

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, MAY 5, 1916

CONTENTS

<i>The Training of Chemists:</i> PROFESSOR ALEXANDER SMITH	619
<i>Research as a National Duty:</i> DR. WILLIS R. WHITNEY	629
<i>The Committee on Policy of the American Association for the Advancement of Science</i> ..	637
<i>Scientific Notes and News</i>	638
<i>University and Educational News</i>	640
<i>Discussion and Correspondence:—</i>	
<i>Public Health Work:</i> DR. HAROLD F. GRAY.	
<i>The Centigrade Thermometer:</i> A. H. SABIN.	641
<i>Scientific Books:—</i>	
<i>The International Union for Cooperation in Solar Research:</i> PROFESSOR GEORGE C. COMSTOCK. <i>Gooch on Representative Procedures in Quantitative Chemical Analysis:</i> PROFESSOR H. P. TALBOT. <i>Nelson on the Embryology of the Honey Bee:</i> DR. ALEXANDER PETRUNKEVITCH	642
<i>Scientific Journals and Articles</i>	645
<i>Special Articles:—</i>	
<i>The Pressure of Sound Waves:</i> PROFESSOR E. P. LEWIS. <i>Rudimentary Mammæ in Swine:</i> DR. EDWARD N. WENTWORTH	646
<i>The National Academy of Sciences</i>	648

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to Professor J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

THE TRAINING OF CHEMISTS¹

THE address of Dr. Whitney on research, which follows mine, deals with that aim of the chemist which always receives the most enthusiastic recognition, namely, the elaboration of the content of the science, the farther coordination of that content, and the expansion of the boundaries of chemistry. But thorough *training* is indispensable before original work can begin. A genius, without adequate training, seems to know by instinct what information he needs and where to find it. He devises new methods when those which he has learned fail. He reaches the goal, in spite of all handicaps. Better training would have saved him some needless loss of time, but often would not have improved the final result. Geniuses, however, are few and far between. The advancement of the science would be fitful if it depended upon them alone. The greater part of the additions to chemical knowledge are made by men with an aptitude for the science, it is true, but with nothing approaching genius of the higher order. With them, the thoroughness of the previous training is, therefore, a very potent factor. At the other extreme, in the case of the chemist who does mainly routine analyses, who corresponds to the draftsman as distinct from the architect, the training he received must determine largely the value of his results. In all the intermediate cases, where intelligent study of an individual situation is demanded, and new adaptations to special purposes are required, training in the prin-

¹ Address delivered in Urbana at the opening of the Chemical Laboratory of the University of Illinois, April 19, 1916.