diluted: 1–20	1–40
Epidemic toxic substance diluted 1–10 (3 to 4 LD_{50})	20/23*	0/23	0/10	8/10
Murine toxic substance diluted 1–10 (3 to 4 LD_{50})	1/23	22/23	0/11	7/10

* Number of mice surviving/total number of mice.
I.V.—Intravenously.
I.P.—Intraperitoneally.

will be seen that 20 of 23 mice immunized with epidemic typhus vaccine and 22 of 23 mice immunized with murine typhus vaccine were protected against 3 to 4 LD_{50} of the homologous toxic substance. Furthermore, none of 23 mice immunized with murine typhus vaccine and only one of 23 mice immunized with epidemic typhus vaccine was protected against the heterologous toxic substance. It is evident from these data that one inoculation of epidemic or murine typhus vaccine protected mice only against the homologous toxic substance.

Summary: The specificity of epidemic and murine typhus has been shown by active immunization of mice with killed rickettsial suspensions and subsequent challenge with heterologous and homologous toxic substance.

During the preparation of this manuscript, Fitzpatrick published findings showing that 3 of 8 mice immunized with murine typhus vaccine were protected against 3 MLD of epidemic toxic substance administered intravenously and that 8 of 16 mice immunized with epidemic vaccine were protected against <1 MLD of murine typhus rickettsiae administered intraperitoneally (*2*). These results suggested to Fitz-

[1] On temporary leave from the Division of Microbiology, Squibb Institute for Medical Research.

[2] National Institute of Health, Washington, D. C. Communication on the preparation of epidemic typhus vaccine, 10 August 1942 (unpublished).

[3] Young albino Swiss mice (Webster strain) weighing 12–13 grams were used throughout these experiments.

patrick that the toxic factor in the two strains is identical. Although there are antigens common to both strains, our findings do not support the suggestion that the two toxic substances are identical.

References

1. Bengtson, I. A., Topping, N. H., and Henderson, R. G. Withheld from publication.
2. Fitzpatrick, F. K. *Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. Med.*, 1945, **58**, 188.
3. Gildemeister, E., and Haagen, E. *Dtsch. med. Wschr.*, 1940, **66**, 878.
4. Plotz, H. *Science*, 1943, **97**, 20.
5. Plotz, H., Wertman, K., and Bennett, B. L. Withheld from publication.
6. Topping, N. H., Bengtson, I. A., and Henderson, R. G. Withheld from publication.
7. Veintemillas, F. *J. Immunol.*, 1939, **36**, 339.
8. Zinsser, H., and Castaneda, M. R. *J. exp. Med.*, 1933, **57**, 391.
9. Zinsser, H., and Castaneda, M. R. *J. exp. Med.*, 1934, **59**, 471.

The Target Area of Mammalian Red Cells

Eric Ponder

The Nassau Hospital, Mineola, New York

When a suspension of N red cells is placed in a beam of parallel light, its opacity depends in a complex way on the projected area, or "target area," which the cells present in the direction of the light (*3*). As extreme cases, all the cells might be oriented edge-on, or all face-on, and in the former case the opacity would be less than in the latter because the target area is smaller. When the cells are oriented at random, the target area, T, is somewhere between these two extremes. Although the mammalian red cell is a biconcave discoidal body, all its possible projections in a beam of parallel light are those of a similar discoidal body in which the biconcavities are replaced by two planes, one on each side of the cell, passing through the circles of points along the greatest thickness of the rim. We can thus obtain a simple solution of what has been hitherto a troublesome problem by using a little-known theorem which was proved by Cauchy and to which attention has recently been called (*1*): If a body is convex and has area A, A is equal to four times the mean of the area of the projection of the body on a plane for all orientations of the latter. To find the target area of a suspension of red cells oriented at random, we have therefore to find the area, A, of a body of the same shape as that of the average red cell, except that the biconcavities are replaced by the planes described; the target area, T, will then be equal to $NA/4$.

Computations of A have been made from scale drawings of the average red cells of several animals (*2*), using Pappus' theorem for the surface of a solid of revolution. The values are given in Table 1, which also gives the values of S, the surface area of the biconcave discoidal red cell.

The ratio A/S varies from 0.88 to 0.91 in these five types of red cell, and, while the mammalian erythrocyte is by no means constant in shape in all species, it is probably good enough for the purposes of opacimetry to take A as equal to 0.9 S.

TABLE 1

Cells of	A, μ^2	S, μ^2
Man	144	163
Rabbit	100	110
Elk	71	79
Sheep	60	67
Tahr	24	27

The target areas corresponding to the *spherical* forms of the red cells of man, the rabbit, and the sheep are $N \times 25\ \mu^2$, $18\ \mu^2$, and $12\ \mu^2$, respectively.

References

1. Moran, P. A. P. *Nature, Lond.*, 1944, **154**, 490.
2. Ponder, E. *Quart J. exp. Physiol.*, 1930. **20**, 29.
3. Ponder, E. *Amer. J. Physiol.*, 1941, **134**, 739.

Soil Nitrogen and Thrips Injury to Spinach

S. H. Wittwer and Leonard Haseman

University of Missouri

That the nutritive qualities of agricultural crops are influenced by the fertility of the soil on which they are grown is a well-established fact. Also, that a relationship exists between soil fertility and the production of plants resistant to, or unsuitable as food for, insect pests has been demonstrated by recent work at the Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station (*2*). Some of the possibilities in this as yet little explored field of entomology have recently been suggested by a striking relationship observed between the amount of soil nitrogen provided for spinach plants and their "resistance" to attack by the common greenhouse thrips (*Heliothrips haemorrhoidalis*).

New Zealand spinach was grown under controlled conditions in gallon glazed crocks using colloidal clay cultures (*1*). A series of 16 soil treatments was prepared by supplying calcium and nitrogen levels each of 5, 10, 20, and 40 milliequivalents per crock with all possible (*i.e.* 16) combinations in these amounts of the two nutrients. Each series was replicated 10 times. Calcium acetate and ammonium nitrate were the respective sources of the variable elements, and all other nutrients were provided in constant amounts for all treatments. The plants were placed in a greenhouse infested with thrips, the insects being left free to choose whatever plants they wished. During the first