medium was renewed on the cultures of series 1 and 2 on the sixth day of cultivation; on those of series 3 and 4 on the seventh day. Cultures of series 1 and 2 were fixed for cytological study on the seventh day of life in vitro; those of series 3 and 4 on the eighth day. The temperature for incubation was constant at a given point in the incubator but varied from  $42+^{\circ}$  C. at the back side to  $37\frac{1}{2}$ ° C. at the front. The heating units of the incubator consisted of two electric light bulbs (carbon). Consequently, light of a very low intensity was emitted intermittently. All cultures placed in the back half of the incubator were killed.

Microscopic examination of cultures of series 1 revealed the presence of numerous multipolar mitoses (chiefly triasters) in 8 of the 12 cultures fixed and stained. In cultures of series 2, 3 and 4 frequent triasters and other aberrant forms of mitosis have been found in at least 2 cultures of each series. The abnormal division figures consist chiefly of: triasters (ana-, telo-, and reconstruction phases); cells with 3 poles and two spindles. "Resting" cells with two or more nuclei are of frequent occurrence; chromosome vesicles (16 in one cell) have been observed. The unusually large size of cells exhibiting these abnormalities is noteworthy. The cultures presented a very vigorous growth. Over 500 dividing cells were counted in culture 2 of series 1.

A detailed study of the cultures at hand is in progress and the results will be published elsewhere at a later time. From the results already obtained it is obvious that the possibility of repeated production of multipolar mitoses in normal somatic cells in vitro is an important point of departure for determining the significance of this phenomenon of cell growth in relation to malignancy. A program of experimental work designed to analyze the factors responsible for the production of these modified cells has been planned.

E. Frances Stilwell

DEPARTMENT OF ANATOMY,

Woman's Medical College of Pennsylvania

## THE ROLE OF NIGHT TEMPERATURE IN PLANT PERFORMANCE<sup>1</sup>

In the course of observations of the responses of some 240 varieties or species of plants to different temperatures and photoperiods<sup>2</sup> chance evidences became increasingly suggestive that the temperature during the night rather than the daytime level largely determines the type of response which the plants make to temperature. Hamner and Bonner<sup>3</sup> have

<sup>1</sup> Published with the approval of the director of the Agricultural Experiment Station.

<sup>2</sup> R. H. Roberts and B. Esther Struckmeyer, *Jour. Agr. Res.*, 56: 633–677, 1938; *ibid.*, 59: 699–710, 1939.

<sup>3</sup> Karl C. Hamner and James Bonner, *Bot. Gaz.*, 100: 388-431, 1938.

reported this is true in the case of Xanthium (cocklebur).

This past winter several species of plants were grown in four greenhouses at different temperatures, approximately as follows: cool (55° F.); cool/warm (55° F. at night and 75° F. in the daytime); warm/cool (75° F. and 55° F.); and warm (75° F.). Each house had provision for both long- and short-day treatments. Some of the reactions of the plants given warm nights and cool days will be reported briefly.

They were of a pale color, particularly those in short days. "Warm climate" plants as Proso millet, corn, hemp, Biloxi soybean and sorghum were particularly yellowish, some being near the color "Grapefruit." "Cool climate" plants as oxeye daisy, timothy, rye, nasturtium, brome grass and blue grass, on the other hand, developed a relatively good green color in cool days following warm nights.

The plants with pale color because of cool days following warm nights made relatively little growth when compared to those with warm days, either with warm or cool nights. They did, however, have practically normal blossom induction reactions. This was true for such short-day plants as Biloxi soybean, hemp, pigweed, cocklebur, poinsettia, Refugee bean and Jimson weed as well as the long-day species alfalfa, beet and snapdragon. Indeterminate types, as tomato, Russian dandelion and Alaska pea, had a time schedule like the plants in the warm house.

The warm nights with cool days had an effect like the continuously warm environment of delaying or inhibiting the flowering of snapdragon, poinsettia and beets. Other effects which were comparable in these two temperature environments were a reduction in the setting of seed of Alaska peas, alfalfa and yellow sweet clover, delayed tuberization of potatoes, reduced root formation by Russian dandelions and a masking of potato virus symptoms.

Some cool temperature plants, as bluegrass, oats and barley, tend to head better in the cool day house with warm nights than when these are kept warm both day and night, but do not set seed well as in the cooler houses. It would appear possible to use such types and secure evidence that the daytime temperature is most important. Such a conclusion should await the results from carrying the day temperatures at a lower level than was used this season.

These limited preliminary observations indicate that for a number of plants at least, the temperature during the dark period of the day is an important factor affecting blossom induction as well as some other reactions.

R. H. ROBERTS

DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

<sup>4</sup> A. Maerz and Paul M. Rea, "A Dictionary of Color." New York: McGraw Hill.