

SCIENCE

A Weekly Journal devoted to the Advancement of Science, publishing the official notices and proceedings of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, edited by J. McKeen Cattell and published every Friday by

THE SCIENCE PRESS

11 Liberty St., Utica, N. Y. Garrison, N. Y.
New York City: Grand Central Terminal

Annual Subscription, \$6.00 Single Copies, 15 Cts.

Entered as second-class matter January 21, 1922, at the Post Office at Utica, N. Y., Under the Act of March 3, 1879.

VOL. LVI OCTOBER 13, 1922 No. 1450

CONTENTS

The British Association for the Advancement of Science:

The Theory of Numbers: PROFESSOR G. H. HARDY 401

Whither? DR. MARTIN FISCHER..... 405

Alexander Smith: PROFESSOR ALAN W. C. MENZIES 409

Scientific Events:

The Cost of Research Work; Peking Union Medical College; Legal Restrictions on Types of Babcock Glassware; The Conference on Highways; The American Psychological Association..... 409

Scientific Notes and News..... 413

University and Education Notes..... 416

Discussion and Communications:

The Pathology of the Parasuchians: DR. ROY L. MOODIE. Measurement of Human Crania: PROFESSOR ROLAND B. DIXON. Bibliography and Research: K. C. WALKER. An Unusual Solitaire Game: PROFESSOR L. E. DICKSON. Science in Fiction: DR. EDWIN E. SLOSSON..... 417

Quotations:

The Work of General Gorgas..... 419

Scientific Books:

Osgood and Graustein's Plane and Solid Analytic Geometry: PROFESSOR G. A. MILLER 420

Special Articles:

Water Culture Experimentation: PROFESSOR W. F. GERICKE. The Sperms of Vallisneria: PROFESSOR ROBERT B. WYLIE..... 421

The American Mathematical Society: PROFESSOR R. G. D. RICHARDSON..... 423

The American Chemical Society: DR. CHARLES L. PARSONS 424

THE THEORY OF NUMBERS¹

THERE is probably less difference between the methods of a physicist and a mathematician than is generally supposed. The most striking among them seems to me to be this, that the mathematician is in much more direct contact with reality. This may perhaps seem to you a paradox, since it is the physicist who deals with the subject-matter to which the epithet "real" is commonly applied. But a very little reflection will show that the "reality" of the physicist, whatever it may be (and it is extraordinarily difficult to say), has few or none of the attributes which common-sense instinctively marks as real. A chair may be a collection of whirling atoms, or an idea in the mind of God. It is not my business to suggest that one account of it is obviously more plausible than the other. Whatever the merits of either of them may be, neither draws its inspiration from the suggestions of common-sense.

Neither the philosophers, nor the physicists themselves, have ever put forward any very convincing account of what physical reality is, or of how the physicist passes, from the confused mass of fact or sensation with which he starts, to the construction of the objects which he classifies as real. We can not be said, therefore, to know what the subject-matter of physics is; but this need not prevent us from understanding the task which a physicist is trying to perform. That, clearly, is to correlate the incoherent body of facts confronting him with some definite and orderly scheme of abstract relations, the kind of scheme, in short, which he can borrow only from mathematics.

A mathematician, on the other hand, fortunately for him, is not concerned with this

¹ From the address of the president of the Section of Mathematics and Physics, given at the Hull meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science.