

by the Standard Chemical Company for the past four and a half years, and on the basis of figures published by Dr. Charles L. Parsons in the May number of the *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, it is not evident that the method is satisfactorily efficient, when applied to the treatment of low-grade carnotite ore.

CHARLES H. VIOL

PITTSBURGH, PA.,
June 3, 1916

SCIENTIFIC BOOKS

RECENT BOOKS IN MATHEMATICS

Algebraic Invariants. By LEONARD EUGENE DICKSON, Professor of Mathematics, University of Chicago. New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1914. Pp. 100. \$1.25.

A Treatise on the Theory of Invariants. By OLIVER E. GLENN, Ph.D., Professor of Mathematics in the University of Pennsylvania. Boston, Ginn and Company, 1915. Pp. 245.

Contributions to the Founding of the Theory of Transfinite Numbers. By GEORG CANTOR. Translated and Provided with an Introduction and Notes by PHILIP E. B. JOURDAIN. Chicago and London, The Open Court Publishing Company, 1915. Pp. 211. \$1.25.

Problems in the Calculus. With Formulas and Suggestions. By DAVID D. LEIB, Ph.D., Instructor in Mathematics in the Sheffield Scientific School of Yale University. Boston and New York, Ginn and Company, 1915. Pp. 224.

Diophantine Analysis. By ROBERT D. CARMICHAEL, Assistant Professor of Mathematics in the University of Illinois. New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1915. Pp. 118.

Historical Introduction to Mathematical Literature. By G. A. MILLER, Professor of Mathematics in the University of Illinois. New York, The Macmillan Company, 1916. Pp. 295.

An invariant is any thing—a property or a relation or an expression or a configuration—that remains unaltered when other things connected with it suffer change. In this very comprehensive but essential meaning of the term, the notion is probably as ancient as the

human intellect. Certainly in historic time the appeal of the idea has been universal. It has been said that science may be defined as the quest of invariance. Doubtless that quest is an essential mark of science but it is not peculiar to science. For the problem of invariance, the problem of finding permanence in the midst of change, arises out of the flux of things to confront man in all departments of life. And so it is that the search for what abides is not confined to science but is and always has been the chief enterprise of philosophy and theology and art and jurisprudence. It is, however, in mathematics that the notion of invariance has come to the clearest recognition of its character and significance. In this respect the notion in question has had a history like that of all other great ideas that have slowly and at length become available for the processes of logic.

The oldest and now most elaborate portion of the mathematical doctrine of invariance is about as old as American independence. Though now an imposing theory, its beginning was like a mustard seed. It began, not in ratiocination, but in an observation—mathematics indeed depends even more upon observation than upon formal reasoning. It began in what was in itself a very small observation, an observation (1773) by Lagrange that the discriminant of the quadratic form $ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$ remains unaltered on replacing x by $x + \lambda y$. The next important step was taken by Gauss in 1801 and the next by Boole in 1841. Incited by Boole's beautiful results, the English mathematicians, Cayley and Sylvester, entered the field, the former producing in rapid succession his great memoirs on Quantics and the latter his brilliant investigations in what he conceived more poetically as the Theory of Forms. The interest so aroused quickly passed to the continent engaging the great abilities of such mathematicians as Aronhold, Hermite, Clebsch, Gordan and others. The result is the colossal doctrine variously styled the algebra of quantics, the theory of algebraic invariants and covariants, and the theory of forms.

It is to this doctrine that Professor Dick-

son's book gives the beginner an admirable introduction. It is, I say, for beginners, for it presupposes only a fair knowledge of analytical geometry and the differential calculus. The book is much larger than it appears to be, being very compactly written, the author having the art of getting a maximum of results with a minimum of talk. Yet the exposition is remarkably clear, uniting the two stylistic virtues of precision and conciseness. The work is composed of three parts. The symbolic notation is reserved for part III. Geometric interpretation is emphasized. In part I. linear transformation is alternately interpreted non-projectively and projectively; that is, on the one hand as working a change of reference configuration, and on the other as merely effecting a lawful transfer of attention from old loci (or envelopes) to new ones referred to the old configuration. Part II., which is mainly concerned with the properties of binary forms, deals with such matters as homogeneity, weight, transformation products, annihilators, linear independence, Hermite's reciprocity law, etc. The canonical form of the quartic is found and the equation is solved. Part III., which occupies 38 of the book's 100 pages, is devoted to a presentation and use of the symbolic method of Aronhold and Clebsch. In passing the author notes that this method is equivalent to the previously invented but relatively cumbrous hyperdeterminant method of Cayley. This part and indeed the book may be said to culminate in Hilbert's theorem regarding the expressibility of the forms of a system in terms of a finite number of them and the use of the theorem in proving the finiteness of a fundamental system of covariants of a set of binary forms.

It seems unfortunate that Professor Dickson did not deem it wise or find it practicable to set forth the matter of this volume in its natural relation to the theory of groups. Perhaps some one will some time write for beginners a book on transformations, groups and invariants with applications.

Professor Glenn's treatise is somewhat more extensive than Professor Dickson's. It, too, is introductory, beginning with a variety of

simple considerations. Both the symbolic and the non-symbolic methods are explained and employed. Geometric interpretations are given and some connection with the group concept is made. The book comprises the following nine chapters: the principles of invariant theory (32 pages); properties of invariants (7 pages); the processes of invariant theory (40 pages), dealing with operators, the Aronhold symbolism, reducibility, concomitants in terms of roots, and geometric interpretations; reduction (46 pages), concerned with Gordan's series, the quartic, transvectant systems, syzygies, Hilbert's theorem, Jordan's lemma, and grade; Gordan's theorem (16 pages), giving proof of the theorem and illustration by the cubic and quartic; fundamental systems (16 pages); combinants and rational curves (13 pages); seminvariants and modular invariants (32 pages); and invariants of ternary forms (25 pages). There is added an appendix of ten pages devoted to exercises.

With access to the foregoing books, to Salmon's classic book, and to such recent British works as that by Grace and Young and Elliott's "Algebra of Quantics," the English-speaking student can not complain of having to resort to other languages for a knowledge of this classic branch of modern algebra.

Many readers, including mathematicians and philosophers, will be grateful to Mr. Jourdain for his excellent translation of Cantor's famous memoirs of 1895 and 1897. These were published in the *Mathematische Annalen* under the title, "Beiträge zur Begründung der transfiniten Mengenlehre." The translator's rendering of the title is justified by the content of the memoirs. This content is not likely to be fully intelligible to any but such as have mastered Cantor's earlier works beginning in 1870. The value of the volume is much increased by the translator's Introduction of 82 pages sketching the development of function theory in the course of the last century and by the notes he has appended dealing with the growth of the theory of transfinite numbers since 1897.

Dr. Leib's collection of problems presents a good list under each important theme dealt

with in a first course in the calculus. But few of the problems have been worked out fully and the devising of geometric figures has been left to the student under the guidance of the text he is using or of his instructor, but numerous cautionary and directive explanations are given clearly and concisely, usually at the beginnings of the various problem lists. The answers to typical exercises of each list are given, but a large percentage of the problems are unanswered. Such a collection of exercises ought to make it practicable to teach the elements of the calculus by means of lectures or by means of thin books confined mainly to a presentation of theory.

There are two special reasons why the appearance of Professor Carmichael's beautiful book should be noted in this journal. One is that the subject treated has made a most extraordinary appeal in all scientific times and places. With the exception of geometry, astronomy and logic, hardly any other technically scientific subject has better served to tie together so many centuries, for interest in it probably antedates the school of Pythagoras. The second reason is that a certain long-outstanding problem of Diophantine analysis has recently come to very popular fame by virtue of the extraordinary prize of \$25,000 provided by the German mathematician Wollfskehl for its solution. The problem is to prove the so-called Last Theorem of Fermat (1601-1665) stated by him without proof on the margin of a page of his copy of a fragment of the "Arithmetica" of the Greek mathematician Diophantos. The theorem is: *If n is an integer greater than 2 there do not exist integers x, y, z , all different from zero, such that $x^n + y^n = z^n$.* The prize, the offer of which does not expire till September 13, 2007, will be awarded to one who proves that the theorem is not universally true (if it is not) and who at the same time determines all values of n for which it is true. Long before the prize was announced the problem engaged the efforts of great mathematicians and thus led to important developments in the theory of numbers. Since the announcement thousands of the mathematically innocent have assailed

the problem. If these innocents could have had access to such a book as Professor Carmichael's where the nature of the problem is explained and the present state of knowledge regarding it is sketched, they might have been deterred from wasting their time and that of others.

The rendering of such a service was not, however, the author's aim. There is scarcely another branch of mathematics in which the results achieved in course of the centuries are so special, fragmentary and isolated. Professor Carmichael's aim was two-fold, namely, to produce for beginners an introduction to Diophantine analysis and to bring its fragmentary and scattered discoveries into organic unity. And he has succeeded admirably. The style is excellent. The content and scope of the book are fairly well indicated by the titles and lengths of its six chapters: Introduction, rational triangles, the method of infinite descent (22 pages); problems involving a multiplicative domain (30 pages); equations of third degree (20 pages); equation of fourth degree (10 pages); higher equations, the Fermat problem (17 pages); the method of functional equations (9 pages). The theory thus actually presented and the judiciously selected exercises make the work available for private reading as well as for a short university course in the subject.

Professor Miller's "Historical Introduction to Mathematical Literature" grew out of a course of lectures designed to supplement regular instruction. It thus employs a more or less expansive style and seeks to be "synoptic and inspirational" for such as may not lay claim to much mathematical discipline. It is guided by a highly commendable aim: namely, to conduct the reader to commanding points of view so that he may judge for himself whether the fields he is thus enabled to glimpse invite him to further exploration. The aim is pursued with a notable optimism despite the nation-wide depreciatory utterances of such educational leaders and agitators as Commissioner Snedden and Abraham Flexner. Professor Miller believes that "shameless ignorance" of mathematics "does not represent a

normal condition on the part of those interested in the history of the human race." We are also told that "with our gradual evolution from the state of barbarism the history of war and bloodshed is being slowly replaced by that of political and intellectual movements." From which we infer that that portion of the preface was composed prior to August, 1914.

Believing that history courses for secondary-school teachers should be more largely concerned with modern developments than is their wont, Professor Miller particularly stresses these developments, though the content of his discourse is in very considerable measure drawn also from ancient and medieval times.

The 35 pages of the initial chapter are devoted to sketching the progress made from the beginning of the nineteenth century to the present time in mathematical intelligence, mathematical research, mathematical history and mathematical teaching. In particular the fact is pointed out that the rapid and continuously increasing American mathematical activity during the last twoscore years has placed our country among the leading mathematical countries of the world. If we have not yet produced a mathematician of the very first rank, we can at least claim to have produced men of notable ability and productiveness.

Chapter II. (42 pages) presents a large amount of interesting information respecting types of recent literature, societies, international congresses, periodicals, works of reference, mathematical tables and collected works. In the 51 pages of the third chapter we have a rather meager discussion of definitions of the term mathematics; a historical account of the manner in which the science has acquired its grand divisions and subdivisions; a quite too brief but interesting account of the advent, influence and position of a few "dominant concepts" such as irrational quantities, equation solution, function, group, matrix, domain of rationality; some instructive remarks and historical references respecting mathematical terminology and notation; a short section on errors in mathematical literature; a section, entitled living mathematicians, arguing with feeling and good judgment the importance of

devising suitable means for determining "Who is Who" among mathematicians; and a final section treating inadequately, hardly more than touching, the now pressing question of mathematics as an educational subject.

There follow three chapters dealing with "fundamental developments" respectively in arithmetic, in geometry and in algebra. Of these the first (29 pages) opens with Euclid's proof that the number of prime numbers is infinite; explains the Sieve of Eratosthenes; sketches the history and appraises the significance of irrational numbers, giving (doubtless unintentionally) the impression (p. 133) that these numbers admit of only negative definition; treats briefly the fundamental operations of arithmetic, then of notation systems, and closes with a short and excellent account of the Fermat theorem. The next chapter (23 pages) devotes to "fundamental developments in geometry" three sections, one to the Pythagorean theorem, one to the area and volume of the sphere, and one to the triangle. A valuable chapter (22 pages) on algebra is historically rich in its handling of the fundamental theorem of algebra, the notion of determinant, numerical equations, domains of rationality, the beginnings of invariant theory, and the tale of the binomial theorem.

Chapter VII., somewhat oddly entitled "Twenty-five Prominent Deceased Mathematicians," is the largest and most interesting division of Professor Miller's interesting book. It contains a very readable account of the following men selected from among the great mathematicians of the world: Euclid, Archimedes, Apollonius, Diophantus, Vieta, Descartes, Fermat, Newton, Leibniz, Euler, Lagrange, Gauss, Cauchy, Steiner, Abel, Hamilton, Galois, Sylvester, Weierstrass, Cayley, Hermite, Kronecker, Cremona, Lie, Poincaré.

The book closes with a list, accompanied with brief characterizations, of a large number of bibliographies, reference works, and books on the history, and the teaching and philosophy of mathematics.

CASSIUS J. KEYSER

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

The Mental Life of Monkeys and Apes: A Study of Ideational Behavior. By ROBERT M. YERKES. New York, 1916. Pp. 145.

This monograph reports the results of admirable experiments on two monkeys and an orang-utan, first, by the multiple-choice method of Yerkes, and second, by various forms of the mechanical-adaptation method. It also presents a plan for a research institute for the study of the primates.

The multiple-choice method is a means of diagnosing and measuring an animal's ability to respond correctly to relations of spatial order, such as *middle door of those open*, or *right-hand door of those open*, regardless of the number of doors that are open or which doors (of the entire nine possible to be open) they are. Food was used as a reward for entering the right door, and, irregularly, detention in a box as a punishment for entering the wrong door.

The first problem was to learn to enter at once the first door at the left end of whatever doors were open. The following summary for one monkey will give an idea of the sort of facts obtained. In the course of 150 trials the per cent. of successes rose from 30 to 90, for the ten selections of doors open that were employed. In a test with one trial each of ten still different selections of doors the number of successes was 6. The monkey did not seem to learn by a "free idea" of "*door at left end*"; for each selection of doors seemed to be responded to by itself. 8 as the response to 8-9, 6 as the response to 6-7-8, 4 as the response to 4-5-6-7-8, 7 as the response to 7-8-9 and 5 as the response to 5-6-7 were apparently learned at a time when 1 as a response to 1-2-3, 3 as a response to 3-4-5-6-7 and 2 as a response to 2-3-4-5-6, were not. There was no sudden elimination of wrong responses. "Stupid" responses appeared in connection with the general behavior in the test.

As a result of four such series of experiments with one monkey and three with another, Yerkes concludes that "the *Pithecus* monkeys yielded relatively abundant evidence of ideation but with Thorndike I must agree that of 'free ideas' there is scanty evidence,

or rather, I should prefer to say, that although ideas seem to be in play frequently, they are rather concrete and definitely attached than 'free.' Neither in my sustained multiple-choice experiments nor from my supplementary tests did I obtain convincing indications of reasoning. What Hobhouse has called articulate ideas I believe to appear infrequently in these animals. But on the whole, I believe that the general conclusions of previous experimental observers have done no injustice to the ideational ability of monkeys."

The orang-utan seemed to get "an idea of" *left-end-door of those open* and use it to guide his responses. He did not, however, apparently get the idea of *next-to-the-right-end-door* in 1,380 trials. Various phases of his behavior, however, convinced Yerkes that he was responding to ideas or representations of experience.

In the miscellaneous tests the orang-utan showed great pertinacity and initiative. On the whole "the orang-utan is capable of expressing free ideas in considerable number and of using them in ways highly indicative of thought-processes, possibly even of the rational order. But contrasted with that of man, the ideational life of the orang-utan seems poverty-stricken."

The experiments with the monkeys and the ape are described with the author's customary care and will be of service in many ways to future workers in this field. For example, they bear directly on the Smith-Watson-Carr doctrine that frequency of connection, irrespective of the consequences of the connection to the animal, is adequate to account for learning. Cases abound in the records where a certain wrong door is in the first few trials chosen far oftener than the right door and yet eventually is never chosen. The multiple-choice experiments should be widely used in studies of both animal and human learning.

The last division of the monograph presents Yerkes' proposal for the provision of a special institute for studying the monkeys and apes. Porto Rico and Southern California are suggested as satisfactory localities. The indirect value of such an institute for human

betterment is emphasized as well as its direct value in the advancement of knowledge, and strong claims are made for its support.

It certainly is the case that animal psychology in this country has, in the past decade, done very solid and instructive work with very little financial support from universities or research funds. The experiments here reported represent a gift of the time of one man of science and a gift of material resources from another. They are typical of the scientific devotion and self help which the public can profitably reward by any means in its power, and which any individual honors himself by supporting.

EDWARD L. THORNDIKE

TEACHERS COLLEGE,
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

RETROGRESSION IN AMERICAN LONGEVITY AT ADVANCED AGES

It is generally suspected among a limited group of scientific men that although we seem to be improving in matters of health we are doing so in spite of adverse conditions at the more advanced ages.

We have certainly improved on the whole, for the area in the United States from which acceptable records in mortality statistics are received annually (the registration area) has doubled in the number of states included, within the past decade (1900-1910), although it is still no more than half of the total number of the states of the Union, to the shame of such great states as Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, etc. That mortality conditions have improved in the neighborhood of the age of birth and in fact, at all the earlier ages, is so well established that it needs no comment. Also, the general death rate in this country has decreased more in the past decade (2.6) than in the previous two decades taken together (2.2).

But all this improvement is too deceiving; it covers up the fact that in some respects we are worse off now than we were twenty years or more ago. Stated concretely we expect to show in this paper that individuals between the ages of about 50 and 75 do not, on the

average, live as long now as they did twenty years ago; and the extent of this retrogression is increasing. We shall refer to this period or interval of ages as the Period of Retrogression.

We hope to point out also slight indications of tendencies to "come back" at the still more advanced ages, say from 75 on. That the individuals at these extreme ages are "coming back" seems pretty firmly indicated by the results of this investigation, but not only is the "come back" small but it is also manifested at ages where statistical data are faulty; hence, we recommend that these indications be held in abeyance until they are more clearly verified by other investigations of similar nature.

The English statistician and actuary, Mr. George King, has explained a short method of constructing abridged mortality tables wherein only representative portions of the tables and the corresponding death rates and expectations of life are given. We have utilized this method to construct six abridged mortality tables based upon the mortality statistics of each of the sexes, and for the three single years 1890, 1900, and 1910. The year 1880 was not included because the population data and mortality statistics for that year which were reliable do not cover exactly the same area. The mortality statistics of all years previous to 1880 are worthless for our purpose. The essential purpose of this paper is to compare and discuss the results obtained through the construction of these mortality tables.

The fact that each mortality table is constructed from data covering but a single year absolutely prohibits the use of such tables except to point out general conclusions such as are indicated in this paper. Our attitude in this matter should not be forgotten.

As the explanation of the method of construction of the tables is technical and has no special bearing upon the interpretation of the final results, we shall merely refer the reader who desires further information to Mr. King's explanation—which, however, will bear much simplification—in the Registrar General's report for 1914.

The statistical data for the year 1890 com-