the glacial materials are older than the last ice advance and are stained by limonite derived from interstadial or interglacial weathering.

There is thus evidence over large areas in New England that an interval of time characterized by intensive frost action and accompanied by deposition of loess has intervened between glacial deposition and the beginning of soil formation. In the Highlands of the Hudson, Denny[9] has shown that during this interval most of the original glacial forms were destroyed, and the warp differs materially from the underlying till not only in texture but also in lithology and hence in chemical composition. The soils, therefore, have a C-horizon quite different, and a course of development unlike what they would have if developed merely by the weathering of the local till.

Fortunately the drainage of New England soils is largely determined, not by the character of the soil horizons which are quite immature, but by the underground. Hence soils developed on till are generally ill-drained and "cold" and those on outwash are generally well-drained and "warm." The existing soil maps which are virtually mere maps of till and outwash have utility in spite of the erroneous theory of soil formation on which they are based.

The perception that many of the minor details of our existing topography in the Piedmont and in New England date back to processes conditioned by a periglacial climate of Pleistocene time represents a great advance. In geomorphology and in soil science the bounds of this advance can not be easily predicted.

KIRK BRYAN

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

DIRECTION FINDING AT 1.67-METER WAVES

SINCE direction finding at ultra high frequency is drawing more interest, I should like to give a brief report on the direction finding work at 1.67-meter waves which has been done in this laboratory.

Up to about two years ago we had been working on a radio-meteorograph[1] sent up with balloons to get the atmospheric temperature, pressure and humidity at various levels from the ground up into the stratosphere. This instrument was developed in this laboratory, and it has been used successfully by the United States Weather Bureau in securing data for weather forecasting.

Different antenna systems were tested for both horizontal and vertical directivity on this wave-length at distances of from seven to thirty miles. The antennas used in these experiments included parabolic antenna, V-type, double V-type, Adcock antennas, etc.

Using an Adcock antenna the azimuth of the incoming electromagnetic wave can be defined within one half degree accuracy, and with a slight modification of the receiving elements to form a horizontal H antenna, the vertical angle of the incoming wave can also be obtained with the same degree of accuracy. The former antenna can be converted into the latter by mechanical means within a few seconds and thus both vertical and horizontal angles can be measured with the same antenna set-up.

With the antenna one and a half wave-lengths above the ground and with the ground surface homogeneous in the immediate vicinity of the receiving antenna, the direction of the incoming electromagnetic wave coincides with that of the transmitter emitting the wave, within the same accuracy of one-half degree.

Since the frequency used is so high that there is no reflection from the Heaviside layer, this eliminates the erroneous directions experienced with longer wave-lengths.

The main difficulty of this experiment seems to lie in the surface conditions of the ground in the vicinity of the receiving antenna. When the ground is wet, and especially when the moisture is not uniformly distributed, deviation of the incoming wave from the true direction of the transmitter arises. Attempts are now being made to overcome this difficulty.

The receiver used for this experiment is a superheterodyne receiver specially designed for this purpose using a resistance-coupled intermediate-frequency amplifier. It is very stable in operation and has ample sensitivity.

The main purpose of this experiment is to apply the directional effect to get wind velocities using the same type of radio-meteorograph and balloons as mentioned above.

The work is being continued in this laboratory and the complete details will be published later.

LUKE C. L. YUAN

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

COLOR FLICKER IN FLUORESCENT LAMPS

MESSRS. Scull, Grosscup and Witting, in their letter published April 12 on the "Apparent Splitting of Light from Fluorescent Lamps into Component Parts by Moving Objects," approximated but did not fully describe what we consider is the explanation of the phenomenon which they describe.

As noted in a paper by Thayer and Barnes,[1] in fluorescent lamps, "the light from the low-pressure mercury discharge is extinguished completely every half cycle on AC operation, but the light from many phosphors decays slowly enough to furnish appreciable light in the interim." Therefore, any inspection by

[9] C. S. Denny, Black Rock Forest *Bull.*, 8, 70 pp. 1938.

[1] O. C. Maier and L. E. Wood, *Jour. Aero. Sci.*, August, 1937.

[1] *Jour. Optical Soc. Amer.*, 133–134, March, 1939.

stroboscopic means of a fluorescent lamp containing such phosphors and operating on AC will show two colors; first, the combined color of the phosphor and the discharge and second, the color of the phosphor alone. In all low-voltage fluorescent lamps, the color of the discharge is the typical blue color of the low-pressure mercury discharge, and it passes through the fluorescent coating and bulb wall without serious absorption. Consequently, to obtain the time-integrated value of 6500° K color temperature in the daylight fluorescent lamp, instantaneous or stroboscopic determinations will reveal, over each half cycle of the AC supply, a brief time interval which is much bluer (while the discharge is operating) and another brief time interval which is much redder (from phosphorescence while the arc is extinguished).

R. N. THAYER

LAMP DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY,
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

THE LENGTH OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE GREATER WAX MOTH

THE larvae of the greater wax moth (*Galleria mellonella*) normally feed on the wax combs of the honeybee. It has been found, however, that wax is not a necessary constituent of their diet, since they were reared on foods free of wax.[1] In the laboratories of the entomology division of the University of Minnesota, 35 generations of healthy wax moths have been reared on a special food used for rearing of various kinds of insects.[2] The temperature of the chamber was maintained at 32° C. and the relative humidity at 75 per cent. The average duration of the development (from the time the larva hatched to the time moth emerged) was equal to 29.3 ± 1.5 days (standard deviation = 3.1 days and coefficient of variation 10.7 per cent.).

The time of development given in the literature is considerably longer. Metalnikow[3] gives 42–49 days; Paddock[4] 90–120 days; Andrews[5] 42–53 days; Chase[6] 33–54 days; Borchert[7] 62–64 days; Smith[8] 56–63 days. Since the duration of development depends on temperature,[3,5] this difference is probably due partially to the fact that the larvae were reared under different temperatures and humidity. It must be remembered, however, that when wax moth larvae are reared in large numbers in one container, they considerably increase the temperature of their surroundings.[9] Therefore one may assume that the quality of food eaten by the larvae influenced the most the length of their development.

MYKOLA H. HAYDAK

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

1 *Ann. Entom. Soc. Amer.*, 29 (4): 581–588, 1936.
2 *Jour. Econ. Entom.*, 29 (5): 1026, 1936.
3 *Arch. Zool. Exp. and Generale*, 4th Series, 8: 489–588, 1908.
4 *Texas Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul.*, No. 231, 1918.
5 *Trans. Wisc. Acad. Sci.*, 20: 255–261, 1921.
6 *Trans. Wisc. Acad. Sci.*, 20: 263–267, 1921.
7 *Zool. Jahre. Abt. Anat.*, 57: 105–115, 1933.
8 *The American Naturalist*, 70: 67–68, 1936.

THE PEACE RESOLUTION OF SCIENTIFIC WORKERS

IN SCIENCE of May 17 (p. 478) Dr. Peyton Rous points to one isolated sentence in that resolution, "The American Scientists can best fulfill their share of this responsibility (contributions to human progress) if the United States remains at peace," and raises the question whether this is not "a conclusion based on premises yet in the making." The resolution should, obviously, be considered as a whole. Every brief statement of such complex processes as the relations of war and peace to the physical and intellectual progress of man achieved through scientific research is necessarily incomplete, partly due to the "tyranny of words." But if we assume that our colleagues who prepared and signed that resolution were and are as honest and objective as they usually are in their scientific publications, the difficulties encountered by Dr. Rous with the above sentence seem to disappear. The premises back of that sentence are not in the making. They are a matter of history. For to me, at least, the sentence does not mean that under no circumstances must our country go to war. It does mean that if and when we go to war we also in substance establish a moratorium on the method, the spirit, and the essential fruits of scientific research. I think this is a fair approximation to historic facts, particularly in the case of modern warfare.

When the resolution was transmitted to the President of the United States, it was explicitly pointed out that the statement represented the considered judgment of those who had attached their signatures. We did not pretend to speak for all American scientists. That degree of accuracy and modesty on our part Dr. Rous might have taken for granted.

A. J. CARLSON

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

DR. ROUS'S note in the May 17th issue of SCIENCE raises some questions concerning the A.A.S.W. peace statement (SCIENCE, May 3). To remove possible misunderstanding, may I point out that the statement, in seeking to emphasize the ultimate futility of war, recommends support "of all reasonable programs" which will preserve peace for the United States, but does not imply "peace at any price."

There can, I think, be little dispute that scientists, as scientists, are bound to support whatever measures are in the long run best adapted to the preservation of freedom of thought and speech. In my own opinion, recent events indicate to American scientists and to Americans generally that such measures now include