

Coppermine and Cape Hope's Advance, a group of 15 northern stations will report the occurrence of aurora, giving its intensity in terms of the brightness of the auroral green line in a direct vision spectro-scope.

From the data obtained at "polar year" stations in both eastern and western hemispheres, one may expect information that has been needed for years in geophysical science. The movement and character-

istics of polar air masses, their interaction with air masses in temperature latitudes, the simultaneity of auroral forms, and magnetic disturbance over the earth are examples of large-scale problems which could only be treated by each nation contributing a share in a world-wide endeavor.

ANDREW THOMSON

METEOROLOGICAL SERVICE OF
CANADA, TORONTO

QUOTATIONS

HOW A NEWSPAPER LOOKS AT COSMIC RAYS

THE *New York Times* for September 18 prints a leading editorial article entitled "Cosmic-Ray Romancing" which reads as follows:

Your physicist is supposed to be a hard, matter-of-fact measurer who suppresses romantic speculation and talks only of energy, volts, ions and electrons. Confront him with a mystery and he proves to be as human as the rest of us. Consider the cosmic rays. For years Millikan in this country and Kolhoerster, Hess, Regener and others in Europe have been studying them only to their own mystification and ours. Measuring instruments are dropped into lakes a thousand feet deep or elevated twenty miles above sea-level. Piccard imperils his life to determine the true nature of the rays. Professor Compton and a devoted band of physicists station themselves at the Equator, in the far north, on mountaintops, in deep mines to conduct their investigations. And the result? Romance—sheer romance.

Millikan spins a tale of electrons and protons combining in space, and of resultant cosmic rays that proclaim the continuous upbuilding of the universe, contrary to all the laws of thermodynamics. Jeans holds us spell-bound with a poem about stars dying in a fierce radiance and bombarding us with cosmic rays in the process. Regener, as practical as the Irish foreman of a railway section gang when it comes to counting ions, looks at his equations as into a crystal and sees the beginning of things—sees primitive stars shedding cosmic rays and suffusing a relativistic universe from which they can not escape because it is closed and finite. Stimulated by him, others imagine that, just as the bones of a dinosaur tell us something of the life that was on earth a few million years ago, so these fossil cosmic rays reveal the Almighty in the act of fashioning electrons and protons into nebulae, suns, planetary systems and man himself.

For all the instruments and methods invented to test the cosmic rays, the physicist is still the medicine-man from whom he is descended. Electroscopes and ionization chambers and other cosmic-ray measuring instruments seem strangely like wands and totem poles, and Einsteinian equations but incantations that make us believe we know more than we really do. That we are actually dealing with something like wish-fulfillments in

the cosmic rays is evidenced by the results obtained. Here is Millikan convincing himself that the cosmic rays prove that the universe is self-perpetuating. And Compton, adopting precisely the same methods, reaches the conclusion that the rays are only electrons swerving to the Poles because the earth is a great spinning magnet. What are the cosmic rays? There is no positive answer. We simply try to reconcile what the instruments indicate with our hopes and beliefs and imagine we understand the cosmos.

The same issue of the *Times* contains an editorial note entitled "It is Done with Mathematics" which reads:

It is a relief to read that Professor Compton is back from studying cosmic rays in the Arctic region with the definite report that Professor Millikan is wrong. The cosmic ray, says Professor Compton, is not a wave, as Millikan thinks, but a particle.

It is a relief to find that when two men in the high realms of science hold opposite views one of them is right and the other is wrong. Hitherto the public has had to get used to the idea that when two great physicists differ radically about something in the universe the answer is that both men are right.

What is the electron, a wave or a particle? It spreads after going through a hole, like a wave. It hits other electrons like a particle. Easy, says science. An electron is both a wave and particle. That would be nonsense by the rules of common sense, but it makes sense in the new sciences. There is a formula for it.

Some people think the universe is expanding. Some people think the universe is contracting. They are both right, says science. Professor Eddington can think of its being an expanding universe and a contracting universe simultaneously. Or, rather, he can find a mathematical formula that will describe that startling situation.

In the same manner space is finite and space is infinite. There is a formula.

Obviously it is a delightful world in which you can have the coffee simultaneously hot and iced and out of the same cup, your egg simultaneously hard-boiled and scrambled, and the griddle cakes at the same time round and oblong.

But occasionally it is a relief to find black as the opposite of white and right as the counterpart of wrong.