

Close association of particles, whether considered as "chemical" or "physical," is naturally followed by changes in kinetics and surface specificities. Professor Hugh Taylor (Princeton) has shown that with many catalysts activity is mainly due to a relatively small number of very highly efficient minute areas.

Apparently, Dr. Sumner¹ quoted Theorell's paper because it reports the *crystallization* of the oxidation enzyme. Assuming that activity is not due to an adsorbed impurity, crystallization of a substance is no evidence whatever that the same substance may not form a colloidal dispersion. For many years, teachers, writers and texts have perpetuated the erroneous notion that if a substance crystallizes it can not be a colloid, despite the fact that Thomas Graham, in his pioneer papers, clearly pointed out that the same substance (*e.g.*, silica) may exist either in crystalloidal or in colloidal form. We now know that *colloidal particles may be crystalline*.⁸ Graham stated: "The inquiry suggests itself whether the colloid molecule may not be constituted by the grouping together of a number of smaller crystalloid molecules, and whether the basis of colloidalness may not really be this composite character of the molecule."

There is no lack of evidence that enzymes are colloidal when in active dispersion. An interesting sidelight on the states bordering on crystallinity has just been thrown by Astbury and Lomax⁹ by their x-ray studies of the interaction of water and proteins, including pepsin and trypsin. Furthermore, Svedberg's ultra-centrifugal researches show how protein molecules form groups of varied size, kinetics and external residual fields of force, depending on conditions. And I must repeat: "At the lower range of the colloidal zone, we have a reconciliation between the chemical and colloidal aspects of living matter"—even if Dr. Sumner refuses to be reconciled. Scientists should not attempt to force nature into a stoichiometric strait-jacket.

JEROME ALEXANDER

THE DENSITY OF WATER IN RELATION TO ITS THERMAL HISTORY

THERE has recently been accumulated a considerable body of experimental data¹ which is now being interpreted on the assumption that water which has shortly before been ice (called ice water) has an internal structure different from water which has shortly before been steam (called steam water). It is postu-

⁸ See, *e.g.*, P. Scherrer, *Nachr. Ges. Wissen.* Goettingen, 96, 1918.

⁹ See *Chemistry and Industry*, November 16, 1934, report of Manchester meeting, on November 10, of the Chemical Society.

¹ For a recent complete bibliography and discussion see T. C. Barnes and T. L. Jahn, *Quart. Rev. Biol.*, 9: 292, 1934.

lated that ice water at a definite temperature contains a greater proportion of the polymerized or bulky molecules of water than does steam water at the same temperature; this difference in extent of polymerization gradually decreasing with time until both the ice water and steam water become identical.

It has occurred to us that an unambiguous test of these assumptions could be made by measuring the density of ice water and steam water by the methods which we have been using in connection with another research.² Since "trihydrol" is supposed to have a density of 0.88 and "dihydrol"³ 1.08942, and since we can measure relative densities with an error not greater than one part per million (p.p.m.), it is evident that we should be able to detect a slight difference in the percentage of trihydrol. A study of the densities of ice and steam water was chosen for the reason that the presence of impurities would increase the density of water, whereas the presence of a greater proportion of the trihydrol would decrease the density of water.

Ordinary laboratory distilled water was redistilled from alkaline permanganate and the temperature of floating equilibrium of the totally immersed float measured at 23° C. Without removing the water from the cylinder, approximately four fifths of the water was frozen, then remelted and the temperature of floating equilibrium again determined as quickly as possible. The temperature was exactly the same as before; therefore, the freezing and melting of the water and ice produced no detectable change in the internal structure of the water. The final temperature was measured within an hour from the time that the ice melted, and a significant change in density could have been detected within half an hour. It is believed by some, however, that large block commercial ice on melting gives more trihydrol than does ice made from laboratory distilled water. Accordingly, we melted two selected blocks of clear commercial ice and within an hour from complete melting again measured the temperature of floating equilibrium. The temperature this time was higher than the two previous measurements by 0.010° C., which indicates that this ice water has a greater density than highly purified water to the extent of 2.4 p.p.m. Again there was no indication of an enhanced percentage of "trihydrol" of the ice water as compared to steam water. We conclude that the biologists and others should explain their data on hypotheses different from the hypothesis of a greater trihydrol content of ice water.

MALCOLM DOLE
B. Z. WIENER

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

² M. Dole, *Jour. Chem. Phys.*, 2: 337, 1934.

³ See note 1.