# SCIENCE

## FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1909

# CONTENTS

The British Association for the Advancement of Science:—	
Address of the President to the Geological Section: PROFESSOR A. SMITH WOODWARD.	321
Entomological Research	331
Second International Congress for the Repres- sion of Adulteration and Frauds in Food and Drugs	332
The Twentieth Anniversary of Clark Univer-	
<i>sity</i>	334
The North Pole	334
Scientific Notes and News	334
University and Educational News	338
Discussion and Correspondence: "Mars as the Abode of Life": DB. PERCI- VAL LOWELL. The Nomenclature Question: DR. F. A. BATHER	338
Scientific Books:— Moritz von Rohr on Die binokularen Instru- mente: PROFESSOR W. LE CONTE STEVENS. Vernon on Intracellular Enzymes: PRO- FESSOR LAFAYETTE B. MENDEL. Plankton Investigations of the Danish Lakes: C.	
JUDAY	341
Scientific Journals and Articles	346
Special Articles:— Salient Events in the Geological History of California: PROFESSOR JAMES PERRIN SMITH	346
	<b>3</b> 40
The Winnipeg Meeting of the British Asso- ciation for the Advancement of Science: PROFESSOR G. A. MILLER	351

# THE BRITISH ASSOCIATION FOR THE AD-VANCEMENT OF SCIENCE<sup>1</sup> ADDRESS OF THE PRESIDENT TO THE GEOLOGICAL SECTION

THE circumstances of the present meeting very clearly determine the subject of a general address to be expected from a student of extinct animals. The remarkable discoveries of fossil backboned animals made on the North American continent during the last fifty years suggest an estimate of the results achieved by the modern systematic methods of research; while the centenary celebration of the birth of Darwin makes it appropriate to consider the extent to which we may begin deducing the laws of organic evolution from the life of past ages as we now know it. Such an address must, of course, be primarily biological in character, and treat of some matters which are not ordinarily discussed by Section C. The subject, however, can only be appreciated fully by those who have some practical acquaintance with the limitations under which geologists pursue their researches, and especially by those who are accustomed to geological modes of thought.

There has been an unfortunate tendency during recent years for the majority of geologists to relinquish the study of fossils in absolute despair. More ample material for examination and more exact methods of research have altered many erroneous names which were originally used; while the admission to scientific publications of too many mere literary exercises on the socalled "law of priority" has now made it necessary to learn not one, but several 'Winnipeg, 1909.

MSS, intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the Editor of SCIENCE, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

names for some of the genera and species which are commonly met with. Even worse, the tentative arrangement of fossils in "genetic series" has led to the invention of a multitude of terms which often serve to give a semblance of scientific exactitude to the purest guess-work, and sometimes degenerate into a jargon which is naturally repellent to an educated mind. Nevertheless, I still hope to show that, with all these difficulties, there is so much of fundamental interest in the new work that it is worth while to make an effort to appreciate it. Geology and paleontology in the past have furnished some of the grandest possible contributions to our knowledge of the world of life; they have revealed hidden meanings which no study of the existing world could even suggest; and they have started lines of inquiry which the student of living animals and plants alone would scarcely have suspected to be The latest researches are the profitable. logical continuation of this pioneer work on a more extensive scale, and with greater precision; and I am convinced that they will continue to be as important a factor in the progress of post-Darwinian biology as were the older studies of fossils in the philosophy of Cuvier, Brongniart and Owen.

In this connection it is necessary to combat the mistaken popular belief that the main object of studying fossils is to discover the "missing links" in the chain of life. We are told that the idea of organic evolution is not worthy of serious consideration until these links, precise in character, are forthcoming in all directions. Moreover the critics who express this opinion are not satisfied to consider the simplest cases, such as are afforded by some of the lower grades of "shell-fish" which live together in immense numbers and have limited power of locomotion. They demand long series of exact links between the

most complex skeletal frames of the backboned animals, which have extreme powers of locomotion, are continually wandering, and are rarely preserved as complete individuals when they are buried in rock. They even expect continual discoveries of links among the rarest of all fossils, those of the higher apes and man. The geologist, on the other hand, knowing well that he must remain satisfied with a knowledge of a few scattered episodes in the history of life which are always revealed by the merest accident, marvels that the discovery of "missing links" is so constant a feature of his work. He is convinced that, if circumstances were more favorable, he would be able to satisfy the demand of the most exacting critic. He has found enough continuous series among the mollusca, for example, and so many suggestions of equally gradual series among the higher animals, that he does not hesitate to believe without further evidence in a process of descent with modification. The mere reader of books is often misled by the vagaries of nomenclature to suppose that the intervals between the links are greater than in reality; but for the actual student it is an every-day experience to find that fossils of slightly different ages which he once thought distinct are linked together by a series of forms in which it is difficult to discover the feeblest lines of demarcation. He is therefore justified in proceeding on the assumption that in all cases the life of one geological period has passed by a natural process of descent into that of the next succeeding period; and, avoiding genealogical guesswork which proves to be more and more futile, he strives to obtain a broad view of the series of changes which have occurred, to distinguish between those which denote progress and those which lead to stagnation or extinction. When the general features of organic evolution are determined in this manner, it will be much easier than it is at present to decide where missing links in any particular case are most likely to be found.

Among these general features which have been made clear by the latest systematic researches, I wish especially to emphasize the interest and significance of the persistent progress of life to a higher plane, which we observe during the successive geological periods. For I think paleontologists are now generally agreed that there is some principle underlying this progress much more fundamental than chancevariation or response to environment, however much these phenomena may have contributed to certain minor adaptations. Consider the case of the backboned animals, for instance, which I happen to have had special opportunities of studying.

We are not likely ever to discover the actual ancestors of animals on the backboned plan, because they do not seem to have acquired any hard skeleton until the latter part of the Silurian period, when fossils prove them to have been typical and fully developed, though low in the backboned scale. The ingenious researches and reasoning of Dr. W. H. Gaskell, however, have suggested the possibility that these animals originated from some early relatives of the scorpions and crustaceans. It is therefore of great interest to observe that the Eurypterids and their allies, which occupy this zoological position, were most abundant during the Silurian period, were represented by species of the largest size immediately afterwards at the beginning of the Devonian, and then gradually dwindled into insignificance. In other words, there was a great outburst of Eurypterid life just at the time when backboned animals arose; and if some of the former were actually transformed into the latter, the phenomenon took place when their powers both of variation and of multiplication were at their maximum.

Fishes were already well established and distributed over perhaps the greater part of the northern hemisphere at the beginning of Devonian times; and then there began suddenly a remarkable impulse towards the production of lung-breathers, which is noticeable not only in Europe and North America, but also probably so far away as Australia. In the middle and latter part of the Devonian period, most of the true fishes had paddles, making them crawlers as much as swimmers; many of them differed from typical fishes, while agreeing with lung-breathers, in having the basis of the upper jaw fused with the skull, not suspended; and some of them exhibited both these features. Their few survivors at the present day (the Crossopterygians and Dipnoans) have also an air-bladder, which might readily become a lung. The characteristic fish-fauna of the Devonian period, therefore, made a nearer approach to the land animals than any group of fishes of later date; and it is noteworthy that in the Lower Carboniferous of Scotland-perhaps even in the Upper Devonian of North America, if footprints can be trusted-amphibians first appeared, In Upper Carboniferous times they became firmly established, and between that period and the Trias they seem to have spread all over the world; their remains having been found, indeed, in Europe, Spitzbergen, India, South Africa, North and South America and Australia.

The Stegocephala or Labyrinthodonts, as these primitive amphibians are termed, were therefore a vigorous race; but the marsh-dwelling habits of the majority did not allow of much variation from the salamander-pattern. Only in Upper Carboniferous and Lower Permian times did some of their smaller representatives (the Microsauria) become lizard-like, or even snakelike, in form and habit; and then there suddenly arose the true reptiles. Still, these reptiles did not immediately replace the Stegocephala in the economy of nature; they remained quite secondary in importance at least until the Upper Permian, in most parts even until the dawn of the Triassic period. Then they began their flourishing career.

At this time the reptiles rapidly diverged in two directions. Some of them were almost exactly like the little Sphenodon, which still survives in some islands off New Zealand, only retaining more traces of their marsh-dwelling ancestors. The majority (the Anomodonts or Theromorphs) very quickly became so closely similar to the mammals that they can only be interpreted as indicating an intense struggle towards the attainment of the higher warm-blooded grade; and there is not much doubt that true mammals actually arose about the end of the Triassic period. Here, again, however, the new race did not immediately replace the old, or exterminate it by unequal competition. Reptiles held their own on all lands throughout the Jurassic and Cretaceous periods, and it was not until the Tertiary that mammals began to predominate.

As to the beginning of the birds, it can only be said that towards the end of the Triassic period there arose a race of small Dinosaurs of the lightest possible build, exhibiting many features suggestive of the avian skeleton; so it is probable that this higher group also originated from an intensely restless early community of reptiles, in which all the variations were more or less in the right direction for advancement.

In short, it is evident that the progress of the backboned land animals during the successive periods of geological time has not been uniform and gradual, but has proceeded in a rhythmic manner. There have been alternations of restless episodes which meant real advance, with periods of comparative stability, during which the

predominant animals merely varied in response to their surroundings, or degenerated, or gradually grew to a large size. There was no transition, for instance, between the reptiles of the Cretaceous period and the mammals which immediately took their place in the succeeding Eocene period; those mammals, as we have seen, had actually originated long ages before, and had remained practically dormant in some region which we have not yet discovered, waiting to burst forth in due time. During this retirement of the higher race the reptiles themselves had enjoyed an extraordinary development and adaptation to every possible mode of life in nearly all parts of the globe. We do not understand the phenomenon-we can not explain it; but it is as noticeable in the geological history of fishes as in that of the land animals just considered. It seems to have been first clearly observed by the distinguished American naturalist, the late Professor Edward D. Cope, who termed the sudden fundamental advances "expression points" and saw in them a manifestation of some inscrutable inherent "bathmic force."

Perhaps the most striking feature to be noticed in each of these "expression points" is the definite establishment of some important structural character which had been imperfect or variable before, thus affording new and multiplied possibilities of adaptation to different modes of life. In the first lung-breathers (Stegocephala), for example, the indefinite paddle of the mud fishes became the definite five-toed limb; while the incomplete backbone reached completeness. Still these animals must have been confined almost entirely to marshes, and they seem to have been all carnivorous. In the next grade, that of the reptiles, it became possible to leave the marshes; and some of them were soon adapted not only for life on hard ground or in forests, but even for flight in the air.

Several also assumed a shape of body and limbs enabling them to live in the open sea. Nearly all were carnivorous at first, and most of them remained so to the end; but many of the Dinosaurs eventually became practically hoofed animals, with a sharp beak for cropping herbage, and with powerful grinding teeth. In none of these animals, however, were the toes reduced to less than three in number, and in none of them were the basal toe-bones fused together as they are in cattle and deer. It is also noteworthy that the brain in all of them remained very small and simple. In the final grade of backboned life, that of the mammals, each of the adaptive modifications just mentioned began to arise again in a more nearly perfected manner, and now survival depended not so much on an effective body as on a developing brain. The mammals began as little carnivorous or mixed-feeding animals with a small brain and five toes, and during the Tertiary period they gradually differentiated into the several familiar groups as we now know them, eventually culminating in man.

The demonstration by fossils that many animals of the same general shape and habit have originated two or three times, at two or three successive periods, from two or three continually higher grades of life, is very interesting. To have proved, for example, that flying reptiles did not pass into birds or bats, that hoofed Dinosaurs did not change into hoofed mammals, and that Ichthyosaurs did not become porpoises; and to have shown that all these later animals were mere mimics of their predecessors, originating independently from a higher yet generalized stock, is a remarkable achievement. Still more significant, however, is the discovery that towards the end of their career through geological time totally different races of animals repeatedly exhibit certain peculiar features, which can only be described as infallible marks of old age.

The growth to a relatively large size is one of these marks, as we observe in the giant Pterodactyls of the Cretaceous period, the colossal Dinosaurs of the Upper Jurassic and Cretaceous, and the large mammals of the Pleistocene and the present day. It is not, of course, all the members of a race that increase in size: some remain small until the end, and they generally survive long after the others are extinct; but it is nevertheless a common rule that the prosperous and typical representatives are successively larger and larger, as we see them in the familiar cases of the horses and elephants of the northern hemisphere, and the hoofed animals and armadillos of South America.

Another frequent mark of old age in races was first discussed and clearly pointed out by the late Professor C. E. Beecher, of Yale. It is the tendency in all animals with skeletons to produce a superfluity of dead matter, which accumulates in the form of spines or bosses as soon as the race they represent has reached its prime and begins to be on the down-grade. Among familiar instances may be mentioned the curiously spiny Graptolites at the end of the Silurian period, the horned Pariasaurians at the beginning of the Trias, the armor-plated and horned Dinosaurs at the end of the Cretaceous, and the cattle or deer of modern Tertiary times. The latter case—that of the deer—is specially interesting, because fossils reveal practically all the stages in the gradual development of the horns or antlers, from the hornless condition of the Oligocene species, through the simply forked small antlers of the Miocene species, to the largest and most complex of all antlers seen in Cervus sedgwicki from the Upper Pliocene and the Irish deer (C. giganteus) of still later times. The growth of these excrescences, both in relative size

and complication, was continual and persistent until the climax was reached and the extreme forms died out. At the same time, although the paleontologist must regard this as a natural and normal phenomenon not directly correlated with the habits of the race of animals in which it occurs, and although he does not agree with the oft-repeated statement that deer may have "perfected" their antlers through the survival of those individuals which could fight most effectively, there may nevertheless be some truth in the idea that the growths originally began where the head was subject to irritating impacts and that they so happened to become of utility. Fossils merely prove that such skeletal outgrowths appear over and over again in the prime and approaching old age of races; they can suggest no reason for the particular positions and shapes these outgrowths assume in each species of animal.

It appears, indeed, that when some part of an animal (whether an excrescence or a normal structure) began to grow relatively large in successive generations during geological time, it often acquired some mysterious impetus by which it continued to increase long after it had reached the serviceable limit. The unwieldy antlers of the extinct Sedgwick's deer and Irish deer just mentioned, for example, must have been impediments rather than useful weapons. The excessive enlargement of the upper canine teeth in the so-called sabertoothed tigers (Macharodus and its allies) must also eventually have hindered rather than aided the capture and eating of prey. The curious gradual elongation of the face in the Oligocene and Miocene mastodons, which has lately been described by Dr. Andrews, can only be regarded as another illustration of the same phenomenon. In successive generations of these animals the limbs seem to have grown continually longer, while the neck remained short, so

that the head necessarily became more and more elongated to crop the vegetation on the ground. A limit of mechanical inefficiency was eventually reached, and then there survived only those members of the group in which the attenuated mandible became shortened up, leaving the modified face to act as a "proboscis." The elephants thus arose as a kind of afterthought from a group of quadrupeds that were rapidly approaching their doom.

The end of real progress in a developing race of backboned animals is also often marked by the loss of the teeth. A regular and complete set of teeth is always present at the commencement, but it frequently begins to lack successors in animals which have reached the limit of their evolution, and then it soon disappears. Tortoises, for instance, have been toothless since the Triassic period, when they had assumed all their essential features; and birds have been toothless since the end of Cretaceous The monotreme mammals of Austimes. tralasia, which are really a survival from the Jurassic period, are also toothless. Some of the latest Ichthyosaurs and Pterodactvls were almost or quite toothless: and I have seen a jaw of an Upper Cretaceous carnivorous Dinosaur (Genyodectes) from Patagonia so completely destitute of successional teeth that it seems likely some of these land reptiles nearly arrived at the same condition.

Among fishes there is often observable still another sign of racial old age namely, their degeneration into eel-shaped forms. The Dipnoan fishes afford a striking illustration, beginning with the normally shaped *Dipterus* in the Middle Devonian, and ending in the long-bodied *Lepidosiren* and *Protopterus* of the present day. The Paleozoic Acanthodian sharks, as they are traced upwards from their beginning in the Lower Devonian to their end in the Permian, also acquire a remarkable elongation of the body and a fringelike extension of the fins. Among higher fishes, too, there are numerous instances of the same phenomenon, but in most of these the ancestors still remain undiscovered, and it would thus be tedious to discuss them.

Finally, in connection with these obvious symptoms of old age in races, it is interesting to refer to a few strange cases of the rapid disappearance of whole orders of animals, which had a practically world-wide distribution at the time when the end came. Local extinction, or the disappearance of a group of restricted geographical range, may be explained by accidents of many kinds: but contemporaneous universal extinction of widely spread groups, which are apparently not affected by any new competitors, is not so easily understood. The Dinosaurs, for instance, are known to have lived in nearly all lands until the close of the Cretaceous period; and, except perhaps in Patagonia, they were always accompanied until the end by a typically Mesozoic fauna. Their remains are abundant in the Wealden formation of western Europe, the deposit of a river which must have drained a great continent at the beginning of the Cretaceous period; they have also been found in a corresponding formation which covers a large area in the state of Bahia, in Brazil. They occur in great numbers in the fresh-water Upper Cretaceous Laramie deposits of western North America, and also in a similar formation of equally late date in Transylvania, southeast Europe. In only two of these regions (southeast England and west North America) have any traces of mammals been found, and they are extremely rare fragments of animals as small as rats; so there is no reason to suppose that the Dinosaurs suffered in the least from any struggle with warm-blooded competitors. Even in Patagonia, where the associated mammal-remains belong to slightly larger and more modern animals, these fossils are also rare, and there is nothing to suggest competition. The race of Dinosaurs seems, therefore, to have died a natural death. The same may be said of the marine reptiles of the orders Ichthyosauria, Plesiosauria and Mosasauria. They had a practically world-wide distribution in the seas of the Cretaceous period, and the Mosasauria especially must have been extremely abundant and flourishing. Nevertheless, at the end of Cretaceous times they disappeared everywhere, and there was absolutely nothing to take their place until the latter part of the Eocene period, when whales and porpoises began to play exactly the same part. So far as we know, the higher race never even came in contact with the lower race; the marine mammals found the seas vacant, except for a few turtles and for one curious Rhynchocephalian reptile (Champsosaurus), which did not long survive. Another illustration of the same phenomenon is probably afforded by the primitive Carnivora (the so-called Sparassodonta), which were numerous in South America in the Lower Tertiary periods. They were animals with a brain as small as that of the thylacines and dasyures which now live in Tasmania. They appear to have died out completely before they were replaced by the cats, saber-toothed tigers and dogs, which came down south from North America over the newly emerged Isthmus of Panama at the close of the Pliocene period. At least, the remains of these old carnivores and their immigrant successors have never yet been found associated in any geological formation.

These various considerations lead me to think that there is also deep significance in the tendency towards fixity in the number and regularity (or symmetry) in the arrangement of their multiple parts, which we frequently observe in groups of animals as we trace them from their origin to their prime. It is well known that in certain of the highest and latest types of bony fishes the vertebræ and fin-rays are reduced to a fixed and practically invariable number for each family or genus, whereas there is no such fixity in the lower and earlier groups. In the earliest known Pycnodont fishes from the Lower Lias (Mesodon) the grinding teeth form an irregular cluster, while in most of the higher and later genera they are arranged in definite regular rows in a symmetrical manner. Many of the lower backboned animals have teeth with several cusps, and in some genera the number of teeth seems to be constant; but in the geological history of the successive classes the tooth-cusps never became fixed entities, readily traceable individual throughout whole groups, until the highest or mammalian grade had been attained. Moreover, it is only in the same latest grade or class that the teeth themselves can be treated as definite units, always the same in number (forty-four), except when modified by degeneration or special adaptation. In the earlier and lower land animals the number of vertebræ in the neck depends on the extent of this part, whereas in the mammal it is almost invariably seven, whatever the total length may be. Curiously constant, too, in the modern eventoed hoofed mammals is the number of nineteen vertebræ between the neck and the sacrum.

I am therefore still inclined to believe that the comparison of vital processes with certain purely physical phenomena is not altogether fanciful. Changes towards advancement and fixity which are so determinate in direction, and changes towards extinction which are so continually repeated, seem to denote some inherent property in living things, which is as definite as that of crystallization in inorganic substances. The regular course of these

changes is merely hindered and modified by a succession of checks from the environment and natural selection. Each separate chain of life, indeed, bears a striking resemblance to a crystal of some inorganic substance which has been disturbed by impurities during its growth, and has thus been fashioned with unequal faces, or even turned partly into a mere concretion. In the case of a crystal the inherent forces act solely on molecules of the crystalline substance itself, collecting them and striving, even in a disturbing environment, to arrange them in a fixed geometrical shape. In the case of a chain of life (or organic phylum) we may regard each successive animal as a temporary excrescence of colloid substance round the equally colloid germ-plasm which persists continuously from generation to generation. The inherent forces of this germ-plasm, therefore, act upon a consecutive series of excrescences (or animal bodies), struggling not for geometrically arranged boundaries, but towards various other symmetries, and a fixity in number of multiple parts. When the extreme has been reached, activities cease, and sooner or later the race is dead.

Such are some of the most important general results to which the study of fossils has led during recent years; and they are conclusions which every new discovery appears to make more certain. When we turn to details, however, it must be admitted that modern systematic researches are continually complicating rather than simplifying the problems we have to solve. Professor Charles Depéret has lately written with scant respect of some of the pioneers who were content with generalities, and based their conclusions on the geological succession of certain anatomical structures rather than on a successive series of individuals and species obtained from the different layers of one geological section; but even now I do not think we can do much better than our predecessors in unraveling real genealogies. At least Professor Depéret's genealogical table of the Lower Tertiary pig-like Anthracotheriidæ, which he publishes as an illustration of "évolution réelle," seems to me to be no more exact than several tables of other groups by previous authors which he criticizes. His materials are all fragmentary, chiefly jaws and portions of skulls; they were obtained from several isolated lake-deposits, of which the relative age can not be determined by observing the geological superposition; and they represent a group which is known to have lived over a large part of Europe, Asia, northern Africa and North America. There is therefore no certainty that the genera and species enumerated by Professor Depéret actually originated one from the other in the region where he happened to find them; he has demonstrated the general trend of certain changes in the Anthracotheriidæ during geological time, but really nothing more.

Even when a group of animals seems to to have been confined to one comparatively small region, where the series is not complicated by migration to and from other parts of the world, modern research still emphasizes the difficulty of tracing real The primitive horned lines of descent. hoofed animals of the family Titanotheriidæ, for example, are only known from part of North America, and they seem to have originated and remained there until the end. As their fossil skeletons are abundant and well preserved, it ought to be easy to discover the exact connections of the several genera and species. Professor Osborn has now proved, however, that the Titanotheres must have evolved in at least four distinct lines, adapted "for different local habitat, different modes of feeding, fighting, locomotion, etc., which took origin, in part at least, in the Middle or Upper They exhibit "four distinct Eocene."

types in the shape and position of the horns, correlated with the structure of the nasals and frontals, and indicative of different modes of combat among the males." The ramifications of the group are indeed so numerous that the possibility of following chains of ancestors begins to appear nearly hopeless.

Among early reptiles the same difficulties are continually multiplied by the progress of discovery. About twenty years ago it began to appear likely that we should soon find the terrestrial ancestors of the Ichthyosauria in the Trias; and somewhat later a specimen from California raised hopes of obtaining them by systematic explorations in that region. During more recent years Professor J. C. Merriam and his colleagues have actually made these explorations, and the result is that we now know from the Californian Trias a multitude of reptiles, which need more explanation than the Ichthyosauria themselves. Professor Merriam has found some of the links predicted between Ichthyosaurs and primitive land reptiles, but he has by no means reached the beginning of the marine group; and while making these discoveries he has added greatly to the complication of the problem which he set out to solve.

Serious difficulties have also become apparent during recent years in determining exactly the origin of the mammals. For a long time after the discovery of the Anomodont or Theromorph reptiles in the Permian-Trias of South Africa, it seemed more and more probable that the mammals arose in that region. Even yet new reptiles from the Karoo formation are continually being described as making an astonishingly near approach to mammals; and, so far as the skeleton is concerned, the links between the two grades are now very numerous among South African fossils. Since these reptiles first attracted attention, however, they have gradually been found in the Permian and Trias of a large part of the world. Remains of them were first met with in India, then in North America, and next in Scotland, while during the last few years Professor W. Amalitzky has disinterred so many nearly complete skeletons in the north of Russia that we are likely soon to learn more about them from this European country than from the South African area itself. Quite lately I have received numerous bones from a red marl in Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil, which show that not merely Anomodonts, but also other characteristic Triassic land reptiles, were likewise abundant in that region. We are therefore now embarrassed by the richness of the sources whence we may obtain the ancestors of mammals. Whereas some years ago it appeared sufficient to search South Africa for the solution of the problem, we are now uncertain in which direction to turn. We are still perhaps inclined to favor the South African source; but this is only because we know nothing of the Jurassic land animals of that part of the world, and we cherish a lingering hope that they may eventually prove to have included the early mammals for which we have so long sought in vain.

The mystery of the origin of the marine mammals of the order Sirenia and Cetacea appears to have been diminished by the discoveries of the Geological Survey of Egypt, Dr. Andrews and Dr. Fraas in the Eocene and Oligocene deposits of the Mokattam Hills and the Fayum. It is now clear that the Sirenians are closely related to the small primitive ancestors of the elephants; while, so far as the skull and dentition are concerned, we know nearly all the links between the early toothed whales (or Zeuglodonts) and the primitive ancestors of the Carnivora (or Creodonts). The most primitive form of Sirenian skull hitherto discovered, however, is not from Egypt, but from the other side of the world, Jamaica; and exactly the same Zeuglodonts, even with an associated sea-snake, occur so far away from Egypt as Alabama, U. S. A. The problem of the precise origin of these marine mammals is therefore not so simple as it would have appeared to be had we known only the Egyptian fossils. The progress of discovery, while revealing many most important generalities, has made it impossible to vouch for the accuracy of the details in any "genealogical tree."

Another difficulty resulting from the latest systematic researches is suggested by the extinct hoofed mammals of South America. The llamas, deer and peccaries existing in South America at the present time are all immigrants from the northern continent; but during the greater part of the Tertiary period there lived in that country a large number of indigenous hoofed mammals, which originated quite independently of those in other regions. They seem to have begun in early Eocene times much in the same manner as those of the northern hemisphere; but as they became gradually adapted for life on hard ground, they formed groups which are very different from those with which we are familiar in our part of the world. Some of them (Proterotheridæ) were onetoed mimics of the horses, but without the advanced type of brain, the deepened grinding teeth, the mobile neck, or the really effective wrist and ankle. Others (Toxodontidæ) made some approach towards rhinoceroses in shape and habit, even with a trace of a horn on the nose. Until their independent origin was demonstrated, these curious animals could not be understood; and it is probable that there are innumerable similar cases of parallel development of groups, by which in our ignorance we are often misled.

It would be easy to multiply instances, but I think I have now said enough to show that every advance in the study of fossils reveals more problems than it solves. During the last two decades the progress in our knowledge of the extinct backboned animals has been truly astonishing. thanks especially to the great explorations in North America, Patagonia, Egypt, Madagascar and South Africa. Whole groups have been traced a long way towards their origin; but with them have been found a number of previously unknown groups which complicate all questions of evolution to an almost bewildering extent. Animals formerly known only by fragments are now represented by nearly complete skeletons, and several which appeared to have a restricted geographical range have now been found over a much wider area; but while this progress has been made, numerous questions have arisen as to the changing connections of certain lands and seas which previously seemed to have been almost settled. The outlook both of zoology and of geology has, therefore, been immensely widened, but the only real contribution to philosophy has been one of generalities. Some of the broad principles to which I have referred are now so clearly established that we can often predict what will be the main result of any given exploration, should it be successful in recovering skeletons. We are no longer bold enough to restore an entirely unknown extinct animal from a single bone or tooth, like the trustful Cuvierian school: but there are many kinds of bones and teeth of which we can determine the approximate geological age and probable associates, even if we have no exact knowledge of the animals to which they belong. A subject which began by providing material for wonder-books has thus been reduced to a science sufficiently precise to be of fundamental importance to both zoology and geology; and its exactitude must necessarily increase with greater and greater rapidity as

our systematic researches are more clearly guided by the experience we have already gained. A. SMITH WOODWARD THE BRITISH MUSEUM

### ENTOMOLOGICAL RESEARCH<sup>1</sup>

In view of the intimate relation which is recognized as existing between certain insects and the propagation of diseases of both man and animals in tropical Africa, and of the similar relation between insects and economic plants, which is becoming more evident as settlement in the continent progresses, Lord Crewe has appointed a scientific committee, whose object it will be to further the study of economic entomology with special reference to Africa.

This body will be known as the African Entomological Research Committee; and Lord Cromer has kindly consented to act as chairman. The other members of the committee are:

Colonel A. Alcock, C.I.E., F.R.S., of the London School of Tropical Medicine.

Mr. E. E. Austen, of the Natural History Museum.

Dr. A. G. Bagshawe, director of the Sleeping Sickness Bureau.

Dr. J. Rose Bradford, F.R.S., secretary of the Royal Society.

Colonel Sir David Bruce, C.B., F.R.S.

Dr. S. F. Harmer, F.R.S., keeper of zoology, British Museum (Natural History).

Dr. R. Stewart MacDougall, entomological adviser to the Board of Agriculture.

Sir John Macfadyean, Royal Veterinary College. Sir Patrick Manson, K.C.M.G., F.R.S.

Mr. R. Newstead, of the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine.

Dr. G. F. Nuttall, F.R.S., Quick professor of biology, Cambridge University.

Professor E. B. Poulton, F.R.S., Hope professor of zoology, Oxford.

Lieutenant-Colonel D. Prain, C.I.E., F.R.S., director of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.

Mr. H. J. Read, C.M.G., representing the Colonial Office.

The Hon. N. C. Rothschild.

Dr. D. Sharp, F.R.S.

Dr. A. E. Shipley, F.R.S., Cambridge University.

<sup>1</sup> From the London *Times*.