

DR. LLEWELLYN T. SPENCER has been promoted to assistant professor of psychology at Yale University.

At the Harvard Dental School, Dr. Frank T. Taylor has been promoted to clinical professor of operative dentistry and Dr. Percy R. Howe has been appointed Thomas A. Forsyth professor of dental science.

DR. VICENTE G. LAVA, Ph.D. in physical chemistry from Columbia University, has been appointed associate professor of agricultural chemistry at the University of the Philippines.

DR. JOHN SAMUEL DUNKERLY, senior lecturer in zoology in the University of Glasgow, has been appointed Beyer professor of zoology and director of the zoological laboratory at the University of Manchester, in succession to Professor Hickson, who will retire in September.

L. G. SIMS has been appointed lecturer in electrical engineering at the University of Birmingham, vice Dr. O. R. Randall, who has been appointed professor of electrotechnics at the Witwatersrand University, Johannesburg.

PROFESSOR W. FISCHER, director of the Pathological Institute at Rostock, has been elected rector of the Rostock Medical Faculty.

DISCUSSION AND CORRESPONDENCE

SCIENTISTS AND THE INCOME TAX

ACTING on the request of the executive committee of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, I have tried to assemble such information as may be had regarding the operation of the income tax on scientists. It is assumed that the general provisions are easily understood, such as, individuals required to file returns, exemptions on account of family relationships, etc., but in applying the general provisions of the law to scientists some points have come up that have required interpretation. This report is intended to deal only with these less obvious matters.

One feature chiefly has raised questions of doubt, that of exemptions. In 1924, Committee O, of the American Association of University Professors, with the help of Professor Thomas S. Adams, of Yale University, undertook to secure solutions of several of these problems. The results of this inquiry were published in the *Bulletin* of that association in December, 1924.

Similar inquiries have been made for the engineering profession and the substance of the result was published in the *Proceedings* of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 52: 72, 1926.

The American Medical Association has carried on

an active investigation into the relation of the income tax to physicians, the outcome of which was summed up in the *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 84: 446-448, 1925 (Feb. 7).

Since these groups have much in common and since rulings made on test cases brought out in one group often clarify the situation for the others, an attempt has been made here to present briefly the substance of pertinent decisions made on points involving scientists. In this I have tried to follow closely the authoritative wording where practicable.

SOCIETY DUES

Dues paid to charitable and professional organizations not run for profit are deductions permitted in computing the tax. All dues paid during the taxable year are included. This deduction should be made on the income tax blank entry under business expense.

TRAVELING EXPENSES

While business men and engineers traveling solely for business purposes may deduct travel expenses, including cost of entertaining others, when it can be shown that the sums were spent on prospective clients, physicians attending medical conventions and scientists attending scientific meetings may not deduct traveling expenses. In the latter case these exemptions are regarded as personal expenses not undertaken in the hope of profit as a major consideration.

When, however, a member of a college or university faculty while on sabbatical leave travels, "especially for the purpose of study, travel and research work," the information gathered being used to supplement courses of study on which they usually prepare a report to their superiors, traveling expenses incurred are allowable deductions from gross income. This is considered as comparable to the case of a clergyman whose traveling expenses incurred in attending a general convention of the church are deductible from gross income.

Scientists, traveling as experts in connection with their profession, are allowed to deduct traveling expenses on the same basis as the faculty member traveling on sabbatical leave referred to above.

SALARIES OF STENOGRAPHERS, CLERKS, ETC., STATIONERY, OFFICE SUPPLIES AND EQUIPMENT

When college professors employ stenographers and clerks in connection with the activities from which they derive their income directly, the salaries paid by them are deductible, as also sums paid personally for stationery, office supplies and equipment.

ATTENDANCE ON SUMMER SCHOOLS, POST-GRADUATE COURSES, ETC.

Although college and university people attend sum-

mer schools and do post-graduate work in the hope thereby of increasing their knowledge and value as teachers and researchers, and of securing for themselves advancement with higher salaries, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue rules that the cost of such study is a personal expense and not deductible.

DEPRECIATION OF LIBRARY, APPARATUS AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL EQUIPMENT

While the situation on this point is not altogether clear, decisions in the main favor an allowance for depreciation, particularly where the taxpayer derives a material income from expert work.

From what has been said, it appears clear that in the administration of the income tax law, the scientist suffers in the matter of exemptions because of the absence of the idea of financial gain from his doings. He is regarded by the law as interpreted to be doing it all for his personal satisfaction, for which satisfaction he must pay. When his operations are dictated by a desire to make money, a taxpayer may claim and secure many exemptions denied to the scientist.

It is hoped that the further development of this subject, through decisions and otherwise, may be followed up and reported as matters of interest appears.

RODNEY H. TRUE,
Secretary, Committee of One Hundred

EDWARD SYLVESTER MORSE

I WAS greatly pleased at the beautiful tribute paid to my dear friend, Edward Sylvester Morse. May I add a word of appreciation:

I first met Professor Morse at a meeting of the Association for the Advancement of Science, at Indianapolis, in 1871. Dr. P. H. Jameson, leading physician at Indianapolis at the time, gave a dinner to some of the visiting scientists and invited me to attend. It was at this dinner that I met Professor Morse. It was my good fortune to have his sincere friendship during all these remaining years. In 1923 my wife drove me and my boys to Cambridge to attend my fiftieth anniversary. On the day following the commencement, Professor Charles L. Jackson, emeritus professor of chemistry at Harvard, and one of my teachers when I was there, invited my family to dine with him at his summer home at Pride's Crossing. We went through Salem en route. I drove to the museum to see my old friend and learned that he was emeritus, but that he was in the museum nearly every day. The attendant said that if I would wait until he could be called up, he certainly would come down to see me. Over the telephone, he said, "I will be down in a few minutes." He was still just the same boy that he was when I first met him fifty-two

years before. He was particularly interested in my boys, who, at the time, were nine and eleven years of age. He showed them all his precious possessions from Japan. He illustrated, at my request, how he could draw on the blackboard with the right and left hand at the same time. He was just as much of a boy as my two boys were, and they have spoken of him continually since.

I am glad that, as this was the last time I saw him, it was under those circumstances which illustrated those very traits of character which Dr. Dall has so vividly described. The memory of this last meeting will, of course, always be as vivid to me as the first time I saw him. His life was typical of how a devotee of science may at the same time be warm-hearted, wideawake and an interesting human being.

H. W. WILEY

WASHINGTON, D. C.

THE AMATEUR SCIENTIST IN THE ACADEMIC WORLD

At first glance, the things we do are divided into two classes: those we do from a desire within and those we do by virtue of some sort of compulsion from without.

Like most attempts at classification, however, this is incomplete. The two categories are not mutually exclusive, but the statement can nevertheless be taken as a useful first approximation.

The world's largest manufacturer of photographic goods has described himself as "an amateur photographer." Nor is this simply an exhibition of shrinking modesty; it is a statement of motive. It classifies his professional activity in the former of the two categories mentioned above. And he is fortunate, of course, who finds himself in such a position.

It is obvious, on a moment's reflection, that an "amateur" is not one who is any the less skilful or trained than a "professional," but is rather one whose motive for doing a thing is activated by the pure love of doing it.

Now a person's pursuit may be ever so laudable and still not be "amateurish" (being careful to divest that word of its inferiority complex). Even the teacher, the preacher or the missionary may be responding to the call of duty rather than to an inner urge.

Psychologically speaking, there are doubtless many "activators" or precursors of desire, but one of the most potent of these is curiosity. In and by itself it has led men to explore the world, delve into the earth, analyze, synthesize, create. It has been the silent watchword running through the whole history of science, and nothing will ever take its place in this field. Nothing but the irresistible urge to *know* could