

### INFLUENCE OF DEUTERIUM OXIDE ON THE RATE OF PHOTOSYNTHESIS

A STUDY of the influence of heavy water on photosynthesis is of particular interest, not only on account of the fundamental importance of the process, but also because water enters directly into the chemical reaction. Recently we have measured the rate of photosynthesis when  $D_2O$  is used instead of  $H_2O$ .

The rate of  $O_2$  evolution by *Chlorella* suspended in a carbonate-bicarbonate buffer was measured by means of Warburg manometers.<sup>1</sup> The illumination intensity was 2,000 meter candles, and the temperature was 25.7° C. The *Chlorella* was cultured in flasks by the usual method. For an experiment equal volumes of the culture were withdrawn and centrifuged, and the supernatant liquid was decanted. The cells were then washed several times by adding water, centrifuging and decanting. To one sample were added 5 cc of  $H_2O$ . To the other were added 5 cc of 100 per cent.  $D_2O$  (the resulting mixture being about 97 per cent.  $D_2O$ ). Both were shaken and allowed to stand for 30 minutes. The water was then removed, after centrifuging, by decantation, and the buffer (as a rule Warburg's No. 9) was added to the moist cells. One buffer was made with  $H_2O$  and the other with 100 per cent.  $D_2O$ ; due to water clinging to the cells, the latter buffer was diluted to about 99.9 per cent. Measurements were made within the first hour after the buffer had been added. Different cultures were used in the various experiments.

Table 1 gives the results. All the rates have been corrected for respiration except those in experiments 1 and 2. The correction, obtained experimentally,

TABLE 1

Exp.	Buffer	$O_2$ evolved in cmm/min./vessel	Ratio
1*	No. 9 $H_2O$	2.77	1.05
	No. 9 $H_2O$	2.63	
2	No. 9 $D_2O$	1.17	0.38
	No. 9 $H_2O$	3.10	
3	No. 9 $D_2O$	1.27	0.45
	No. 9 $H_2O$	2.84	
4	No. 9 $H_2O$	3.08	1.01
	No. 9 $H_2O$	3.05	
5	No. 7.5 $D_2O$	1.20	0.39
	No. 7.5 $H_2O$	3.04	
	No. 9 $D_2O$	1.30	0.42
	No. 9 $H_2O$	3.12	

\* Exp. 1 indicates the precision of duplicate tests. There were approximately 120 million cells per vessel.

<sup>1</sup> O. Warburg, *Biochem. Zeit.*, 100: 230-270, 1919.

amounted to from 5 to 10 per cent. of the rate of photosynthesis.

In experiment 3 the cells were allowed to remain for 16 hours in 97 per cent.  $D_2O$  before being transferred to the buffer; it may be seen that the rate of photosynthesis, relative to that for cells treated similarly with  $H_2O$ , is about the same as when the period of preliminary soaking was only 30 minutes. In experiment 4 the cells were soaked for 15 hours in 97 per cent.  $D_2O$  and, after removal of this, for 4 hours in ordinary water. The relative rates show that contact with  $D_2O$  for this period of time does not permanently injure the cells.

A comparison is made in experiment 5 of the rates obtained with buffer No. 9 and buffer No. 7.5 (the latter providing one third the  $CO_2$  concentration of the former). The results show that a marked lowering of the  $CO_2$  concentration causes only a slight decrease in the rate of photosynthesis. This indicates that the curves for rate of photosynthesis as a function of  $CO_2$  concentration, for both  $H_2O$  and  $D_2O$ , are relatively flat in the range of  $CO_2$  concentration employed. Therefore, the difference in the rates observed when using  $D_2O$  and  $H_2O$  buffers of the same molar concentration can hardly be due to differences in the  $CO_2$  concentration in the two buffers.

Thus our experiments show that, when measured directly, the rate of photosynthesis with 99.9 per cent.  $D_2O$  is about 0.41 of that with ordinary  $H_2O$ . From chemical analyses of algae cultured in heavy water, Reitz and Bonhoeffer<sup>2</sup> estimated that the velocity constant for assimilation of D was about 0.43 that for assimilation of H.

The difference in rates of photosynthesis with  $D_2O$  and  $H_2O$  can not as yet be explained in terms of the mechanism of the reaction, but we are continuing our experiments with this object in view. The use of  $D_2O$  provides a new experimental approach to the problem of establishing a generally satisfactory theory for the mechanism of the photosynthetic process.

This work was aided by a grant from the Rockefeller Foundation.

JAMES CURRY  
SAM F. TRELEASE

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

<sup>2</sup> O. Reitz and K. F. Bonhoeffer, *Z. physik. Chem.*, A, 172: 369-388, 1935.

### BOOKS RECEIVED

- BIGELOW, ROBERT P. *Directions for the Dissection of the Cat*. Revised edition. Pp. xi + 65. 5 figures. Macmillan. \$0.90.
- MCILHENNY, E. A. *The Alligator's Life History*. Pp. 117. Illustrated. Christopher. \$2.50.
- VAN VALKENBURG, SAMUEL and ELLSWORTH HUNTINGTON. *Europe*. Pp. x + 651. Illustrated. Wiley. \$4.50.