

SCIENCE

A WEEKLY JOURNAL DEVOTED TO THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE, PUBLISHING THE
OFFICIAL NOTICES AND PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE.

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1908

URANIUM AND GEOLOGY¹

CONTENTS

<i>Uranium and Geology: PROFESSOR JOHN JOLY</i>	697
<i>Abstracts from the Annual Report of the President of Cornell University</i>	713
<i>The Delos Arnold Collections of Natural History Specimens</i>	717
<i>The Department of Microscopy of the Brooklyn Institute of Arts and Sciences</i>	718
<i>The Association of Colleges in New England</i>	719
<i>The Winter Meeting of the American Chemical Society: PROFESSOR CHAS. L. PARSONS</i>	720
<i>Scientific Notes and News</i>	720
<i>President Eliot's Resignation</i>	724
<i>University and Educational News</i>	724
<i>Discussion and Correspondence:—</i>	
<i>The Training of Industrial Chemists: DR. CHAS. S. PALMER. Auroral Displays: MAJOR W. E. ELLIS, DR. ALFRED SANG. Recessive Characters: PROFESSOR C. B. DAVENPORT</i>	725
<i>Quotations:—</i>	
<i>The Presidency of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Burdens of College Presidents</i>	729
<i>Scientific Books:—</i>	
<i>Bailey and Coleman's First Course in Biology: S. J. H. Whipple on Typhoid Fever: DR. GEORGE BLUMER</i>	730
<i>Special Articles:—</i>	
<i>Note on the Formulas for Energy stored in Electric and Magnetic Fields: P. G. AGNEW. A Vacuum Stopcock: FREDERICK G. KEYES</i>	734
<i>Societies and Academies:—</i>	
<i>The American Mathematical Society: PROFESSOR F. N. COLE. The New York Section of the American Chemical Society: C. M. JOYCE</i>	735

INTRODUCTION

IN our day but little time elapses between the discovery and its application. Our starting-point is as recent as the year 1903, when Paul Curie and Labord showed experimentally that radium steadily maintains its temperature above its surroundings. As in the case of many other momentous discoveries, prediction and even calculation had preceded it. Rutherford and McClung, two years before the date of the experiment, had calculated the heat equivalent of the ionization effected by uranium, radium and thorium. Even at this date (1903) there was much to go upon, and ideas as to the cosmic influence of radio-activity were not slow in spreading.²

I am sure that but few among those whom I am addressing have seen a thermometer rising under the influence of a few centigrams of a radium salt; but for those who pay due respect to the principles of thermodynamics, the mere fact that at any moment the gold leaves of the electroscope may be set in motion by a trace of radium, or, better still, the perpetual motion of Strutt's "radium clock," is all that is required as demonstration of the cease-

¹ Address of the president of the Geological Section of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, Dublin, 1908.

² See letters appearing in *Nature* of July 9 and September 24, 1903, from the late Mr. W. E. Wilson and Sir George Darwin referring to radium as a solar constituent and one from the writer (October 1, 1903) on its influence as a terrestrial constituent.

less outflow of energy attending the events proceeding within the atomic systems.

Although the term "ceaseless" is justified in comparison with our own span of existence, the radium clock will in point of fact run down, and the heat outflow gradually diminish. Next year there will be less energy forthcoming to drive the clock, and less heat given off by the radium by about the one three-thousandth part of what now are evolved. As geologists accustomed to deal with millions of years, we must conclude that these actions, so far from being ceaseless, are ephemeral indeed, and that if importance is to be ascribed to radium as a geological agent, we must seek to find if the radium now perishing off the earth is not made good by some more enduringly active substance.

That uranium is the primary source of supply can not be regarded as a matter of inference only. The recent discovery of ionium by Boltwood serves to link uranium and radium, and explains why it was that those who sought for radium as the immediate offspring of uranium found the latter apparently unproductive, the actual relation of uranium to radium being that of grandparent. But even were we without this connected knowledge, the fact of the invariable occurrence in nature of these elements, not only in association but in a quantitative relationship, can only be explained on a genetic connection between the two. This evidence, mainly due to the work of Boltwood, when examined in detail, becomes overwhelmingly convincing.

Thus it is to uranium that we look for the continuance of the supplies of radium. In it we find an all but eternal source. The fraction of this substance which decays each year, or, rather, is transformed to a lower atomic weight, is measured in tens of thousands of millionths; so that the uranium of the earth one hundred million years

ago was hardly more than one per cent. greater in mass than it is to-day.

As radio-active investigations became more refined and extended, it was discovered that radium was widely diffused over the earth. The emanation of it was obtained from the atmosphere, from the soil, from caves. It was extracted from well waters. Radium was found in brick-earths, and everywhere in rocks containing the least trace of demonstrable uranium, and Rutherford calculated that a quantity of radium so minute as 4.6×10^{-14} grams per gram of the earth's mass would compensate for all the heat now passing out through its surface as determined by the average temperature gradient. In 1906 the Hon. R. J. Strutt, to whom geology owes so much, not only here but in other lines of advance, was able to announce, from a systematic examination of rocks and minerals from various parts of the world, that the average quantity of radium per gram was many times in excess of what Rutherford estimated as adequate to account for terrestrial heat-loss. The only inference possible was that the surface radium was not an indication of what was distributed throughout the mass of the earth, and, as you all know, Strutt suggested a world deriving its internal temperature from a radium jacket some 45 miles in thickness, the interior being free from radium.³

My own experimental work, begun in 1904, was laid aside till after Mr. Strutt's paper had appeared, and valued correspondence with its distinguished author was permitted to me. This address will be concerned with the application of my results to questions of geological dynamics.

Did time permit I would, indeed, like to dwell for a little on the practical aspect of measurements as yet so little used or under-

³ *Proc. R. S.*, LXXVII., p. 472, and LXXVIII., p. 150.

stood; for the difficulties to be overcome are considerable, and the precautions to be taken many. The quantities dealt with are astoundingly minute, and to extract with completeness a total of a few billionths of a cubic millimeter of the radio-active gas—the emanation—from perhaps half a liter or more of a solution rich in dissolved substances can not be regarded as an operation exempt from possibility of error; and errors of deficiency are accordingly frequently met with.

Special difficulties, too, arise when dealing with certain classes of rocks. For in some rocks the radium is not uniformly diffused, but is concentrated in radio-active substances. We are in these cases assailed with all the troubles which beset the assayer of gold who is at a loss to determine the average yield of a rock wherein the ore is sporadically distributed. In the case of radium determinations this difficulty may be so much the more intensified as the isolated quantities involved are the more minute and yet the more potent to affect the result of any one experiment. There is here a source of discrepancy in successive experiments upon those rocks in which, from metamorphic or other actions, a segregation of the uranium has taken place. With such rocks the divergences between successive results are often considerable, and only by multiplying the number of experiments can we hope to obtain fair indications of the average radio-activity. It is noteworthy that these variations do not, so far as my observations extend, present themselves when we deal with a recent marine sediment or with certain unaltered deposits wherein there has been no readjustment of the original fine state of subdivision, and even distribution, which attended the precipitation of the uranium in the process of sedimentation.

But the difficulties attending the estimation of radium in rocks and other materials

leave still a large balance of certainty—so far as the word is allowable when applied to the ever-widening views of science—upon which to base our deductions. The emanation of radium is most characteristic in behavior; knowledge of its peculiarities enables us to distinguish its presence in the electroscope not only from the emanation of other radio-active elements, but from any accidental leakage or inductive disturbance of the instrument. The method of measurement is purely comparative. The cardinal facts upon the strength of which we associate radium with geological dynamics, its development of heat and its association with uranium, are founded in the first case directly on observation, and, in the second, on evidence so strong as to be equally convincing. Recent work on the question of the influence of conditions of extreme pressures and temperatures on the radio-active properties of radium appear to show that, as would be anticipated, the effect is small, if indeed existent. As observed by Makower and Rutherford, the small diminution noticed under very extreme conditions in the γ radiation possibly admits of explanation on indirect effects. These observations appear to leave us a free hand as regards radio-thermal effects unless when we pursue speculations into the remoter depths of the earth, and even there while they remain as a reservation, they by no means forbid us to go on.

The precise quantity of heat to which radium gives rise, or, rather, which its presence entails, can not be said to be known to within a small percentage, for the thermal equivalent of the radio-active energy of uranium, actinium and ionium, and of those members of the radium family which are slow in changing, has not been measured directly. Professor Rutherford has supplied me, however, with the calculated amount of the aggregate heat energy liberated per second by all these bodies. In

the applications to which I will presently have to refer I take his estimate of 5.6×10^{-2} calories per second as the constant of heat-production attending the presence of one gram of elemental radium.

To these words of introduction I have to add the remark, perhaps obvious, that the full and ultimate analysis of the many geological questions arising out of the presence of radium in the earth's surface materials will require to be founded upon a broader basis than is afforded by even a few hundred experiments. The whole sequence of sediments has to be systematically examined; the various classes of igneous materials, more especially the successive ejecta of volcanoes, fully investigated. The conditions of entry of uranium into the oceanic deposits has to be studied, and observations on sea-water and deep-sea sediments multiplied. All this work is for the future; as yet but little has been accomplished.

THE RADIUM IN THE ROCKS AND IN THE OCEAN

The fact first established by Strutt that the radium distributed through the rock materials of the earth's surface greatly exceeds any permissible estimate of its internal radio-activity has not as yet received any explanation. It might indeed be truly said that the concentration of the heaviest element known to us (uranium), at the surface of the earth is just what we would not have expected. Yet a simple enough explanation may be at hand in the heat-producing capacity of that substance. If it was originally scattered through the earth-stuff, not in a uniform distribution but to some extent concentrated fortuitously in a manner depending on the origin of terrestrial ingredients, then these radio-active nuclei heating and expanding beyond the capacity of surrounding materials would rise to the surface of a world in

which convective actions were still possible and, very conceivably, even after such conditions had ceased to be general; and in this way the surface materials would become richer than the interior. For instance, the extruded mass of the Deccan basalt would fill a sphere 36 miles in radius. Imagine such a sphere located originally somewhere deep beneath the surface of the earth surrounded by materials of like density. The ultimate excess of temperature, due to its uranium, attained at the central parts would amount to about $1,000^{\circ}$ C., or such lesser temperature as convective effects within the mass would permit. This might take some thirty million years to come about, but before so great an excess of temperature was reached the force of buoyancy developed in virtue of its thermal expansion must inevitably bring the entire mass to the surface. This reasoning would, at any rate, apply to material situated at a considerable distance inwards, and may possibly be connected with vulcanicity and other crustal disturbances observed at the surface. The other view, that the addition of uranium to the earth was mainly an event subsequent to its formation in bulk, so that radio-active substances were added from without and, possibly, from a solar or cosmic source, has not the same *a priori* probability in its favor.⁴

I have in this part of my address briefly to place before you an account of my experiments on the amounts of radium distributed in surface materials. Here, indeed, direct knowledge is attainable; but this knowledge takes us but a very few miles inwards towards the center of the earth.

The Igneous Rocks.—The basalt of the Deccan, to which I have referred, known to cover some 200,000 square miles to a depth of from 4,000 to 6,000 feet or more, appears to be radio-active throughout. A

⁴ *Nature*, LXXV., p. 294.

fine series of tunnel and surface specimens sent to me by the Director of the Indian Geological Survey has enabled me to examine the radio-activity at various points. It is remarkable that the mean result does not depart much from that afforded by a long series of experiments on north of Ireland basalt and on the basalt of Greenland.

Again, the granites and syenites—and those of Mourne, Aberdeen, Leinster, Plauen, Finsteraarhorn have been examined—while variable, yet approximate to the same mean result.

In the Simplon and St. Gothard tunnels igneous rocks have been penetrated at considerable depth beneath the surface. The greatest true depth is attained, I think, in the central St. Gothard massif. It is remarkable, and may be significant, that in these rocks I have reached the lowest radio-activities I have met—down to almost one billionth of a gram of radium per gram; although the general mean of the St. Gothard igneous rocks, owing to the high radio-activity of the Finsteraar granite at the north end of the tunnel, is not exceptionally low. Radio-active minerals seem common in the Simplon rocks, involving considerable variations in successive experiments. Some of the highest results are omitted on the mean given below, but as it is difficult to know what to allow for purely sporadic radium the mean is not very certain. In the case of a specially high result I asked Professor Emil Werner to determine the uranium: my result was confirmed. My list of mean results on igneous rocks up to the present is the following:

Basalts (14)	5.0 ⁵
Granites (6)	4.1
Syenites (1)	6.8
Lewisian gneiss (3)	5.7

⁵This number is to be multiplied by 10^{-12} , and represents billionths of a gram of radium per gram of material investigated. Throughout the

Simplon (32)	7.6
St. Gothard (32)	5.1

The general mean is 6.1.

From the igneous rocks have originated the sediments after a toll of dissolved substances has been paid to the ocean. It does not of course follow necessarily that the percentage of radium, or more correctly of uranium, in the sedimentary rocks should be less than in the igneous. The residual materials might keep the original percentage of the parent rock, or even improve upon it. There are reasons for believing, however, that there would be a diminution.

Those sedimentary rocks which have been derived from materials formerly in solution offer a different problem. In their case there is little or none of the original materials carried into the secondary rock, and the radio-activity will depend mainly upon how far uranium is precipitated or abstracted with the rock-making substances. In other words, upon how far the waters of the ocean will restore to the rocks what it has borrowed from them.

This brings me to consider the condition of the ocean as preparatory to quoting experiments on the sediments.

The Ocean and its Sediments.—The waters of the ocean, covering five sevenths of the earth's surface to a mean depth of 3.8 kilometers, represent the most abundant surface material open to our investigation. As the mean of a very large number of experiments upon twenty-two different samples of sea-water from various widely separated parts of the ocean, I obtain a mean of 0.016×10^{-12} gram per cubic centimeter. There is considerable variability. Taking the mass of the ocean as 1.458×10^{18} tonnes, there must be about 20×10^9 rest of my address this understanding holds, unless where a different meaning is specified. The numbers in parentheses signify the number of different specimens investigated.

grams (20,000 tons) of radium in its waters.

The experiments which I have been able to make on deep-sea deposits, thanks mainly to the kind cooperation of Sir John Murray, apply to ten different materials of typical character.

The results are so consistent as to lead me to believe that although so few in number they can not be far wrong in their general teaching.

The means are:

	Radium	Extension: Millions of Square Miles
Globigerina ooze	7.2	49.5
Radiolarian ooze	36.7	2.5
Red clay	33.3	51.5

Diatom oozes have not yet been examined.

It is apparent from these results that the more slowly collecting sediments are those of highest radio-activity, as if the organic materials raining downwards from the surface of the ocean carried everywhere to the depths uranium and radium abstracted from the waters; but in those regions where the conditions were inimical to the preservation of the associated calcareous tests, there was the less dilution of the radio-active substances accumulating beneath. The next table shows that radio-activity and the percentage of calcareous matter in these deposits stand in an inverse relation:

		Calcium Carbonate, Per Cent.	Radium
Globigerina ooze, <i>Chall.</i> , 338		92.24	6.7
Globigerina ooze, " 296		64.34	7.4
Red clay, " 5		12.00	15.4
Red clay, " 276		28.28	52.6
Radiolarian ooze, " 272		10.19	22.8
Radiolarian ooze, " 274		3.89	50.3

The percentages of calcium carbonate are from the report of the *Challenger* Expedition. The red clay in the table, which reads as an apparent exception, is probably a case of recent change in the char-

acter of the deposit, for the evidence of manganese nodules and sharks' teeth brought up with this clay is conclusive as to the slow rate of its collection. Readers of Sir John Murray's and Professor Renard's report will remember many cases where recent change in the character of a deposit is to be inferred.

A point of much importance in connection with our views on oceanic radio-activity is that of the presence in the waters and in the deposits of the parent radio-active substance, uranium. The evidence that the full equivalent amount of uranium is present is, I believe, conclusive.

In the first place, to so vast a reservoir as the ocean the rivers can not be supposed to supply the radium sufficiently fast to make good the decay. In a very few thousand years, in the absence of uranium, the rivers must necessarily renew almost the entire amount of radium present. I have made examination of the water of one great river only—the Nile. The quantity of radium detected was 0.0042×10^{-12} per cubic centimeter. That is less than the oceanic amount. In short, it is evident that the uranium must accumulate year by year in the oceanic reservoir, like other substances brought in by the rivers, and that the present state of the waters is the result of such actions prolonged over geological time.

While this reasoning is conclusive as regards the waters of the ocean, it does not assure us that the sediments accumulating in their depths are throughout as radio-active as their surface parts would indicate. There might be a precipitation of radium unattended by uranium, in which case their deeper parts would not be radio-active.

Against this possibility there is the evidence of such true deep-sea deposits as were formed in past times and to-day still preserve their radio-activity. For instance, the chalk, which, considering that it

was undoubtedly a very rapidly formed deposit, exhibits a radio-activity quite comparable with that of the *Globigerina* oozes, deposits which it most nearly resembles. In this deposit, clearly, the uranium must have collected along with the calcareous materials. We can with security argue that the similar oozes collected to-day must likewise contain uranium. In the case of the red clays we have the direct determination of the uranium which Professor Emil Werner was so good as to make at my request. Considering the difficulties attending its separation, the result must be taken as supporting the view that here, too, the radium is removed from the uranium. Regarding the efforts of other observers to detect uranium in such deposits, it is noteworthy that without the guidance of the radium, enabling specially rich materials to be selected for analysis, the success of the investigation must have been doubtful. The material used was a red clay with the relatively large quantity of 54.4 billionths of a gram per gram. In a few grams of this Werner obtained up to seven twelfths of the total theoretic amount, and of course the separation of the uranium is not likely to have been complete.

It might be thought a hopeless task to offer any estimate of the total bulk of the sub-oceanic deposits, and from this to arrive at some idea of the quantity of radium therein contained. Nevertheless, such an estimate is not only possible but is based on deductions which possess considerable security. As a major limit I believe the estimate of the total mass of deposit is unassailable, and such deductions as might be applied will still leave it an approximation to the truth.

The elements of the problem are simple enough; we know that the sedimentary rocks have been derived from the igneous, some 30 per cent. of the latter entering into solution in the process of conversion.

Some of the soluble constituents, owing to their great solubility, have remained in solution since they entered the ocean.⁶ These are the salts of sodium. An estimate of the amount of these salts in the ocean gives us a clue to the total amount of rock substance which has contributed to oceanic salts and oceanic deposits since the inception of the oceans. Some years ago I deduced on this basis that the igneous rocks which are parent to the sodium in the sea must have amounted to about 91×10^{16} tons.⁷ This figure in no way involves the rate of supply by the rivers, or our estimate of geological time. It only involves the quantity of sodium now in the ocean—a fairly well-known factor—and the loss of this element, which occurs when average igneous rocks are degraded into sedimentary rocks—a factor also fairly well known. Mr. F. W. Clark, to whom geological science is indebted for so much exact investigation, has recently repeated this calculation, using data deduced anew by himself, and arrives at the result that the bulk of the parent igneous rock was 84.3×10^6 cubic miles.⁸ On a specific gravity of 2.6 my estimate in tons gives nearly the same result: 84×10^6 cubic miles.

Now about one third part of this parent rock goes into solution when breaking up into a detrital sediment. The limestones upon the land are part of what was once so brought into solution. Having made deduction of these former marine deposits (and I here avail myself of Van Hise's and Clark's estimates of the total amount of the sedimentaries and the fraction of these which are calcareous),⁹ and, allowing for

⁶ *Trans. Royal Dublin Soc.*, Vol. VII., Ser. II., pp. 23 ff.

⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 46.

⁸ "The Data of Geochemistry," by F. W. Clark, p. 29.

⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 31.

the quantity remaining in solution in the ocean, the result leaves us with the approximation of twenty million cubic miles of matter once in solution, and now for the greater part existing as precipitated or abstracted deposits at the bottom of the ocean. We are to distribute this quantity over its floor. If the rate of collection had been uniform in every part of the ocean throughout geological time, a depth of about one seventh of a mile (240 meters) of deposit would cover the ocean bed.

While, I believe, we can place considerable reliance on this approximation, we are less sure when we attempt an estimate of its mean radio-activity. If we assume for it an average radio-activity similar to that of *Globigerina* ooze, we find that the quantity of radium involved must be considerably over a million tons. Apart from the value which such estimates possess as presenting us with a perspective view of the great phenomena we are dealing with, it will now be seen that it supports the finding of the experiments on sedimentary rocks, and leads us to anticipate a real difference in the radio-activity of the two classes of material.

The Sedimentary Rocks.—The radium content of those of detrital character is indicated in the following sandstones, slates and shales:

Shales, sandstones, grits (10)	4.4
Slates (Cambrian, Devonian)	4.7
Mud from Amazon	3.2

Some of the above are from deep borings in Carboniferous rocks (the Balfour and Burnlip bores),¹⁰ and from their nature, where not actually of fresh-water origin, can owe little to oceanic radio-activity. Many of the following belong to the class of precipitates, and therefore owe their

¹⁰ For these rocks, and for much other valuable material, I have to thank Mr. D. Tate, of the Scottish Geological Survey.

uranium wholly or in part to oceanic source:

Marsupites chalk	4.2
Green sandstone	4.9
Green sand (dredged)	4.5
Limestones and dolomites [Trenton, Carboniferous, Zechstein, Lias, Solenhofen (7)] ..	4.1
Keuper gypsum	6.9
Coral rock, Funafuti bore (4) ¹¹	1.7
Trias-Jura sediments, Simplon: 17 rocks of various characters	6.9
Mesozoic sediments, St. Gothard: 19 rocks of various characters	4.2

The general mean of sixty-two rocks is 4.7.

Making some allowance for uncertainties in dealing with the Simplon rocks, I think the experiments may be taken as pointing to the result:

Igneous rocks from 5 to 6.

Sedimentary rocks from 4 to 5.

If our estimate of oceanic radium be applied to the account of the sedimentary rocks in a manner which will be understood from what I have already endeavored to convey, there will be found to exist a fair degree of harmony between the great quantities which we have found to be in the sediments of the ocean and the impoverishment of the sediments which the experiments appear to indicate.

In all these results fresh and unweathered material has been used. The sand of the Arabian desert gave me but 0.4. Similarly low results have been found by others for soils and such materials. These are not to be included when we seek the radio-activity of the rocks.

As regards generally my experiments on the radium-content of the rocks, I can not say with confidence that there is anything to indicate a definite falling off in radio-activity in the more deeply seated materials I have dealt with. The central St. Gothard

¹¹ For these I have to thank the trustees of the British Museum and Mr. A. S. Woodward, F.R.S.

and certain parts of the Deccan have given results in favor of such a decrease. On the other hand, as will be seen later, the granite at the north end of the St. Gothard and the primitive gneiss of the Simplon show no diminution. According to the view I have put forward above as to the origin of the surface richness in radium it is I think to be expected that, while the richest materials would probably rise most nearly to the surface, there might be considerable variability in the radio-activity of the deeper parts of the upper crust.

URANIUM AND THE INTERNAL HEAT OF THE EARTH

While forced to deny of the earth's interior any such richness in radium as prevails near the surface, the inference that uranium exists yet in small quantities far down in the materials of the globe is highly probable. This view is supported by the presence of radium in meteoric substances and by its very probable presence in the sun—that greatest of meteorites. True, the radio-thermal theory can not be supposed to account for any great part of solar heat unless we are prepared to believe that a very large percentage of uranium can be present in the sun, and yet yield but feeble spectroscopic evidence of its existence. Taken all together, the case stands thus as regards the earth. We are assured of radium as a widely distributed surface material, and to such depths as we can penetrate. By inference from the presence of radium in meteoric substances and its very probable presence in the sun, from which the whole of terrestrial stuff probably originated, as well as by the inherent likelihood that every element at the surface is in some measure distributed throughout the entire mass, we arrive at the conclusion that radium is indeed a universal terrestrial constituent.

The dependent question then confronts

us—Are we living on a world heated throughout by radio-thermal actions? This question—one of the most interesting which has originated in the discovery that internal atomic changes may prove a source of heat—can only be answered (if it can be answered) by the facts of geological science.

I will not stop to discuss the evidence for and against a highly heated interior of the earth. I assume this heated interior the obvious and natural interpretation of a large class of geological phenomena, and pass on to consider certain limitations to our knowledge which have to be recognized before we are in a position to enter on the somewhat treacherous ground of hypotheses.

In the first place, we appear debarred from assuming that the surface and central interior of the earth are in thermal connection, for it seems certain that, since the remote period when (probable) convective effects became arrested by reason of increasing viscosity, the thermal relations of the surface and interior have become dependent solely on conductivity. From this it follows if the state of matter in the interior is such as Lord Kelvin assumed—that is, that the conductivity and specific heat may be inferred from the qualities of the surface materials—we have remained in thermal isolation from the great bulk of the interior for hundreds of millions of years, and perhaps even for more than a thousand million of years. Assuming a diffusivity similar to that of surface rocks, and starting with a temperature of 7,000° Fahr., Kelvin found that after 1,000 million years of cooling there would be no sensible change at a depth from the surface greater than 568 miles. In short, even if this great period—far beyond our estimates of geological time—has elapsed since the *consistentior status*, the cooling surface

has as yet borrowed heat from only half the bulk of the earth.

It is possible, on the other hand, that the conductivity increases inwards, as Professor Perry has contended; and if the central parts are more largely metallic, this increase may be considerable. But we find ourselves here in the regions of the unknown.

With this limitation to our knowledge, the province of geothermal speculation is a somewhat disheartening one. Thus if with Rutherford, who first gave us a quantitative estimate of the kind, we say that such and such a quantity of radium per gram of the earth's mass would serve to account for the 2.6×10^{20} calories which, according to the surface gradients, the earth is losing per annum, we can not be taken as advancing a theory of radio-active heating, but only a significant quantitative estimate. For, in fact, the heat emitted by radium in the interior may never have reached the surface since the convective conditions came to an end.

And here, depending upon the physical limitations to our knowledge of the earth's interior, a possibility has to be faced. That uranium is entirely absent from the interior is, as I have said, in the highest degree unlikely. If it is present, then the central parts of the earth are rising in temperature. This view, that the central interior is rising in temperature, is difficult to dispose of, although we can adduce the evidence of certain surface-phenomena to show that the rise in temperature during geological time must be small or its effects in some manner kept under control. In a word, whether we assume that the whole heat-loss of the earth is now being made good by radio-active heating or not, we find, on any probable value of the conductivity, a central core almost protected from loss by the immense mass of heated material interposed between it and the sur-

face, and within this core very probably a continuous source of heat. It is hard to set aside any of the premises of this argument.¹²

We naturally ask, Whither does the conclusion lead us? We can take comfort in a possible innocuous outcome. The uranium itself, however slowly its energy is given up, is not everlasting. The decay of the parent substance is continually reducing the amount of heat which each year may be added to the earth's central materials. And the result may be that the accumulated heat will ultimately pass out at the surface by conductivity, during remote future times, and no physical disturbance result.

The second limitation to our hypotheses arises from this transformation and gradual disappearance of the uranium. And this limitation seems as destructive of definite geothermal theories as the first. To understand its significance requires a little consideration. The fraction of uranium decaying each year is vanishingly small, about the ten thousand-millionth part; but if the temperature of the earth is maintained by uranium and consequently its decay involves the fall in temperature of the whole earth, the quantity of heat escaping at the surface attendant on the minute decrement would be enormous. An analogy may help to make this clear. Consider the case of a boiler maintained at a particular temperature by a furnace within. Let the combustion diminish and the furnace temperature fall a little. The whole mass of the boiler and its contents follow the downward movement of temperature, heat of capacity escaping at the surface. An observer, only noting the outflow of radiated heat and unable to observe

¹² Professor H. A. Wilson has made a suggestive estimate of the thermal effects of radium enclosed in the central parts of the earth (*Nature*, February 20, 1908).

the minute drop of temperature, would probably ascribe to the continued action of the furnace, heat which, although derived from it in the past, should no longer be regarded as indicating the heating value of the combustion. Magnify the boiler to terrestrial dimensions: the minutest fall in temperature of the entire mass involves immense quantities of heat passing out at the surface, which no longer indicate the sustaining radio-thermal actions within.

It is easy to see the nature of the difficulties in which we thus become involved. In fact, the heat escaping from the earth is not a measure of the radium in the earth, but necessarily includes, and for a great part may possibly be referred to, the falling temperature, which the decay of the uranium involves. If we take λ (the fraction of uranium transforming each year) as approximately 10^{-10} and assume for the general mass of the earth a temperature of $1,500^\circ$, a specific heat of 0.2, and, taking 6×10^{27} as its mass in grams, we have, on multiplying these values together, a loss in calories per annum of 1.8×10^{20} . This by hypothesis escapes at the surface. But the surface loss, as based on earth-gradients of temperature, is but 2.6×10^{20} calories. We are left with 0.8×10^{20} calories as a measure of the radium present. On this allowance our theories, in whatever form, must be shaped. Nor does it appear as if relief from this restriction can be obtained in any other way than by denying to the interior parts of the earth the requisite high thermal conductivity. Taking refuge in this, we are however at once confronted with the possibility of internal stores of radium of which we know nothing, save that they can not, probably, be very great in amount. In short, I believe it will be admitted on full examination of this question that, while we very probably are isolated thermally from a considerable part of the earth's interior, the decay of the

uranium must introduce a large subtractive correction upon our estimates of the limiting amounts of radium which might be present in the earth.

But, finally, is there in all these difficulties sufficient to lead us to reject the view that the present loss of earth-heat may be nearly or quite supplied by radium, and the future cooling of the earth controlled mainly by decay of the uranium? I do not think there are any good grounds for rejecting this view. Observe, it is the condition towards which every planetary body and every solar body containing stores of uranium must tend; and apparently must attain when the rate of loss of initial stores of heat, diminishing as the body grows colder, finally arrives at equilibrium with the radio-thermal supplies. This final state appears inevitable in every case unless the radio-active materials are so subordinate that they entirely perish before the original store of heat is exhausted.

Now, judging from the surface richness in radium of the earth and the present loss of terrestrial heat, it does not seem reasonable to assign a subordinate influence to radio-thermal actions; and it appears not improbable that the earth has attained, or nearly attained, this final stage of cooling.

How, then, may we suppose the existing thermal state maintained? A uniformly radio-active surface layer possessing a basal temperature in accordance with the requirements of geology is, I believe, not realizable on any probable estimate of the allowable radium, or on any concentration of it which my own experiments on igneous rocks would justify.

But we may take refuge in a less definite statement, and assume a distribution by means of which the existing thermal state of the crust may be maintained. A specially rich surface layer we must recognize, but this need be no more than a very few miles deep; after which the balance of the

radium may be supposed distributed to any depth with which we are thermally connected. Below that our knowledge is indefinite. The heat outflow at the surface is in part from the surface radium, in part due to the cooling arising from the diminishing amount of uranium, in part from the deep-seated radium. In this manner the isotherms are kept in their places, and a state is maintained which is in equilibrium with the thermal factors involved, but which can not be considered steady, using the word in a strictly accurate sense, in view of the decay of the uranium.

While the existing thermal state may, I think, thus be maintained by radioactive heating and radioactive decay, we find ourselves in considerable difficulties if we extend this view into the past and assume that the same could be said of any previous stage of the earth's history. If the heat emitted by the earth, when the surface was at melting temperature, was in a state of equilibrium with the radioactive supplies, then, at that date, there must have been many thousands of times the present amount of uranium on the earth, and the period of the *consistentior status* must be put back by thousands of millions of years. Apart from hopeless contradiction with every geological indication as to the age of the earth, difficulties in solar physics arise. For the sun must be supposed of equal duration, and we are required to assume impossible amounts of uranium to maintain his heat all that great lapse of time; and again this uranium would perish at just the same rate as that upon the earth, so that at the present time the solar mass must be, for by far the greater part, composed of inert materials of high atomic weight: the products of the transformations of the uranium family. The difficulty is best appreciated when we consider that even to maintain his present

rate of heat-loss by radium supplies, some 60 per cent. of his mass must be composed of uranium. But there are other troubles to face if we adopt this view. The earth, or rather those parts of it which are sufficiently near the surface to lose heat at the requisite rate, would have cooled but one per cent. in 10^8 years. Shrinkage of the outer parts and crustal thickness will be proportionately small, and we must put back our epochs of mountain building to suit so slow a rate of cooling and shrinkage and refer the earlier events of the kind into a past of inconceivable remoteness. Otherwise we must abandon the only tenable theory of mountain formation with which we are acquainted. On such a time-scale the ocean would be supersaturated under the influence of the prolonged denudation like the waters of certain salt lakes, and the sediments would have accumulated a hundredfold in thickness.

Nor do the facts as we know them require from us such sacrifices. We are not asked to raise these difficulties on suppositions quantities of uranium for the existence of which there is no evidence. Radium has occasioned no questioning of the older view that the cooling of the earth from a *consistentior status* has been mainly controlled by radiation. But, on the contrary, this new revelation of science has come to smooth over what difficulties attended the reconciliation of physical and geological evidence on the Kelvin hypothesis. It shows us how the advent of the present thermal state might be delayed and geological time lengthened, so that Kelvin's forty or fifty million years might be reconciled with the hundred million years which some of us hold to be the reading of the records of denudation.

On this more pacific view of the mission of radium to geology, what has been the history of the earth? In the earlier days of the earth's cooling the radiation loss was

far in excess of the radio-thermal heating. From this state by a continual convergence, the rate of radiation loss diminishing while the radio-thermal output remained comparatively constant, the existing distribution of temperature near the surface has been attained when the radio-thermal supply may nearly or quite balance the loss by radiation. The question of the possibility of final and perfect equilibrium between the two seems to involve the interior conductivity and in this way to evade analysis.

It will be asked if the facts of mountain building and earth-shrinkage are rendered less reconcilable by this interference of uranium in the earth's physical history. I believe the answer will be in the negative. True, the greatest development of crustal wrinkling must have occurred in earlier times. This must be so, in some degree, on any hypothesis. The total shrinkage is, however, not the less because delayed by radio-thermal actions, and it is not hard to point to factors which will attend the more recent upraising of mountain chains tending to make them excel in magnitude those arising from the stresses in an earlier and thinner crust.

UNDERGROUND TEMPERATURE

It would be a matter of the highest interest if we could definitely connect the rise of temperature which is observed in deep borings and tunnels with the radio-activity of the rocks. We are confronted, however, by the difficulty that our deepest borings and tunnels are still too near the surface to enable us to pronounce with certainty on the influence of the radium met with in the rocks. This will be understood when it is remembered that a merely local increase of radio-activity must have but little effect upon the temperature unless the increase was of a very high order indeed. A clear understanding of this point shows

us at once how improbable it is that volcanic temperatures can be brought within a very few miles of the surface by local radio-activity of the rocks. To account on such principles for an elevation of temperature of, say $1,200^{\circ}$ at a depth of three or four miles from the surface, a richness in radium must be assumed far transcending anything yet met with in considerable rock masses; and as volcanic materials appear to show nothing of such exceptional richness in radium we can hardly suppose local radio-activity of the upper crust responsible for volcanic phenomena.

When we come to apply calculation to results on the radio-activity of the materials penetrated by tunnels and borings, we at once find that we require to know the extension downwards of the rocks we are dealing with before we can be sure that radium will account for the thermal phenomena observed. At any level between the surface and the base of a layer of radio-active materials—suppose the level considered is that of a tunnel—the temperature depends, so far as it is due to local radium, on the total depth of the rock-mass having the observed radio-activity. This is evident. It will be found that for ordinary values of the radium content it is requisite to suppose the rocks extending downwards some few kilometers in order to account for a few degrees in temperature at the level under observation. There is, of course, every probability of such a downward extension. Thus in the case of the Simplon massif the downward continuance of the gneissic rocks to some few kilometers evokes no difficulties. The same may be said of the granite of the Finsteraarhorn massif and the gneisses of the St. Gothard massif, materials both of which are penetrated by the St. Gothard tunnel, and which appear to possess a considerable difference in radio-activity. In dealing with this subject, comparison of the results ob-

tained at one locality with those obtained at another is the safest procedure. We must accordingly wait for an increased number of results before much can be inferred. I will now lay the cases of the two great tunnels as briefly as possible before you.

And first as to the temperature effects observed in the two cases.

The Simplon tunnel for a length of some seven or eight kilometers lies at a mean distance of about 1,700 meters from the surface. At the northerly end of this stretch the rock temperature attains 55° , and at the southern extremity has fallen to about 35° . The temperature of 55° is the highest encountered. The maximum predicted by Stapff, basing his estimates on his experience of the St. Gothard tunnel, was 47° . Other authorities in every case predicted considerably lower temperatures. Stockalper, who also had experience of the St. Gothard, predicted 36° at a depth of 2,050 meters from the surface, and Heim 38° to 39° .¹³

When the unexpectedly high temperatures were met with, various reasons were assigned. Mr. Fox has suggested volcanic heat. Others point to the arrangement of the schistosity and the dryness of the rocks, where the highest temperatures were read. The latter is evidently to be regarded more as explanation of the lower temperatures at the south end of the tunnel, where the water circulation was considerable, than of the high temperatures of the northern end. The schistosity may have some influence in bringing the isogeotherms nearer to the surface; however, not only are the rocks intensely compact in every direction, but what schistosity there is by no means inclines in the best directions for retention of heat. From the sections the schistosity

¹³ See the account given by Schardt, *Verhandl. Schweizerischen Naturf. Gesellsch.*, 1904, 87, "Jahresversammlung," pp. 204 ff.

appears generally to point upwards at a steep angle with the tunnel axis.¹⁴

Where there is such variability in the temperatures, irrespective of the depth of overlying rock, there is difficulty in assigning any significant mean gradient. The highest readings are obviously those least affected by the remarkable water-circulation of the Italian side. The higher temperatures afford such gradients as would be met in borings made on the level—about 31 meters per degree.

The temperatures read in the St. Gothard rocks were of a most remarkable character. For the central parts of the tunnel the gradients come out as 46.6 meters per degree. Stapff, who made these observations and conducted the geological investigations, took particular pains to ascertain the true surface temperatures of the rock above the tunnel; and from these ascertained temperatures, the temperatures in the tunnel rock and the overlying height of mountain, he calculated the gradients.

But this low gradient is by no means the mean gradient. At the north end, where the tunnel passes through the granite of the Finsteraarhorn massif, there is a rise in the temperature of the rock sufficient to steepen the gradient to 20.9 meters per degree. Stapff regarded this local rise of temperature as unaccountable save on the view that the granite retained part of the original heat. This matter I will presently return to.

Now, it is a fact that the radium-content of the Simplon rocks, after some allowance for what I have referred to as sporadic radium, stands higher than is afforded by the rocks in the central section of the St. Gothard, where the gradient is low. For the Simplon the general mean is (on my experiments) 7.1 billionths of a gram per gram. This mean is well distributed as follows:

¹⁴ Schardt, *loc. cit.*

Jurassic and Triassic altered sediments	6.4
Crystalline schists, partly Jurassic and Triassic, partly Archean	7.3
Monte Leone gneiss and primitive gneiss	6.3
Schistose gneiss (a fold from beneath)	6.5
Antigorio gneiss	6.8

The divisional arrangement is Professor Schardt's. Forty-nine typical rocks are used in obtaining these results, and the experiments have been in many cases repeated on duplicate specimens. Including some very exceptional results, the mean would rise to 9.1×10^{-12} grams per gram.

Of the St. Gothard rocks I have examined fifty-one specimens selected to be, as far as attainable, representative.¹⁵

Of these, twenty-one are from the central region, and their mean radium content is just 3.3. The portion of the tunnel from which these rocks come is closely coincident with Stapff's thermal subdivision of regions of low temperature.¹⁶ This portion of the mountain offers the most definite conditions for comparison with the Simplon results. The region south of this is affected by water circulation; the regions to the north are affected by the high temperature of the granite.

We see, then, that the most definite data at our disposal in comparing the conditions as regards temperature and radio-thermal actions in the two tunnels appear to show that the steeper gradient is associated with the greater radium-content.

It is possible to arrive at an estimate of the downward extension of the two rock masses (assumed to maintain to the same depth their observed radio-activity), which would account for the difference in

gradient. In making this estimate, we do not assume that the entire heat-flow indicated by the gradients is due to radium, but that the difference in radium-content is responsible for the difference of heat-flow. If some of the heat is conducted from an interior source (of whatever origin), we assume that this is alike in both cases. We also assume the conductivities alike.

Calculating on this basis, the depth required to establish on the radium measurements the observed difference in gradients of the Central St. Gothard and of the Simplon, we find the depth to be about 7 kilometers on the low mean of the Simplon rocks, and 5 kilometers on the high mean. There is, as I have already said, nothing improbable in such a downward extension of primitive rocks having the radio-activities observed; but as a different distribution of radium may, of course, obtain below our point of observation, the result can only claim to be suggestive.

Turning specially to the St. Gothard, we find that a temperature problem of much interest arises from the facts recorded. The north end of the tunnel for a distance of 2 kilometers traverses the granite of the Finsteraarhorn massif. It then enters the infolded syncline of the Usernmulde and traverses altered sediments of Trias-Jura age for a distance of about 2 kilometers. After this it enters the crushed and metamorphosed rocks of the St. Gothard massif, and remains in these rocks for $7\frac{1}{2}$ kilometers. The last section is run through the Tessinmulde for 3 kilometers. These rocks are highly altered Mesozoic sediments.

I have already quoted Stapff's observations as to the variations of gradient in the northern, central and southern parts of the tunnel. He writes:

They (the isotherms) show irregularities on the south side, which clearly depend on cold springs, they bend down rapidly, and then run smoothly

¹⁵ I would like to express here my acknowledgments to the trustees of the British Museum for granting me permission to use chips of the rocks in their possession; and especially to Mr. Prior for his valuable assistance in selecting the specimens.

¹⁶ *Trans. North of England Mining and Mech. Engineers*, XXXIII., p. 25.

inclined beneath the water-filled section of the mountain. Other local irregularities can be explained by the decomposition of the rock; but there is no obvious explanation of the rapid increase in the granite rocks at the northern end of the tunnel (2,000 meters), and it is probably to be attributed to the influence of different thermal qualities of the rock on the coefficient of increase. For the rest these 2,000 meters of granite belong to the massif of the Finsteraarhorn, and, geologically speaking, they do not share in the composition of the St. Gothard. Perhaps these two massifs belong to different geological periods (as supposed for geological reasons long ago). What wonder, then, if one of them be cooler than the other.¹⁷

Commenting on the explanation here offered by Stapff, Prestwich¹⁸ states his preference for the view that the excess of temperature in the granite is due to mechanical actions to which the granite was exposed during the upheaval of this region of the Alps.

The accompanying diagram shows the distribution of temperature as given by Stapff, and the distribution of radium as found from typical specimens of the rocks. There is a correspondence between the two which is obvious, and when it is remembered that the increase in radio-activity shown at the south end would have been, according to Stapff, masked by water circulation, the correspondence becomes the more striking. The small radium values in the central parts of the tunnel are remarkable. The rocks of the Central St. Gothard massif are apparently exceptionally poor in radium.

At the north end the excess of radium is almost confined to the granite, the rock to which Stapff ascribed the exceptional temperatures. The radium of the Usernmulde is probably not very important, seeing that these sediments can not extend far downwards. The principal local source of heat appears located more especially beneath the

synclinal fold, for Stapff's table (*loc. cit.*, p. 31) of the gradients beneath the plain of Andermatt shows a rising gradient to a point about 2,500 meters from the north entrance of the tunnel. It is observable that the radio-activity of the granite increases as it approaches the Usernmulde and attains its maximum (14.1) where it dips beneath the syncline.

The means of radium-content in the several geological sections into which the course of the tunnel is divisible are as follows:

Granite of Finsteraarhorn	7.7
Usernmulde	4.9
St. Gothard massif	3.9
Tessinmulde	3.4

The central section, however, if considered without reference to geological demarcations, would, as already observed, come out as barely 3.3. And this is the value of the radio-activity most nearly applicable to Stapff's thermal subdivision of the region of low temperature.

If we accept the higher readings obtained in the granite as indicative of the radio-active state of this rock beneath the Usernmulde, a satisfactory explanation of the difference of heat-flow from the central and northern parts of the tunnel is obtained. Using the difference of gradient as basis of calculation, as before, we find that a downward extension of about six thousand meters would, if the outflow took place in an approximately vertical direction, account for the facts observed by Stapff. This depth is in agreement with the result as to the downward extension of the St. Gothard rocks as derived from the comparison with the Simplon rocks.

We are by no means in a position to found dogmatic conclusions on such results; they can only be regarded as encouragement to pursue the matter further. The coincidence must be remarkable which thus similarly localizes radium and tem-

¹⁷ *Loc. cit.*, p. 30.

¹⁸ *Proc. R. S.*, XLI., p. 44.

perature in roughly proportional amounts, and permits us, without undue assumptions, to explain such remarkable differences of gradient. There is much work to be done in this direction, for well-known cases exist where exceptional gradients in deep borings have been encountered—exceptional both as regards excess and deficiency.

JOHN JOLY

(*To be continued*)

ABSTRACTS FROM THE ANNUAL REPORT
OF THE PRESIDENT OF CORNELL
UNIVERSITY

THE number of students enrolled in the university for the year ending September, 1908, was 4,465, of whom 3,734 were regularly enrolled students during the academic year from September to June, and the rest attendants at the summer session and the winter school in agriculture. This is an increase of 240 over the enrollment for the preceding year and an increase of more than 1,000 over the enrollment of four years ago, when the figures were 3,423.

A little more than half (2,025) of these 3,734 regular students came from New York State. From Pennsylvania came 322; New Jersey, 190; Ohio, 155; Illinois, 108, and Massachusetts, 101, while 690 came from forty-five other states and territories of the United States (including Porto Rico, Hawaii and the Philippine Islands), and 143 from twenty-eight different foreign countries (including China, 28; Cuba, 14; Argentine Republic, 14; Canada, 12; India, 11; Japan, 11; Mexico, 7; Brazil, 7; Peru, 6; England, 4; Australia, 3; Switzerland, 3, etc.).

The total number of students who have been enrolled in the university since it opened in 1868 is approximately 26,000 and the number of degrees conferred during these forty years is 10,475, more than

three fourths of which have been conferred by President Schurman in the last sixteen years. The number of degrees granted in June, 1908, was 715, of which 649 were first degrees and 66 advanced degrees.

The number of members of the instructing staff is given as 548, and, excluding the members of the staff of the Medical College in New York City, the faculty at Ithaca is found to be made up as follows: 75 professors, 64 assistant professors, 6 lecturers, 122 instructors and 144 assistants. Twenty years ago there were 33 professors, 4 associate professors, 13 assistant professors, 41 instructors and 4 assistants.

President Schurman dwells on the necessity of higher professorial salaries for the purpose of maintaining the dignity, importance and attractiveness of the teaching profession in America. If intellect is to be well-trained in America there must be tangible evidence that the public set a fair value on highly educated men. Otherwise the best brains of the country will be lost to the teaching profession. As Burke has well said, "The degree of estimation in which any profession is held becomes the standard of the estimation in which the professors hold themselves." Hence it is scarcely an exaggeration to assert that the provision in Colonel Vilas's magnificent bequest to the University of Wisconsin for the establishment of certain professorships with salary of not less than \$8,000 each will, if it becomes at once effective, mark an epoch in the development of a proper standard for the estimation of professors in the United States.

The problem of securing men of the highest character, ability and training to fill professorial vacancies is at best a difficult one. Cornell has never limited herself to the graduates of the university, to the state in which it is located, or even to America. Two years ago a gentleman in