the wind-laid cover; the actual floor of the valley is the east-dipping sand layer on top of the clay.

The profiles of these escarpments are distinctly suggestive of new fault blocks, and according to their own statement, Dake and Nelson based their fault hypothesis on profiles only, as viewed from the road. Further study of alignment, continuation into water-lines, character of material, structure and coincidence of levels makes the fault hypothesis wholly untenable.

These are not fault scarps. They are simply two wave-cut cliffs in wind-built mounds, lying essentially parallel and arranged *en echelon* on the zigzag shore-line of the same lake.

STERLING B. TALMAGE
NEW MEXICO SCHOOL OF MINES

LIGHTNING PROTECTION FOR TREES

REFERRING to my brief article on this subject in the December 1, 1933, issue of SCIENCE, Dr. M. G. Lloyd, of the Bureau of Standards, takes exception, in the issue of December 29, to my statement that the slow discharge from the point of a lightning rod tends to minimize the probability of a direct stroke to the rod. The grounds for his criticism are apparently limited to a belief, which he states is held by those who have studied the general experience with lightning rods, that the points do not function as indicated. Dr. Lloyd quotes no specific observations, experiments nor measurements. On the other hand, he admits that the results of controlled laboratory studies indicate the effectiveness of point discharge to the lowering of over-all potential difference.

In my article, it is clearly pointed out that the protective value of a lightning rod point is directly related to the rate at which it discharges, and that often the accumulation of potential difference by the approach of a thunder cloud may be so rapid as to offset completely any lowering due to point discharge, with resulting direct stroke to the rod. This certainly happens in many and perhaps the majority of cases. There are, however, no certain records and apparently no available methods for determining whether, even in these cases, the intensity of the stroke is not diminished by the foregoing discharge of the point.

Certainly the claim that the rod point has no value in reducing over-all potential would appear to be an extreme one. There is in fact much indirect experience to the contrary. Laboratory experiments readily show such value. Visual discharge from elevated points of all character is frequently visible, even in the absence of storm clouds, as, for example, in St. Elmo's fire, the discharges from mountain peaks and particularly from lightning rods. Experimental points on the latter have been found to be melted without any evidence of lightning stroke. Even in

storms, lightning rods have been frequently seen to discharge with streamers of varying length, some of them reaching to great heights, thus giving evidence of moderate discharges, which do not, however, mount to the magnitude of a direct stroke or completed cloud discharge. Certainly the most important return from the initial cost of a lightning rod is in its function of receiving the stroke when it comes. On the other hand, the view that the rod never serves to prevent a direct stroke nor to minimize its intensity seems to me to be somewhat extreme and to be not justified by existing record.

JOHN B. WHITEHEAD
THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES AND THE PUBLIC

A FEW years ago a butcher drowned himself in one of Minneapolis' million dollar reclaimed lakes because he was indicted on the ground that his pounds of meat were not sixteen ounces. Had he taken the trouble to can his meat he might have saved himself this disagreeable experience, as I understand that during the Hoover administration the "pound can" was "standardized" to fifteen ounces.

Few people know that the United States Government does not possess a standard yard, and there is no assurance that the yard standards of the different states are the same. The land surveys in Texas are made on the Spanish yard or "vara," whereas when one crosses the border into Mexico he finds the meter is used rather extensively. A typical American reaction to the metric system is expressed in a remark made by our mechanic, who stated that a professor asked him to cut a metal bar exactly one meter and one inch long. In 1916 there was a bill before a Congressional committee on the legalizing of the Centigrade thermometer. At the same time millions of Centigrade thermometers were being manufactured in this country and sold abroad. Americans have claimed that it is impossible to change to the metric system because of the expense of changing machinery. At the Baltimore fire, the fire apparatus sent from New York, Washington and Philadelphia did not fit, and a meeting of fire chiefs was held, but they could not agree, and so they called in Stratton, director of the Bureau of Standards, to suggest a standard coupling. He gave them a figure in fractions of an inch, but it was really a metric thread and his suggestion was accepted without question.

In Tokyo in 1932 I saw a procession which was said to be a celebration of Buddha's birthday. The next day I traveled to Sendai; the day following I saw a procession which looked similar to the one seen at Tokyo since I could not read the Chinese characters, and I asked my interpreter if Buddha's birthday was on a different day in Sendai from Tokyo. He replied

that it was an advertisement of the metric system. I noticed that, although some stores were selling cloth measured in "shaku" and other ancient units, the size of shirts was in centimeters and distances by railroad were in kilometers.

A technician in a medical laboratory was trying to follow directions in a manual which called for a test-tube 20 millimeters in diameter. Not being familiar with the millimeter, she looked it up in a handbook and found that there were 25 millimeters in an inch, approximately. She marked her height on the wall, divided it into inches and then divided the inch into 25 parts. Such processes are often necessary in changing from one system to another. To avoid calculations as much as possible (at present when many people are out of work) it would be well to change over a great many measures into metric units (and to correct a number of errors).

Our grandfathers were told that a billion is a million million, and we find this usage in all the world except the United States. One of our Congresses spent a thousand million dollars and called it a billion, and ever since then ("by act of Congress") a thousand million has been a billion to us. In water analyses, if we express figures in "parts per billion," they are not understood by foreigners, so we have to explain them as (for instance) micrograms per kilogram or milligrams per metric ton. Until recently we have had little use for the unit microgram, and many persons abbreviate it by the Greek letter γ. One often sees the amount of a chemical substance recorded in so many "gammas" as though a gamma were a unit of measure instead of a unit of the alphabet. A more serious error is often made in the conception of the micro-meter, which is a millionth of a meter and not a thousandth of a millimeter. A micro-meter is abbreviated μ, and the micro-micro-meter $\mu\mu$. Many persons imagine that a micro-meter is a thousandth of a millimeter and suppose that a micro-micro-meter is a thousandth of a micro-meter, whereas it is a millionth of a micro-meter.

The "Western" scientist will not read a scientific paper if it is written in Chinese characters. We demand that the writer use the Latin alphabet. At the same time we are very careless in units of weights and measures. Perhaps in this period of unemployment the teaching of the metric system to a larger part of the population might be of advantage.

J. F. McCLENDON

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

REFLECTIONS ON TWO SCIENTIFIC NEWS ITEMS

Two news items in SCIENCE for January 26 call for further comment. On page 76 the destruction by fire of Morrill Hall, of the University of Tennessee, is re-corded. This means the loss to science of the Gattinger herbarium, on which the flora of the state, published in 1900, was based, as well as early collections by Ainslie, Bain, Kearney, Lamson-Scribner, Ruth and others. All these were of great scientific value, and are largely irreplaceable, since the native flora of vast areas has since been destroyed by lumbering, agriculture, grazing, etc. This serious blow to students of taxonomy and plant geography may well lead to queries: How many other botanical collections of historic and scientific importance are at present housed in buildings subject to destruction by fire? Can not some steps be taken to provide safer quarters for them?

On the preceding page it was noted that the director of a certain government organization is to retire by reason of age in June, but instead of receiving the usual pension of $1,200, he is to be made director emeritus at $5,000 per year. At the present time many eminent government scientists are being laid off with the same pension as is received by watchmen and stenographers. A few years age Dr. L. O. Howard, chief of the Bureau of Entomology and one of the leading scientists of the present day, was retired under such circumstances, and I have yet to notice in the pages of SCIENCE that any bill has been introduced into the Congress to make him chief emeritus at a salary commensurate with his value to the government. Query: How much of the people's money is being spent on special pensions for government workers who have succeeded in gaining political favor? Are any scientists being thus aided, in proportion to their ability, productiveness or usefulness to our country?

EDGAR T. WHERRY

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

WARNING CONCERNING AN IMPOSTOR

I AM writing a note of warning to readers of SCIENCE against a clever impostor who has been making the rounds of colleges—Minnesota, Iowa, Illinois, etc. He has a very clever story, doesn't ask for money, but in a number of known cases has received contributions. He works up each case to fit his "prospect," who was the classmate or friend of his "father" or "grandfather" in college. He will know all about his prospect.

According to his story, he was driving across country to fill an internship at Toronto; met with an accident (at a place to fit each case); left about all of his money to bond himself, and was left short of funds, after buying his ticket to Toronto.

He is rather dark, dark hair, rather slight build, about 5 ft., 7 in. in height and about 26 years old.

CHARLES P. SIGERFOOS

THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA