The translation from the second German edition has been executed with rare skill and fidelity. The work of the publisher is also good.

W. E. CASTLE.

Bacteria in Relation to Plant Diseases. By Erwin F. Smith, in charge of Laboratory of Plant Pathology, Office of Physiology and Pathology, Bureau of Plant Industry, U. S. Department of Agriculture. Volume I., Methods of Work, and general literature of Bacteriology exclusive of Plant Diseases. Washington, D. C., published by the Carnegie Institution of Washington. September, 1905. Pp. xii + 285. 4to. Publication No. 27.

We are told in the preface that "the present volume contains an 'outline of methods of work' which was written up in substantially the same form four years ago, in connection with the investigations of the Laboratory of Plant Pathology, Bureau of Plant Industry, United States Department of Agriculture, its publication having been delayed in order to bring the rest of the manuscript into suitable shape." In its present form it is now published 'with the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.' This book has thus a quasiofficial authority, representing, as it does, the high standards set by the scientific bureaus of Washington.

The author says that his monograph 'is not intended to take the place of ordinary text-books of bacteriology, but rather to supplement them.' While primarily intended for the plant pathologist, 'it is hoped that it will be of value to physicians and animal pathologists for purposes of comparison.'

The principal topics touched upon in this volume are the nature of disease, the morphology, physiology and pathogenic character of the organism, the preparation and use of various kinds of culture media, economic aspects, methods of infection, methods of prevention, location and equipment of the laboratory, methods of work, microscopes, nomenclature and classification, working formulae etc. At the close of the book there is a classified bibliography including almost fourteen

hundred titles, which must prove of the greatest value to the bacteriologist.

Turning to the section which deals with nomenclature and classification, one reads with a smile the crisp remarks of the author, as when he says 'the nomenclature of the bacteria is in a somewhat chaotic state, as might be expected of a science which has been cultivated so largely by medical men, and so comparatively little by systematic botanists and zoologists.' The designation of species by numbers and letters is condemned, as also the use of polynomials. Better descriptions are strongly urged, and far more care in associating a particular organism with a certain The suggestion is made that the starting point for species should be 1881, when pure cultures became possible. suggestion is made, also, that the starting point for genera should be 1872, the date of Cohn's 'Untersuchungen über Bakterien.' With some modifications the author adopts Migula's plan of classification in his 'System der Bakterien,' 'until some distinctly better system makes its appearance.'

On the question of the polymorphism or fixity of bacteria Dr. Smith holds 'a sort of middle ground':

There can be no doubt that the same organism sometimes exists as a long filament in which no septa are visible, and at other times as a short or nearly isodiametric rod, but we are not thereby compelled to consider the short form as a *Micrococcus*, *i. e.*, as something very different from the long form. Physical conditions probably have much to do with bringing about these differences.

We should like to quote further from this very suggestive and helpful book, but must refer the reader to the volume itself. The value of this volume is so evident that we look with great interest for the second, whose publication it is hoped will not be long delayed.

Charles E. Bessey.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA.

## SCIENTIFIC JOURNALS AND ARTICLES.

The Journal of Nervous and Mental Diseases for November opens with a paper by D'Orsay Hecht in which he reviews the literature of dementia præcox, frequently illustrating the