SCIENCE

FRIDAY, APRIL 11, 1919

CONTENTS

The Unification of American Botany: DR. G.	
R. Lyman	339
The Elementary Course in Zoology-is it sat-	
isfactory? PROFESSOR C. E. MCCLUNG	345
Wallace Clement Ware Sabine	: 47
Scientific Events:	
The Gaspé Bird Reserves; Reorganization of	
the Farm Management Office; Corporation	
Chemistry; Memorial Professorship to Dr.	
James Jackson Putnam	350
Scientific Notes and News	353
University and Educational News	355
Discussion and Correspondence:	
Patent Reform Prospects: BERT RUSSELL.	
Dr. Moodie's Opisthotonus: Professor	
BASHFORD DEAN. A Standard Scientific	
Alphabet: A. FANTI. Field Work in Ari-	
zona: F. M. PERRY	356
Quotations:—	
Science in the British Parliament	358
Scientific Books:—	-
Contributions to Embryology: Professor	
FREDERIC T. LEWIS	359
Special Articles:—	
The Technique of Solution Culture Experi-	
ments with Plants: DR. D. R. HOAGLAND.	

Unheated Egg-yolk Media: G. F. WHITE... 360

THE UNIFICATION OF AMERICAN BOTANY¹

A GLANCE at the history of botany in America shows that on several occasions special branches of the science have attained prominence, have separated from the parent stock and taken independent root. These offspring are now counted as separate sciences which yield little or no allegiance to the parent stock, and whose devotees no longer call themselves botanists. As examples we may mention bacteriology, forestry and the group of agricultural sciences represented by agronomy and horticulture—all subjects essentially botanical, with large and active corps of workers, but belonging to botany no longer.

This dissociation is undoubtedly the natural result of the growth of botany and the development of its several fields, each of which, as it assumes a position of special importance. develops more or less of autonomy and sometimes independence. Other sciences show the same tendency, and I shall not attempt to decide whether botany shows this trend toward dissociation to an exceptional degree. The questions of immediate importance to us are: What are the causes of this dissociation? Are they still operative? What new developments may be expected? How far can the process go without serious injury to botany in general? Can the tendency be overcome in whole or in part? And if so, how? It is fitting that these questions should receive the serious consideration of all botanists at this time for the future is heavy with possibilities. The changes of reconstruction may prove to be more fundamental than those of war, and the responsibility

¹ Invitation paper before Section G of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, in joint session with the Botanical Society of America and the American Phytopathological Society, Baltimore, December 26, 1918.

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to The Editor of Science, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.