

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1909

CONTENTS

The American Association for the Advancement of Science:—

American Standards in Education and the World-standard: DR. ELMER ELLSWORTH BROWN 417

The Geographical Distribution of the Student Body at a Number of Universities and Colleges: PROFESSOR RUDOLF TOMBO, JR. 435

The Unification of the Methods of Analysis of Fats and Oils 435

Scientific Notes and News 436

University and Educational News 439

Discussion and Correspondence:—

The Harvard Classics and Harvard: JOHN JAY CHAPMAN. *Historical Graphics:* PROFESSOR CHARLES E. BESSEY. *Statistics of Telegony:* PROFESSOR KARL PEARSON 440

Scientific Books:—

Bailey's Cyclopedia of American Agriculture: PROFESSOR W. M. HAYS. *Stahl's Zur Biologie des Chlorophylls:* PROFESSOR GEORGE J. PEIRCE. *Knopf's Tuberculosis:* DR. GEO. M. KOBER 444

Scientific Journals and Articles 450

Special Articles:—

The Perfect Stage of Leaf-spot of Pear and Quince: PROFESSOR GEORGE F. ATKINSON. *Notes on Two Common Turtles of Eastern United States:* H. A. ALLARD. *On Artificial Parthenogenesis of the Sea-urchin Egg:* DR. J. F. McCLENDON 452

Societies and Academies:—

The American Mathematical Society: PROFESSOR F. N. COLE 455

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the Editor of SCIENCE, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE
ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

AMERICAN STANDARDS IN EDUCATION
AND THE WORLD-STANDARD¹

For the most part, higher education in America has been carried on by institutions singularly isolated one from another. Each has been a law unto itself. The state has conferred upon them academic powers, but has not defined their academic responsibilities. In a little less degree, the same separatism has prevailed in our secondary education, and again in less degree in our elementary schools.

We were individualists in our education, with institutions as our units, before we became out-and-out individualists, with single students as our units. It is hard to see how this individualism could now be carried further, unless it might be by extending the elective system down through the grades and into the primary school. The most radical advocates of free election, however, balk at the offer to six-year-olds of a choice between learning to read and learning to make mud pies. Here at least the doctrine of equivalence breaks down, and indeed it seems doubtful whether the elective system will spread very far beyond its present boundaries. Its great vogue in our best universities, its long ascendancy, the personal weight of its ablest advocates—even these considerations can not disguise the fact that, in the long sweep of educational history, it is a mode, a fashion, a phase, and not the ultimate solution of a problem of the ages. In more trivial and

¹ Address of the Vice-president and Chairman of Section L. Baltimore, 1908.