

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1912

CONTENTS

<i>The Primary Concepts of Physics:</i> PROFESSOR WILLIAM FRANCIS MAGIE	281
<i>The Movement for Scientific International- ism at The Hague:</i> PROFESSOR C.-E. A. WINSLOW	293
<i>Scientific Notes and News</i>	296
<i>University and Educational News</i>	302
<i>Discussion and Correspondence:—</i>	
<i>The Word Genotype:</i> PROFESSOR T. D. A. COCKERELL; PROFESSOR CHARLES SCHUCHERT	304
<i>Scientific Books:—</i>	
<i>Young's Concepts of Algebra and Geom- etry, Wilson's Descriptive Geometry, Church's Descriptive Geometry:</i> PROFESSOR C. J. KEYSER. <i>Traquair's Les Poissons Wealdiens de Bernissartz:</i> DR. L. HUS- SAKOF	304
<i>The Harris Tidal Machine:</i> SAMUEL TIER- NEY, JR.	306
<i>Special Articles:—</i>	
<i>Xeralsis:</i> H. F. ROBERTS. <i>Cryptobranchus Alleghaniensis:</i> PROFESSOR ROBERT T. YOUNG	307
<i>The American Microscopical Society:</i> T. W. GALLOWAY	309
<i>The Southern Society for Philosophy and Psychology</i>	309
<i>The Geological Society of America:</i> DR. E. O. HOVEY	310

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the Editor of SCIENCE, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

THE PRIMARY CONCEPTS OF PHYSICS¹

THE subject of the present address is one that does not often appear on a scientific program. Physicists are so busy in enlarging the structure of knowledge that few of them concern themselves with the consideration of the fundamental concepts of the science. Yet it is plainly true that if those fundamental or primary concepts are not clearly apprehended, or if there is doubt as to what they are, the whole structure of the science rests on an insecure basis. I propose to examine certain questions concerning these primary concepts, about which there has been and is much unsettled opinion. The discussion necessarily rests upon my own beliefs about them. In the nature of the case each man can speak positively about them for himself, only. It would be very improper to dogmatize, and I shall accordingly have to crave your pardon for a frequent expression of my own opinion, believing it less objectionable to be egotistic than to be dogmatic.

The first question which I shall consider is that raised by the advocates of the dynamical definition of force, as to the order in which the concepts of force and mass come in thought when one is constructing the science of mechanics, or in other words, whether force or mass is the primary concept. It will be of service in the discussion if we consider briefly the way in which some of the great builders of the science of mechanics used these concepts.

¹ Presidential address delivered before the American Physical Society and Section B of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, at Washington, D. C., December 28, 1911.