body should be free to use its judgment for the best interests of the individual student. Rules and precedents have their value for the regular progress of the student body, but must be considered a means and not an Justice to the individual is our end. fundamental duty. Broadly considered, just action for the individual carries with it justice to the other schools and to the public. We must beware lest in our blindness and in our sloth and in our preoccupation we bow down to the wood and stone of rules and regulations. Let us set up rather the god of individual education, which is a spirit and not a formula; the spirit which so successfully wrought in medical education in the days of preceptor and student; the spirit which has produced such apparent prodigies as Carl Witte and young Sidis; the spirit which makes an educational institution, not a machine nor a purely reflex organism, but a human entity with a human soul.

E. P. Lyon

THE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STUDENT BODY AT A NUMBER OF UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

THE accompanying table explains the geographical distribution of the student body of twenty-four American universities, five New England colleges for men, five colleges for women, one eastern and one western school of technology and one Pennsylvania college and engineering school, for the academic year 1910-11, the summer session students being omitted in every instance. The corresponding figures for 1909-10 were not compiled; those for 1908-9 may be consulted in the issue of Science for October 1, 1909, those for 1907-8 in the issue for October 30, 1908, those for 1906-7 in the issue for July 26, 1907, and those for 1904–5 in the issue for October 6, 1905. To the table for 1909-10 have been added the University of Syracuse, the University of Texas and Washington University, St. Louis.

Comparing the attendance by divisions of six eastern universities (Columbia, Cornell, Harvard, Pennsylvania, Princeton, Yale) with the corresponding figures for the same universities in 1908-9, we note that there has been a gain for these universities, taken as a whole, in every division, the largest increase in the actual number of students, leaving the North Atlantic division—in which all of these six universities are located—out of consideration, having been recorded in the North Central division, where there has been a gain of 310 students. The South Atlantic division comes next, with an increase of 126 students, followed by the Western division with a gain of 117 students, the South Central with 89, foreign countries with 27 and insular and non-contiguous territories with 23. The total increase in divisions outside of the North Atlantic in the two years under comparison is 692, as against a total increase of 527 in 1908-9 over 1906-7. Calculated on a percentage basis, the total gain of the six universities in the North Atlantic division between 1909 and 1911 amounted to 11.6 per cent., as against a gain of 13.3 per cent. outside of the division mentioned. In 1908–9 the percentage of increase in the North Atlantic division over 1906-7 was 7.6 per cent., as against a gain of 11.4 per cent. in the other divisions combined. In the North Atlantic, South Atlantic and North Central divisions and in the insular and non-contiguous territories all of the six universities with the exception of Yale show an increase in 1911 over 1909; in the South Central division all of the six institutions have made gains, in the Western division all show an increase except Princeton, while in foreign countries all have experienced gains except *Pennsylvania*. Comparing the figures for 1910–11 with those for 1904–5, we observe that the most substantial gains have been made by *Pennsylvania* (96), *Columbia* (92) and *Cornell* (63), in the South Atlantic division; by *Columbia* (61), in the South Central division; by *Columbia* (252), *Cornell* (175), *Harvard* (132) and *Pennsylvania* (64) in the North Central division; by *Harvard* (60) and *Yale* (52) in the Western division; and by *Pennsylvania* (79), *Columbia* (74), *Cornell* (61) and *Harvard* (60) in foreign countries.

Of the western universities, Michigan has by far the strongest hold on the North Atlantic division, attracting 638 students (as against 394 in 1905), to Wisconsin's 96, Ohio's 86, Illinois's 76 (36 in 1905), Northwestern's 64, Stanford's 49 and California's 34. Of the universities Harvard leads in all of the New England states with the exception of Connecticut, where Yale has the largest following, and of Vermont, where Syracuse is in the lead. Columbia naturally has a considerable lead in New York and New Jersey, while Pennsylvania of course leads in its own state. In New York Columbia is followed by Syracuse, Cornell, Yale, Harvard, Michigan, Princeton, Pennsylvania. Jersey Columbia is followed by Pennsylvania, Princeton, Cornell, Yale, Harvard, Suracuse. In Pennsylvania the University of Pennsylvania is followed by Cornell, Princeton, Yale, Harvard, Columbia, Michigan, Syracuse.

Examining next the attendance of the group of male colleges and technical schools, we note that the order for the North Atlantic division is Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Dartmouth, Lehigh, Williams, Amherst, Wesleyan, Bowdoin, Purdue. Wesleyan naturally leads in Connecticut, Bowdoin in Maine, Massa-

chusetts Institute of Technology in Massachusetts, Dartmouth in New Hampshire and Vermont and Lehigh in New Jersey and Pennsylvania. Williams leads in New York state and Massachusetts Institute of Technology in Rhode Island. 27 per cent. of the students at Amherst, as against 43 per cent. in 1906, have their permanent home in Massachusetts; Bowdoin attracts 74 per cent. of its student body from Maine, as against 77 per cent. in 1908; 27 per cent. of Dartmouth's students, as against 21 per cent. in 1906, hail from New Hampshire and Vermont; the Massachusetts Institute of Technology attracts 56 per cent. of its student body from Massachusetts, as against 55 per cent. in 1908; 20 per cent. of Wesleyan's students, as against 35 per cent. in 1908, claim Connecticut as their permanent home, while Williams enrolls 22 per cent. of its student body from Massachusetts. The latter institution attracts almost twice as many students from New York as from Massachusetts; Amherst also attracts more students from the Empire state than from Massachusetts, and Dartmouth attracts almost twice as many from Massachusetts as from New Hampshire. 56 per cent. of Lehigh's student body hail from Pennsylvania, as against 60 per cent. in 1906, while 76 per cent. of Purdue's students claim Indiana as their permanent residence, this figure having remained stationary since 1908.

Of the eastern universities, Syracuse possesses the largest percentage of enrollment from its own state, namely, 86 percent; it is followed by Pennsylvania with an enrollment of 67 per cent. from its own state, the same as in 1906. Columbia's percentage of New York students has dropped from 66 per cent. in 1906 to 62 per cent. in 1911; Virginia attracts 57 percent. of its clientele from its own state, as

against 53 per cent. in 1908; Cornell's percentage of New York students has dropped from 56 per cent. in 1906 to 55 per cent. in 1911; of Harvard's students 50 per cent., as against 54 per cent. in 1906, are residents of Massachusetts; of the students of Johns Hopkins 41 per cent. are residents of Maryland, as against 43 per cent. in 1909; of Yale's students 35 per cent., as against 33 per cent. in 1906, have their home in Connecticut; and of Princeton's student body only 21 per cent., as against 20 per cent. in 1906, are residents of the state of New Jersey.

Coming to the South Atlantic division and taking into consideration only the six eastern universities mentioned in the beginning of the article, we note that the order is Pennsylvania, Cornell, Columbia, Harvard, Princeton and Yale—Pennsylvania and Cornell, and Princeton and Yale having changed places since 1905. Of the remaining eastern universities Virginia and Johns Hopkins naturally have the largest following in this division, while of the western institutions only Michigan makes a good showing here. Of the colleges Lehigh has the best representation, its main strength lying in Maryland. for the standing in individual states, Pennsylvania naturally leads in Delaware and Johns Hopkins in Maryland; Cornell leads in the District of Columbia, Pennsylvania in Florida and North Carolina, Columbia in Georgia and South Carolina and Ohio State in West Virginia. Princeton is second in Delaware, Cornell in Maryland and Johns Hopkins in Virginia.

In the South Central division Texas naturally heads the list, followed by Columbia (133, as against 72 in 1905), Virginia (127), Harvard (113-88), Michigan (97-64), Cornell (91-76), Yale (90-80) and Missouri. Purdue, Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Wellesley draw

over 30 students each from this division. The largest representation from individual states is found at the following universities. Alabama: Columbia, Harvard and Virginia; Arkansas: Missouri, Northwestern; Kentucky: Michigan, Harvard and Princeton and Yale; Louisiana: Virginia, Cornell and Johns Hopkins; Mississippi: Columbia, Virginia; Oklahoma: Missouri, Kansas, Michigan; Tennessee: Columbia, Cornell and Virginia; and Texas: Texas, Virginia, Columbia and Harvard and Yale.

In the North Central division the order for the institutions located in that region is Minnesota, Nebraska, Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, Northwestern, Ohio State, Missouri, Indiana, Kansas, Iowa, Purdue, All of these of course have Washington. a larger patronage in this division than any of the eastern universities, which come in the order Harvard, Cornell, Yale, Columbia, Pennsylvania, Princeton, Johns Hopkins, Syracuse, Virginia. Since 1905 Cornell and Yale, and Pennsylvania and Princeton have exchanged places. bia's representation in this group of states has grown from 262 to 514 in six years, Cornell's from 381 to 556, Harvard's from 526 to 658, Pennsylvania's from 139 to 203. Yale's from 506 to 523, while Princeton's has dropped from 209 to 190. Leaving the state institutions out of consideration in each case, Wisconsin is seen to have the largest following in Illinois. being followed by Michigan, Cornell, Yale, Harvard, Smith. Illinois leads in Indiana, and is followed in that state by Northwestern, Michigan, Columbia, Wisconsin, Harvard, Cornell. In Iowa the order is Northwestern. Wisconsin, Illinois, braska, Michigan, Harvard; in Kansas: Northwestern, Michigan, Illinois, Harvard, Columbia, Yale; in Michigan: Northwestern, Wisconsin, Columbia, Illinois, Harvard, Yale: in Minnesota: Northwestern,

Yassay	
	20 1 1 2 8 8 8 9 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8
Smith	71. 44.2. 54.0.5. 56.0. 56.0.5. 56
Mt. Holyoke	20 80 80 44 44 44 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45
DIYR Mawr	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Williams	82 1 1 4 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Wesleyan	28 24 4 4 4 6 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1
Purdue	80 : 121 124 1 : 31 1 : 12 1 :
Mass. Inst. Tech.	84 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24
Lehigh	\$\frac{1}{6}\$\frac
Dartmouth	20 444 20 444 20 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60
Bowdoin	8 60 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Amherst	200 88 24 46 18 20 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 20 20 18 20 18 20 18 20 18 20 20 18 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
Yale	\$25,000
Wisconsin	\$\text{\tinch{\text{\te\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text
Washington	2-1 :0 : :000 : :0 : :1 :1 :1 :0 :0000 :0044000-1-2-1 :0140 :01 : : : : : : : : : :
Virginia	76 1 4 10 1 1 2 2 2 2 8 8 8 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
·	4 : 11 : 1314 : 130 : 131 :
Техая	2.84 2.11 2.4 2.8 2.8 2.0 11 2.0 2 11 11 4 4 11 11 2.0 2 11 11 2.4 4 11 11 2.4 11 1 2.5 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
Syracuse	241300 182001034 1821103 182001831008449308489010948901094811248 24141 14111 26 182001831008449308489010948901094890109489010948901094890109489010948901094890109490109489010949010949010948901094901094890109400000000
Broinata	
Princeton	Q
Pennsylvania	74 24 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
Ohlo State	20
Northwestern	200001112420202044 :11148050020000041111700000000000000000000000000
Mebraska	22 23 23 25 25 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28
Missouri	# .4 .100 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .
Minnesota	0 .ccd .cdll .c .c .c .c .c .c .c .c
Michigan	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88
Kansas	2
10pps Hopkins	200
&W0I	0 1 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
anathni	\$2 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0
sionillI	\$2000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Harvard	2 123 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124
Cornell	20121212022 47521211212022 47521211212022 47521211212022 47521211212022 47521211212022 47521211212022 47521211212022 4752121212022 4752121212022 4752121212022 4752121212022 4752121212022 4752121212022 4752121212022 4752121212022 4752121212022 47521212022 4752120122 4752120122 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4752120 4
Columbia	$ \begin{array}{c} \partial^{\alpha} w \otimes \partial^{\alpha} $
California	74 - TRILLIO DEL TO 101 - 17 - 101 - 111 - 17 - 101 -
1910-1911	Commeettent Diriston. S. Connectent Diriston. S. Manneettent Manneettent Manneettent Diriston. See See See Dirich See See See Dirich See See See See See See See See See Se

Wellesley	[mm]	13
TesseV	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	$\frac{10}{1052}$
Smith		1608
Mt. Holyoke	\$	748
Bryn Mawr	··· ·· ··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18 407
smallliW	··········· ····· ··· · · · · · · · ·	540
Wesleyan	··· ·· ··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	363
		3 90 90 90
Purdue		_
Mass. Inst. Tech		102
Lehigh		222
Dartmouth	90,00	1993
Вождоїп	ЯΠ	236
Amherst	Para Ho. H. H. H.	907
Yale	1	83
Wisconsin	\$\frac{\pi_{0}}{\pi_{0}} \display \di	89
		200
washington.	:: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	22
Virginia	····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	252
Текая		1000
Syracuse		38
Brolnate	γ4 · ∞ · ⊢ · ⊢ · ο⊢ · · ο⊢ · · ο⊢ · · γα · ∞ ο · · · · γα · · ο · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33
Princeton	α α α α α α α α α α	212
Pennsylvania	\$223111 1.28 8 \$21 ::00001 :000 ::20111201112 ::344 :200	205
Ohlo State	40 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 ·	42,5
Могthwestern	4.62	108
		00 5
Nebraska		23
Missouri	[95.00	, ,
Minnesota		2
Michigan	\$0 :	100
Kansas	703 -1-1	9
sulagoH sadot	70	8
gwol	Ø 74	16
gasibal	,40 o	4
Illinols	27 - 18 - 20 - 8 - 1 - 124 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1	8
		175
Harvard		
Cornell		1 16
Columbia		18
California	60 12 41 00 81 1104 11 110 184 110 188 120 00	137 191 161 154 138 4
	g	Total (Foreign Countries)
uries	North America Canada. Cantal America Cantal America Cantal America. Cantal	untrie
Sount	n and I	Con
Foreign Countries		reign
Forei	North America Canada	(F01
	Arright Coeft in Series 19 2 de factor and a la companion and a companion and	18

Wisconsin, Harvard, Yale, Illinois, Columbia, Michigan; in Missouri: Kansas, Illinois, Northwestern, Yale, Harvard, Michigan, Columbia, Cornell: in Nebraska: Northwestern, Michigan, Harvard, Illinois, Columbia and Cornell; in North Dakota: Minnesota, Northwestern, Wisconsin, Illinois. Harvard and Michigan: in Ohio: Michigan, Harvard, Cornell, Yale, Columbia, Purdue, Smith; in South Dakota: Northwestern, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Michigan, Illinois, Iowa: in Wisconsin: Northwestern, Minnesota, Illinois, Michigan, Columbia, Harvard, Vassar. It will thus be seen that Northwestern is mentioned as first in no less than seven of the twelve states included in this division. male colleges and technical schools the order is Purdue, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Dartmouth, Williams, Amherst: of the girls' colleges Smith, Vassar, Wellesley, Bryn Mawr, Mount Holyoke, the first three mentioned all having a larger representation from this division than either Pennsylvania or Princeton.

In the Western division (leaving California and Stanford out of consideration), Michigan is still in the lead, with Harvard, Columbia. Northwestern, Yale and Cornell, each of which attracts over one hundred students from this division, following. Michigan's representation has grown from 134 to 229 since 1905; Harvard's from 126 to 186; Columbia's from 111 to 152; Yale's from 78 to 130; Cornell's from 76 to 119; Illinois's from 41 to 89; Pennsylvania's from 22 to 71; while Princeton's has dropped from 41 to 31. Northwestern leads in Arizona; Illinois in Idaho; Michigan in Nevada and New Mexico; Nebraska in Wyoming. In California the order is Harvard, Columbia, Michigan, Yale; in Colorado: Michigan, Harvard, Cornell, Yale; in Montana: Michigan, Wisconsin, Northwestern, Minnesota; in Oregon: Michigan, Harvard, Columbia and Northwestern and Yale; in Utah: Northwestern, Cornell, Harvard, Columbia; in Washington: Harvard, Columbia and Michigan, Yale.

Taking only the six eastern universities mentioned at the beginning of the article into consideration, and counting ties in fractions, we find that Harvard leads in $20\frac{2}{3}$ states of the Union, Columbia in $12\frac{5}{6}$, Cornell in $6\frac{1}{2}$, Yale in $4\frac{2}{3}$, Pennsylvania in 4 and Princeton in $\frac{1}{3}$.

Cornell maintains its lead in the number of students from insular and non-contiguous territories, being followed by California and Pennsylvania. California leads in Alaska and the Hawaiian Islands, Cornell in the Philippines and Porto Rico and Northwestern and Virginia in the Canal Zone.

The number of foreign students at American institutions of higher learning continues to show a noteworthy increase. There are enrolled at the group of six eastern universities mentioned at the beginning of the article 821 foreigners, as against 540 in 1905. At the twenty-one universities represented in the tables for 1908-9 and 1910-11 there were in attendance 1,296 foreigners in the former year as against 1,536 in the latter. At the twelve universities represented in the tables for 1906-7 and 1910-11 there were in attendance 898 students from foreign countries in the former year, as against 1,311 in the latter. Adding the foreign clientele of the colleges and technical schools in the table, we find that thirtyseven American institutions attracted no less than 1,782 foreigners during the academic year 1910-11, this figure being, as all the other comparisons have been, exclusive of the summer session attendance. In 1908–9 thirty-four of these institutions attracted 1,467 foreigners, while the same institutions in 1910-11 had a foreign en-Taking the rollment of 1,736 students. representation of foreigners at all of the thirty-seven institutions included in the table, we find that the largest delegations have been sent by the following countries: Canada 344, China 330, Japan 197, Mexico 193, Turkey (in Europe and Asia) 84, India 73, Great Britain and Ireland 72, Cuba 62, Germany 48, Russia 48 and Omitting the foreigners of Australia 47. the three institutions not included in the table for 1908-9 and comparing the foreign enrollment for the year mentioned with that of 1910-11, we secure the following results, the first figure mentioned in each case being that for 1911, the second that for 1909: Canada 335-242, China 326-193, Japan 193-158, Mexico 92-81, Turkey 82-51, India 71-60, Great Britain and Ireland 71-71, Cuba 55-70, Germany 47-56, Russia 46-50 and Australia 47-43. Owing to the fact that Pennsylvania did not separate the students from South America into the individual countries from which they hailed, it is impossible to determine whether the Argentine Republic should be included in the above list of countries with a representation of 46 or 548 of the 1,782 foreigners at the thirty-seven institutions in the present table hailed from North America, 132 from South America, 351 from Europe, 662 from Asia, 37 from Africa and 52 from Australasia. Omitting the three institutions (Syracuse, Texas and Washington) not included in the table for 1909 and comparing the figures for the two years in question, we find that between 1909 and 1911 the representation from North America increased from 460 to 531, that from Europe from 313 to 340, that from Asia from 458 to 651, that from Africa from 18 to 37, while that from South America decreased from 154 to 125, and that from

Australasia from 64 to 52, the largest increase thus having taken place in Asia.

If we compare the foreign clientele of twenty-one of the leading American universities,1 with that of the twenty-one German universities, we find that America is still far behind Germany in the matter of attracting foreign students to its higher institutions of learning. During the winter semester of 1910-11, the twenty-one German universities attracted altogether no less than 4,672 students from foreign countries, as against 1,576 foreigners at the American universities mentioned. The latter figure would no doubt be slightly increased in case the University of Chicago, for which geographical distribution figures are unfortunately not available, were substituted for one of the smaller middle western universities. The German universities draw 4,046 students from other European countries, 398 from North and South America, 203 from Asia, 20 from Africa and 5 from Australasia, while the American universities attract 478 students from North American countries outside of the United States, 112 from South America, 318 from Europe, 587 from Asia, 32 from Africa and 49 from Australasia, in other words, the American universities lead in every continent with the exception of Europe. Almost half of the European foreigners at German universities hail from Russia, namely, 1,998 out of 4,046, this country being followed by Austria-Hungary with 760, Switzerland with 353, Bulgaria with 159, Great Britain and Ireland with 142, Rumania with 137, Greece with 83, Servia with 78, Luxemburg with 61 and Turkey with 57, the remaining countries

¹ California, Columbia, Cornell, Harvard, Illinois, Iowa, Johns Hopkins, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Northwestern, Ohio State, Pennsylvania, Princeton, Stanford, Syracuse, Washington, Wisconsin, Yale.

sending less than fifty students each. Among European countries the twenty-one universities of the United States lead only in Denmark (12 vs. 11), the American figures in European countries mentioned above being in every case far below the German figures, namely Russia 44, Austria-Hungary 11, Switzerland 7, Bulgaria 5, Great Britain and Ireland 61, Rumania 2, Greece 4, Servia and Luxemburg 0 and Turkey 38. Almost a third of the foreign students in attendance on German universities are at the University of Berlin, namely, 1,492 out of 4,672. Berlin is followed by the following universities in the order given: Munich 845, Leipzig 634, Halle 285, Heidelberg 186, Königsberg 185 (of whom 179 hail from Russia), Göttingen 141, Freiburg 127, Jena 119, Bonn 117, Breslau 107, Strassburg 105, the remaining institutions all attracting less than one hundred foreigners each. Figured on a percentage basis we find that 8.5 per cent. of Germany's 54,823 university students are foreigners, whereas only 2.1 per cent. of 74,325 students attending the twenty-one American universities mentioned hail from foreign countries. Ιt should be borne in mind that the American institutions in every instance include an undergraduate academic department and in most instances a technical school, which is not the case for the German universities, but the comparison here outlined undoubtedly conveys a fairly accurate idea of the situation.

Of the three middle western universities that were included in the table for 1904–5, *Illinois* shows a gain in students from foreign countries during the six years of 120, *Michigan* of 62 and *Indiana* a loss of 3, while the total increase in foreign students during the same period at the nine universities included in the above table amounts to 460.

Examining the foreign delegations of the different American institutions by continents, we observe that the order in North America is Columbia, Pennsylvania, Harvard, Cornell; in South America: Pennsylvania, Cornell, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Michigan; in Europe: Pennsylvania, Columbia and Harvard. Illinois; in Asia: California, Columbia. Illinois, Cornell; in Africa: Cornell, Northwestern and Pennsylvania; and in Australasia: Pennsylvania, Northwestern. In the countries that send at least ten students to any one institution, the order is as follows: Canada: Columbia, Harvard, Northwestern; Central America: Pennsylvania, Cornell, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Cuba: Cornell, Pennsylvania, Syracuse; Mexico: Illinois, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts Institute of Technology: Germany: Columbia, Harvard and Pennsylvania; Great Britain and Ireland: Pennsylvania, Columbia and Illinois and Bryn Mawr; Russia: Illinois, Northwestern, California and Harvard; China: Cornell, Columbia and Illinois, Wisconsin; India: California, Harvard, Northwestern: Japan: California, Columbia, Illinois: Turkey: Yale, Illinois, Columbia; and Australia: Pennsylvania, Northwestern.

RUDOLF TOMBO, JR.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

DIRECTOR ABBOT, of the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, has just returned from a five months' astronomical expedition to Bassour, Algeria. The object of the expedition was to confirm or disprove the supposed variability of the sun. The Astrophysical Observatory has been for seven years making observations on Mt. Wilson, in California, on the daily quantity of heat received from the sun. The observations are arranged in such a manner as to indicate not only the quantity