SCIENCE

Friday, November 18, 1921.

CONTENTS	
What is the Matter with Physics Teaching?: W.	
S. Franklin	
Requirements of a Monograph on the Chemistry	
of Cellulose: Louis E. Wise	479
Eugenics—The American and Norwegian Pro-	1.0
grams: Dr. Henry Fairfield Osborn	482
Samuel Stockton Voorhees: Dr. W. F. Hille-	102
	484
Scientific Events:	701
Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturers'	
Association of the United States; The Edi-	
torship of the "Journal of Industrial Chem-	
istry; '' Director of the Harvard College	
Observatory; A Southern Forest Experiment	
Station; Organization for Research at the	
Pennsylvania State College; Sigma Xi Lec-	405
tures at Yale University	485
Scientific Notes and News	488
University and Educational News	490
Discussion and Correspondence:	
Latitude and Vertebræ: DR. DAVID STARR	
JORDAN. Abstracts and Titles of Scientific	
Articles from the Librarian's Standpoint:	
THE LATE EUNICE R. OBERLY. Longitudinal	
Electromagnetic Forces: Dr. Carl Hering.	
The Scientific Bureaus of the Government:	
Dr. Charles D. Walcott	490
Quotations:	
Meeting of the American Association in	
Canada	493
Scientific Books:	
Grabau's Text-book of Geology: Professor	
H. L. FAIRCHILD	494
Special Articles:	
A Precision Determination of the Dimensions	
of the Unit Crystal of Rock Salt: Dr.	
WHEELER P. DAVEY	497
The American Electrochemical Society: A. D.	
Spillman	498
The Optical Society of America: Dr. Irwin G.	

MSS. intended for 'publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to The Editor of Science, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

Priest 501

WHAT IS THE MATTER WITH PHYSICS TEACHING? 1

The recent appointment by the National Research Council and by the American Physical Society of committees on the teaching of physics shows that our physicists who are primarily interested in research are beginning to see that something is the matter with the college teaching of physics. The question in everyone's mind is "Why the widespread dislike of physics by college students?" As a long-time member of this society I have had much intercourse with engineering teachers, and I have long had in mind an additional question: "Why the widespread contempt of physics teaching among engineering faculties?"

Before giving my answer to those questions I must point out that there is one kind of contempt of physics teaching among engineering teachers which is to the discredit of engineering teachers themselves, namely, the contempt which many of them have for straight and accurate thinking which does not conform to their own careless ways. When I meet with this contempt, which is much too often, I am sorry to say, I always think of a phrase P. G. Tait used in a discussion he gave many years ago of the perennial question of elementary mechanics. "In defense of accuracy," says Tait, "we must be zealous, even unto slaying." It must be conceded that P. G. Tait's ideas concerning elementary mechanics were and are absolutely correct as far as they go, and, after agreeing to use the word weight to designate the pull of the earth on a body, he never reverted to the usage of the grocer and the coal man. This is a thing many of our en-

¹ The opening of a discussion of physics teaching at the Orono meeting of the New England Section of the Society for the Promotion of Engineering Education; autumn, 1921.