

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, APRIL 9, 1915

CONTENTS

<i>The Deplorable Contrast between Intranational and International Ethics and the Mission of Medical Science and Medical Men: DR. S. J. MELTZER</i>	515
<i>Cyrus Fogg Brackett: PROFESSOR W. F. MAGIE</i>	523
<i>Geographical Meeting in New York</i>	525
<i>The Pacific Association of Scientific Societies.</i>	526
<i>Scientific Notes and News</i>	526
<i>University and Educational News</i>	531
<i>Discussion and Correspondence:—</i>	
<i>On the Proposed Reorganization of Departments of Clinical Medicine in the United States: DR. GRAHAM LUSK. Letter from Professor Ed. Claparède: M. ED. CLAPARÈDE.</i>	531
<i>Scientific Books:—</i>	
<i>MacBride's Text-book of Embryology: F. R. L. Garrison's Introduction to the History of Medicine: DR. ROY L. MOODIE</i>	534
<i>The Nature and Origin of Fiords: PROFESSOR DOUGLAS W. JOHNSON</i>	537
<i>Special Articles:—</i>	
<i>The Importance of a Consideration of the Fiber Proteins in the Process of Bleaching Cotton: B. S. LEVINE</i>	543
<i>The American Phytopathological Society: DR. C. L. SHEAR</i>	545
<i>The Philadelphia Meeting of the American Psychological Association: DR. ROBERT M. OGDEN</i>	547
<i>The Illinois Academy of Science: PROFESSOR E. N. TRANSEAU</i>	549
<i>Societies and Academies:—</i>	
<i>The Botanical Society of Washington: DR. PERLEY SPAULDING. The Biological Society of Washington: M. W. LYON, JR. The Anthropological Society of Washington: DR. DANIEL FOLKMAR</i>	550

THE DEPLORABLE CONTRAST BETWEEN INTRANATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL ETHICS AND THE MISSION OF MEDICAL SCIENCE AND MEDICAL MEN¹

THE chief aim of my remarks is to point out the unique position which medical sciences and medical men occupy in the horrible war which is going on between civilized nations. International morality may possibly derive some permanent benefit from a conscious knowledge of this position. However, in order to make my point clear, I shall introduce it by a discussion of some aspects of ethics.

Moral philosophy assumes for granted that ethical relations of civilized men are safely established; it concerns itself merely with the question regarding the nature of the origin of ethical precepts. In general, it may be admitted that the vast majority of civilized men indeed do not question the correctness of ethical demands. But writers on moral philosophy fail to distinguish between *intranational* and *international* ethics. Hence, we find frequently that international occurrences are discussed from the point of view of intranational principles; international occurrences are brought before the forum of a supreme court of the world for judgment, but the merits and demerits of the cases are argued from the point of view of ethics which obtain in intranational moral relations. But the truth is that there is an abyss between the two domains of morality.

Let us first look at the status of intranational morality. The ethical relations

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to Professor J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

¹ Address delivered at the annual dinner of Columbia University Biochemical Association, March 26, 1915.