SCIENCE

FRIDAY, APRIL 9, 1920

CONTENTS

The Division of Anthropology and Psychol-

ogy of the National Research Council: Pro-

FESSOR W. V. BINGHAM	353
The Technology Plan: Professor William H. Walker	3 5 7
Dolomieu: Dr. George F. Kunz	359
Scientific Events:— The Mackenzie Davidson Memorial; The English Union of Scientific Workers; The Harvard Engineering School and Industrial Corporation; The Forest Club Convention in New Haven; Meeting of the International Eugenics Congress in New York	949
City	360
Scientific Notes and News	363
University and Educational News	3 65
Discussion and Correspondence:— The Attainment of High Levels in the Atmosphere: Dr. J. G. Coffin. Concerning Ballistics: Professor Arthur Gordon Webster	366
Scientific Books:—	
Knowlton's Catalogue of Fossil Plants: PROFESSOR EDWARD W. BERRY	469
Notes on Meteorology:— The West Indian Hurricane of September, 1919: Dr. Charles F. Brooks	369
Special Articles:—	
Technique of operation on Chick Embryos: Dr. Eliot R. Clark	371
The American Chemical Society: Dr. Charles L. Parsons	3 73

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to The Editor of Science, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

THE DIVISION OF ANTHROPOLOGY AND PSYCHOLOGY OF THE NA-TIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL¹

A GENERAL of the regular army listening to a description of the National Research Council remarked, "You are the General Staff of the army of American men of science." The analogy is suggestive. Our war against the realm of the unknown calls for a determination of broad policies of strategy, as well as for skill in the tactics of attack. Ample resources must be planned for. The relative need for men trained in the various specialized duties of a complex organization must be ascertained. The most effective plans for employing both men and materials must be blocked out. Programs for meeting possible contingencies must be thoughtfully elaborated. New suggestions of method in organization must be pondered and tested. The Division of Anthropology and Psychology of the National Research Council should serve the army of research workers in ways similar to these.

But the analogy breaks down absolutely in one respect. The National Research Council must not, will not, be autocratic. With a representative membership democratically constituted by election from the scientific societies of America it is in no sense our province to dictate, but only to serve. A better analogy compares the National Research Council with the Coordination Branch of the General Staff.

The Division of Anthropology and Psychology of the National Research Council aims to be of service chiefly in three directions: first, assistance in the coordination of research activities already in progress or in contemplation, to encourage team work, minimize duplication of effort, and decrease the magnitude of Address delivered at Cambridge, December 30,

1 Address delivered at Cambridge, December 30, 1919, before a joint session of the American Anthropological Association and the American Psychological Association.