Doubtless differences in genetical constitution should receive consideration in seeking explanation of such difference in behavior, but apparently such consideration must include modifications of the physical and chemical mechanisms within the organism. Possibly the marked deficiency in bulk of tissue interposed between synthetic and storage centers (leaf and root) in the sugar beet as compared with the massive stalk of maize may exercise a controlling influence upon metabolic response, but it is evident that with the former plant species we are dealing with the composition of stem tissue, which may be expected to show greater variability of composition than the seed. In comparing the gross anatomical structure of wheat with that of maize it is noteworthy that, while possessing an even greater proportionate bulk of stem (in terms of dry matter), the former lacks the bulky cob structure of maize.

We are inclined, however, to attach special significance to differences in chemical mechanism within the plant. It would seem profitable, in this connection, to compare the composition of corresponding organs and especially of the leaves, in different plant species, with reference to diurnal and seasonal variations. The data of Table I were obtained by S. Lepkovsky and the writer from chemical analysis of leaf mesophyll tissue from dent maize and sugar mangold, sampled simultaneously from adjacent plantings in the field under identical environmental conditions. While the stage of growth within the complete life cycle of the two plant species was here quite different, the results may serve to suggest the type of differences in metabolic reactions which could be expected to accompany wide differences in physiological stability.

The data of Table I are typical of the relatively low planes of total and soluble nitrogen found in the maize leaf, as compared with that of the sugar mangold. In the former, in addition to a high proportion of insoluble nitrogen, the free amino acids are conspicuous among the less highly organized nitrogenous compounds. These features accompany a relative dryness of tissue in the maize leaf. It is at least noteworthy that plants differing so markedly as these two in physiological behavior should also differ widely in composition of an organ with preponderant synthetic functions. We venture to suggest that results of importance to the explanation of physiological stability in various plant forms may accrue from investigation along the course here outlined. Acknowledgments are due to Professors B. E. Livingston and E. J. Kraus for constructive criticisms incorporated herein.

W. E. TOTTINGHAM

DEPARTMENT OF AGRIC. CHEMISTRY,
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

THE GEORGIA ACADEMY OF SCIENCE

THE Georgia Academy of Science held its second annual meeting on November 30 and December 1 at the Georgia School of Technology. On Friday afternoon, November 30, there was a short business meeting, at which the officers for next year were elected as follows: W. S. Nelms, Emory University, *president*; B. M. Hall, Atlanta, *vice-president*; Henry Fox, Mercer University, *secretary-treasurer*; J. R. Fain, State College of Agriculture; J. M. Reade, University of Georgia; L. L. Hendren, University of Georgia, and W. V. Skiles, Georgia School of Technology, *members of the eexcutive council.* After the business meeting the rest of the afternoon was given to the presentation of papers, the titles of which were as follows:

The behavior of beta-halogen phosphorus compounds toward alkaline reagents: E. L. JACKSON (introduced by J. S. Guy).

A report on and partial explanation of some long time fertilizer work on fruits: T. H. MCHATTON.

Some general statements concerning the geological development of Georgia: S. W. MCCALLIE.

A transition period in Georgia agriculture: A. M. SOULE (read by title).

Flood control in connection with water power development: B. M. HALL.

At 6.30 p. m. the meeting adjourned for the annual dinner, which was served in the dining-hall of the school of technology, following which the academy School of Technology, following which the academy President M. L. Brittain, of the School of Technology; an address by the retiring president of the academy, Dr. R. P. Stephens, and one by Dr. J. E. Paullin, of Atlanta, on "A bio-chemical consideration of insulin in the treatment of diabetes mellitus."

On Saturday, at 9.00 a. m., the meeting was again called to order and the following papers were presented:

Lightning and some of its effects: D. T. SAVANT (introduced by T. W. Fitzgerald).

Torques and forces between high frequency currents: W. A. PARLIN (introduced by W. S. Nelms).

Potash in Georgia soils: L. M. CARTER (read by title).

Is psychology science? G. C. WHITE.

Modifications in the Morecroft radio sending circuits: J. B. PEEBLES.

Adaptability of pasture plants to the climate and soils of coastal Georgia: J. R. FAIN (read by title).

Studies in the life history of Euglena: W. B. BAKER (introduced by R. C. Rhodes).

HENRY FOX,
Secretary