

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 1910

CONTENTS

<i>The Flora of the British Islands:</i> PROFESSOR	
JAMES W. H. TRAIL	417
<i>The American Chemical Society</i>	425
<i>Scientific Notes and News</i>	426
<i>University and Educational News</i>	428
<i>Discussion and Correspondence:—</i>	
<i>Practical Nomenclature:</i> PROFESSOR T. D.	
A. COCKERELL	428
<i>Scientific Books:—</i>	
<i>Russo's Studien ueber die Bestimmung des weiblichen Geschlechtes:</i> PROFESSOR C. E.	
MCCLUNG. <i>Lehmer's Factor Tables for the First Ten Millions:</i> PROFESSOR G. A.	
MILLER	429
<i>Shackleton's Contribution to Biogeography:</i>	
CHARLES H. T. TOWNSEND	433
<i>The Influence of Nutrition upon the Animal Form:</i> PROFESSOR E. T. BELL	
	435
<i>Special Articles:—</i>	
<i>The Isolation of an Ion, a Precision Measurement of its Charge, and the Correction of Stokes's Law:</i> PROFESSOR R. A. MILLIKAN	
	436

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the Editor of SCIENCE, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

THE FLORA OF THE BRITISH ISLANDS¹

THE honor conferred in the election to be president for the year of the Botanical Section of the British Association imposes the duty of preparing an address. I trust that my selection of a subject will not be attributed by any one to a want of appreciation of the worth and importance of certain sides of botanical research to which I shall have less occasion to refer. These have been eloquently supported by former presidents, and I take this opportunity to express the thanks I owe for the benefit received from their contributions to the advancement of the science of botany. They have told us of the advance in departments of which they could speak as leaders in research, and I do not venture to follow in their steps. My subject is from a field in which I have often experienced the hindrances of which I shall have to speak, both in personal work and still more as a teacher of students, familiar with the many difficulties that impede the path of those who would gladly give of their best, but find the difficulties for a time almost insurmountable, and who are too frequently unable to spare the time or labor to allow of their undertaking scientific investigations that they might well accomplish, and in which they would find keen pleasure under other conditions. Those whose tastes lie in the direction of studying plants in the field rather than in the laboratory are apt to find themselves hampered seriously if they seek to become acquainted with the plants of their own

¹ Address of the president of the Botanical Section of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, Sheffield, 1910.

vicinity; and, if they wish to undertake investigations in the hope of doing what they can to advance botanical science, they may find it scarcely possible to ascertain what has been already done and recorded by others.

For a time the knowledge of plants was too much confined to the ability to name them according to the system in vogue and to a knowledge of their uses, real or imagined. The undue importance attached to this side of the study, even by so great a leader as Linnæus, naturally led to a reaction as the value of other aspects of botany came to be realized, and as improvements in the instruments and methods of research opened up new fields of study. The science has gained much by the reaction; but there is danger of swinging to the other extreme and of failing to recognize the need to become well acquainted with plants in their natural surroundings. The opportunities for study in the laboratory are so great and so much more under control, and the materials are so abundant and of so much interest, that there is for many botanists a temptation to limit themselves to such work, or at least to regard work in the field as subordinate to it and of little value. It is scarcely necessary to point out that each side is insufficient alone. Yet some find more pleasure in the one side, and do well to make it their chief study; while they should recognize the value of the other also, and learn from it.

It is especially on behalf of the work in the field that I now wish to plead. There are few paths more likely to prove attractive to most students. The study of the plants in their natural environments will lead to an understanding of their nature as living beings, of their relations to one another and to other environments, of the stimuli to which they respond, and

of the struggle for existence that results in the survival of certain forms and the disappearance of others. In this way also will be gained a conception of the true meaning and place of classification as an indispensable instrument for accurate determination and record, and not as an end in itself. To one that has once gained a true insight into the pleasure and worth of such studies, collections made for the sake of mere possession or lists of species discovered in a locality will not suffice. Many questions will arise which will prove a constant source of new interest. From such studies a deep and growing love for botany has in not a few cases arisen.

The British flora has interested me for upwards of forty years, and has occupied much of my attention during that time—not only as desirous to aid by my own efforts to extend our knowledge of it, but also, as a teacher, seeking to assist my students to become able to do their parts also, and making use of the materials within reach to enable me to help them. Thus our present knowledge of the plants of our own country has become known to me, and the difficulties of acquiring that knowledge have also become known through both my own experience and those of my students. The nature of the hindrance and difficulties that at present bar the way has also become familiar, as well as the steps to be taken to clear some of them away and to make the path less difficult to those who come after us; and I have also gained a fairly good acquaintance with the means at the command of students of the floras of other countries, so as to have a standard for comparison in the estimate to be formed of the condition of matters in our own country.

In how far is the present provision for the study of the flora of the British Islands sufficient and satisfactory?

I venture to hope that the subject will be

regarded as among those for the consideration of which the British Association was formed, and that a favorable view will be taken of the conclusions which I take this opportunity to lay before you. What, then, is the present provision for the study of our plants? Since the days of Morrison and Ray there have been many workers, especially during the past century; and an extensive literature has grown up, in the form both of book and of papers, the latter more or less comprehensive, in the scientific journals and in the transactions of societies. These papers contain much that is of great value; but, owing to the absence of any classified index, most of the information in it is beyond the reach of any one, except at the expenditure of much time and labor. The constantly increasing accumulation of new publications makes the need for a classified index always more urgent; for the mass of literature is at present one of the greatest obstacles to the undertaking of new investigations because of the uncertainty whether they may not have been already undertaken and overlooked through want of time or opportunity to search the mass exhaustively.

While the early writers of descriptive floras sought to include every species of plant known to occur in Britain, this has not been attempted during the past seventy or eighty years, and instead of one great work we know have monographs of the greater groups, such as Babington's "Manual" and Hooker's "Student's Flora" of the vascular plants, Braithwaite's "Moss-flora," etc. Local floras still, in a good many cases, aim at including all plants known to grow apparently wild in the districts to which they refer; but they are often little more than lists of species and varieties and of localities in which these have been found. In some, however, there are descriptions of new forms and notes of

general value, which are apt to be overlooked because of the place in which they appear.

The early works were necessarily not critical in their treatment of closely allied species and varieties, but they are valuable as giving evidence of what plants were supposed to be native in England when they were published. Even the works that were issued after Linnæus had established the binominal nomenclature for a time related almost wholly to England. Sibbald in "Scotia Illustrata" (1684) enumerated the plants believed by him to be native in Scotland, and of those then cultivated. Between his book and Lightfoot's "Flora Scotia," published in 1777, very little relating to the flora of Scotland appeared. Irish plants were still later in being carefully studied.

The floras of Hudson, Withering, Lightfoot and Smith, all of which include all species of known British plants, follow the Linnæan classification and nomenclature in so far as the authors were able to identify the Linnæan species in the British flora. "English Botany," begun in 1795, with plates by Sowerby and text by Smith, was a work of the first rank in its aim of figuring all British plants and in the excellence of the plates; but it shared the defect of certain other great floras in the plates being prepared and issued as the plants could be procured, and thus being without order. Its cost also necessarily put it beyond the reach of most botanists, except those that had the advantage of access to it in some large library. A second edition, issued at a lower price, and with the plants arranged on the Linnæan system, was inferior to the first, in the plates being only partially colored and in having the text much curtailed. The so-called third edition of the "English Botany," issued 1868-86, is a new work as far as the text is concerned, that being the

work of Dr. Boswell Syme, who made it worthily representative of its subject; but the plates, with few exceptions, are reissues of those of the first edition, less perfect as impressions and far less carefully colored; and this applies with still greater force to a reissue of the third edition a few years ago. This edition, moreover, included only the vascular plants and Characeæ. As this is the only large and fully illustrated British flora that has been attempted, it is almost needless to add that in this respect provision for the study of the flora of our islands is far behind that of certain other countries, and very notably behind that made in the "*Flora danica*."

Turning next to the provision of less costly aids to the study of British plants, we have manuals of most of the larger groups. The vascular plants are treated of in numerous works, including a considerable number of illustrated books in recent years, inexpensive but insufficient for any but the most elementary students. Fitch's outline illustrations to Bentham's "*Handbook to the British Flora*," supplemented by W. G. Smith, were issued in a separate volume in 1887, which is still the best for use in the inexpensive works of this kind. Babington's "*Manual*," on its first appearance in 1843, was gladly welcomed as embodying the result of careful and continued researches by its author into the relations of British plants to their nearest relatives on the continent of Europe; and each successive issue up to the eighth in 1881 received the careful revision of the author, and contained additions and modifications. In 1904 a ninth edition was edited, after the author's death, by H. and J. Groves; but, though the editors included notes left by Professor Babington prepared for a new edition, they were "unable to make alterations in the treatment of some of the critical genera which might perhaps have been

desirable." The "*Student's Flora of the British Islands*," by Sir J. D. Hooker, issued in 1870, took the place of the well-known "*British Flora*" (1830, and in subsequent editions until the eighth in 1860, the last three being issued in collaboration by Sir W. J. Hooker and Professor Walker Arnott). The third edition of the "*Student's Flora*" appeared in 1884, and there has been none since. Mr. F. N. Williams's "*Prodromus Floræ Britannicæ*," begun in 1901, of which less than one half has yet appeared, though a work of much value and authority, is scarcely calculated for the assistance of the ordinary student; and Mr. Druce's new edition of Hayward's "*Botanist's Pocket Book*" "is intended merely to enable the botanist in the field to name his specimens approximately, and to refresh the memory of the more advanced worker." In all the books that are intended for the use of British botanists, apart from one or two recently issued local floras, the classification is still that in use in the middle of last century, even to the extent in the most of them of retaining Coniferæ as a division of Dicotyledones. Apart from this, the critical study of British plants has led to the detection of numerous previously unobserved and unnamed forms, which find no place in the "*Student's Flora*," and are only in part noticed in the recent edition of the "*Manual*."

The "*Lists*" of vascular plants of the British flora that have recently been issued by Messrs. Rendle and Britten, by Mr. Druce, and as the tenth edition of the "*London Catalogue of British Plants*" are all important documents for the study of the British flora; but they illustrate very forcibly certain of the difficulties that beset the path of the student eager to gain a knowledge of the plants of his native land. In these lists he finds it scarcely possible to

gain a clear idea of how far the species and varieties of the one correspond with those of the other, owing to the diversities of the names employed. It would be a great boon to others as well as to students were a full synonymic list prepared to show clearly the equivalence of the names where those for the same species or variety differ in the different lists and manuals. Probably in time an agreement will be generally arrived at regarding the names to be accepted, but that desirable consummation seems hardly yet in sight. Meantime the most useful step seems to be to show in how far there is agreement in fact under the different names.

Among the cryptogams certain groups have fared better than the higher plants as regards both their later treatment and their more adequate illustration by modern methods and standards. Several works of great value have dealt with the mosses, the latest being Braithwaite's "British Moss-flora," completed in 1899. The Sphagna were also treated by Braithwaite in 1880, and are to be the subject of a monograph in the Ray Society's series. The liverworts have been the subject also of several monographs, of which Pearson's is the fullest.

Among the Thallophyta certain groups have been more satisfactorily treated than others—*e. g.*, the Discomycetes, the Uredineæ and Ustilagineæ, the Myxomycetes and certain others among the fungi, and the Desmidiaceæ among the algæ; but the Thallophyta as a whole are much in need of thorough revision to place them on a footing either satisfactory or comparable to their treatment in other countries.

Of the Thallophyta many more of the smaller species will probably be discovered within our islands when close search is made, if we may judge by the much more numerous forms already recorded in cer-

tain groups abroad, and which almost certainly exist here also; but among the higher plants it is not likely that many additional species will be discovered as native, yet even among these some will probably be found. It is, however, rather in the direction of fuller investigation of the distribution and tendencies to variation within our islands that results of interest are likely to be obtained.

The labors of H. C. Watson gave a very great stimulus to the study of the distribution of the flora in England and Scotland, and the work he set on foot has been taken up and much extended by numerous botanists in all parts of the British Islands. It is largely owing to such work and to the critical study of the flora necessary for its prosecution that so many additions have been made to the forms previously known as British. Many local works have been issued in recent years, often on a very high standard of excellence. Besides these larger works scientific periodicals and transactions of field clubs and other societies teem with records, some of them very brief, while others are of such size and compass that they might have been issued as separate books. A few of both the books and papers are little more than mere lists of names of species and varieties observed in a locality during a brief visit; but usually there is an attempt at least to distinguish the native or well-established aliens from the mere casuals, if these are mentioned at all. In respect of aliens or plants that owe their presence in a district to man's aid, intentional or involuntary, their treatment is on no settled basis. Every flora admits without question species that are certainly of alien origin, even such weeds of cultivated ground as disappear when cultivation is given up, as may be verified in too many localities in some parts of our country. Yet other species

are not admitted, though they may be met with here and there well established, and at least as likely to perpetuate their species in the new home as are some native species.

Comparatively few writers seek to analyze the floras of the districts treated of with a view to determine whence each species came and how, its relation to man, whether assisted by him in its arrival directly or indirectly, whether favored or harmfully affected by him, its relations to its environment—especially to other species of plants and to animals, and other questions that suggest themselves when such inquiries are entered on. It is very desirable that a careful and exhaustive revision of the British flora should be made on these and similar lines. In such a revision it is not less desirable that each species should be represented by a good series of specimens, and that these should be compared with similar series from other localities within our islands, and from those countries from which it is believed that the species originally was sprung. Such careful comparison would probably supply important evidence of forms being evolved in the new environments, differing to a recognizable degree from the ancestral types, and tending to become more marked in the more distant and longer isolated localities. An excellent example of this is afforded by the productive results of the very careful investigation of the Shetland flora by the late Mr. W. H. Beeby.

Within recent years excellent work has been done in the study of plant associations, but the reports on these studies are dispersed in various journals (often not botanical), and are apt to be overlooked by, or to remain unknown to, many to whom they would be helpful. The same is true in large measure of the very valuable

reports of work done on plant-remains from peat-mosses, from lake deposits, and from other recent geological formations, researches that have cast such light on the past history of many species as British plants, and have proved their long abode in this country. Mr. Clement Reid's "Origin of the British Flora," though published in 1899, has already (by the work of himself and others) been largely added to, and the rate of progress is likely to become still more rapid. Among the fruits and seeds recorded from interglacial and even from preglacial deposits are some whose presence could scarcely have been anticipated, *e. g.*, *Hypecoum procumbens*, in Suffolk. Some of the colonists, or aliens now almost confined to ground under cultivation, have been recorded from deposits that suggest an early immigration into the British Islands. While much remains to be discovered, it is desirable that what is already established should find a place in the manuals of British botany.

Apart from the descriptive and topographical works and papers on our flora, there is a serious lack of information gained from the study of our British plants. Although a few types have received fuller study, we have little to compare with the work done in other countries on the structure and histology of our plants, on the effects of environment, on their relations to other species and to animals, and on other aspects of the science to which attention should be directed. On these matters, as on a good many others, we gain most of what information can be had not from British sources, but from the literature of other countries, though it is not wise to assume that what is true elsewhere is equally true here. It is as well, perhaps, that for the present such subjects should find scanty reference in

the manuals in ordinary use; but, when trustworthy information has been gained within the British Islands, under the conditions prevailing here, these topics should certainly not be passed over in silence. Students of the British flora have as yet no such works of reference as Raunkjaer's book on the Monocotyledons of Denmark or the admirable "Lebensgeschichte der Blütenpflanzen Mitteleuropas," at present being issued by Drs. Kirchner, Loew and Schröter.

In a complete survey of the British botany there must be included the successive floras of the earlier geological formations, though they can not as yet be brought into correlation with the recent or existing floras. In the brilliant progress made recently in this field of study our country and the British Association are worthily represented.

The present provision for the study of the British flora and the means that should be made use of for its extension appear to be these:

Much excellent work has already been accomplished and put on record towards the investigation of the flora, but much of that store of information is in danger of being overlooked and forgotten or lost, owing to the absence of means to direct attention to where it may be found. A careful revision of what has been done and a systematic subject-index to its stores are urgently required.

The systematic works treating of the flora are in great part not fully representative of the knowledge already possessed, and require to be brought up to date or to be replaced by others.

Great difficulty is caused by the absence of an authoritative synonymic list that would show as far as possible the equivalence of the names employed in the various manuals and lists. There is much

reason to wish that uniformity in the use of names of species and varieties should be arrived at, and a representative committee might assist to that end; but, in the meantime, a good synonymic list would be a most helpful step towards relieving a very pressing obstacle to progress.

There is need for a careful analysis of the flora with a view to determining those species that owe their presence here to man's aid, intentional or unconscious; and the inquiry should be directed to ascertain the periods and methods of introduction, any tendencies to become modified in their new homes, their subsequent relations with man, and their influence on the native flora, whether direct or by modifying habitats, as shown by *Lupinus nootkatensis* in the valleys of rivers in Scotland.

Those species that there is reason to regard as not having been introduced by man should be investigated as regards their probable origins and the periods and methods of immigration, evidence from fossil deposits of the period during which they have existed in this country, their constancy or liability to show change during this period, their resemblance to or differences from the types in the countries from which they are believed to have been derived, or the likelihood of their having originated by mutation or by slow change within the British Islands, and their relation to man's influence on them (usually harmful, but occasionally helpful) as affecting their distribution and permanence.

The topographical distribution, though so much has been done in this field during the past sixty or seventy years, still requires careful investigation, to determine not merely that species have been observed in certain districts, but their relative frequency, their relations to man (natives of one part of our country are often aliens in

other parts), whether increasing or diminishing, altitudes, habitats, etc. From such a careful topographical survey much should be learned of the conditions that favor or hinder the success of species, of the evolution of new forms and their relation to parent types in distribution, especially in the more isolated districts and islands, and of other biological problems of great interest. A most useful aid towards the preparation of topographical records would be afforded by the issue at a small price of outline maps so as to allow of a separate map being employed for recording the distribution of each form.

A careful study of the flora is also required from the standpoint of structure and development, with comparison of the results obtained here with those of workers in other countries where the same or closely allied species and varieties occur. It is also needed in respect of the relations between the plants and animals of our islands, both as observed here and in comparison with the already extensive records of a similar kind in other countries. On such topics as pollination, distribution of seeds, and injuries inflicted by animals and galls produced by animals or plants we have still to make use very largely of the information gained abroad; and the same holds good with regard to the diseases of plants.

While "English Botany" in its first edition was deservedly regarded as a work of the first rank among floras, it has long been defective as representing our present knowledge of British plants, and it has not been succeeded by any work of nearly equal rank, while other countries now have their great floras of a type in advance of it. There is need for a great work worthy of our country, amply illustrated so as to show not only the habit of the species and varieties, but also the distinctive char-

acters and the more important biological features of each. Such a flora would probably require to be in the form of monographs by specialists, issued as each could be prepared, but as part of a well-planned whole. It should give for each plant far more than is contained in even the best of our existing British floras. Means of identification must be provided in the description, with emphasized diagnostic characters; but there should also be the necessary synonymy, a summary of topographical distribution, notes on man's influence upon distribution, abundance, etc., on any biological or other point of interest in structure or relations to habitat, environment, associated animals or plants, diseases, etc. Local names, uses, and folklore should also be included; and for this the need is all the greater, because much of such old lore is rapidly being forgotten and tends to be lost. In a national flora there should be included an account of the successive floras of former periods, and, as far as possible, the changes that can be traced in the existing flora from its earliest records to the time of issue should be recorded.

A flora of this kind would not only afford the fullest possible information with regard to the plant world of the British Islands at the date of issue, but would form a standard with which it could be compared at later periods, so as to permit of changes in it being recognized and measured. In the meanwhile the production of such a flora can be regarded only as an aim towards which to press on, but which can not be attained until much has been done. But while the fulfilment must be left to others, we can do something to help it on by trying to remove difficulties from the way, and to bring together materials that may be used in its construction.

I have sought to call attention to the difficulties that I have experienced and to directions in which progress could be made at once, and to provision which should be made for the advancement of the study of the British flora with as little delay as possible. There is, I feel assured, the means of making far more rapid and satisfactory progress towards the goal than has yet been accomplished. Many persons are interested in the subject, and would gladly give their aid if they knew in what way to employ it to the best purpose. As a nation we are apt to trust to individual rather than to combined efforts, and to waste much time and labor in consequence, with discouragement of many who would gladly share the labor in a scheme in which definite parts of the work could be undertaken by them.

I believe that a well-organized botanical survey of the British Islands would give results of great scientific value, and that there is need for it. I believe, also, that means exist to permit of its being carried through. There is no ground to expect that it will be undertaken on the same terms as the Geological Survey. A biological survey must be accomplished by voluntary effort, with possibly some help towards meeting necessary expenses of equipment from funds which are available for assistance in scientific research. Is such a survey not an object fully in accord with the objects for which the British Association exists? In the belief that it is so, I ask you to consider whether such a survey should not be undertaken; and, if you approve the proposal, I further ask that a committee be appointed to report on what steps should be taken towards organizing such a survey, and preparing materials for a national flora of the British Islands.

JAMES W. H. TRAIL

THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

THE readers of SCIENCE will be interested to know that another gold medal award for chemical research has been established. This medal is to be known as the Willard Gibbs Medal and is founded under the control of the Chicago Section of our society through the generosity of Mr. W. A. Converse, of that city. The rules governing its award as transmitted to me by A. L. Nehls, secretary, are as follows:

I. A gold medal shall be awarded annually for the best paper or address presented before the Chicago Section of the American Chemical Society, provided it be of sufficient merit. This medal shall be known as the Willard Gibbs medal, founded by Wm. A. Converse. The award may be made to any one, provided he be a member of the American Chemical Society at the time the paper or address was delivered, and provided it is eligible under the following conditions:

(a) The medal shall be awarded at the November meeting of the Chicago Section for a paper or address delivered before the section between September 1 of the previous year and July 1 of the year of the award. The first medal shall be awarded in November, 1911.

(b) The paper or address shall be complete in itself, shall be presented by the author, and shall not have been read or published previously. To be considered for the award a type-written copy of the paper or address shall be submitted to the jury, through the chairman of the section.

(c) It is desired that the paper or address, if suitable, be published in one of the publications of the American Chemical Society.

II. The jury to determine the award of the medal shall consist of the chairman of the Chicago Section at the time the award is made, who shall, *ex officio*, be chairman of the jury, and four other members of the section duly elected by it.

III. The executive committee of the Chicago Section shall have the power to decide any question not specifically covered by these rules.

IV. The Chicago Section shall have the power to change or amend these rules under