SCIENCE

A WEEKLY JOURNAL DEVOTED TO THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE, PUBLISHING THE OFFICIAL NOTICES AND PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE.

,

FRIDAY, MARCH 3, 1905.

contents:

Applied Botany and its Dependence on Scien- tific Research: DR. GEORGE T. MOORE	321
$\begin{array}{c} American \ Association \ for \ the \ Advancement \ of \\ Science: - \end{array}$	
Section BPhysics: Professor Dayton C. Miller	333
The Convention of the Association of Amer- ican Agricultural Colleges and Experiment Stations: Dr. E. W. Allen	340
Scientific Books:—	
Hornaday's 'The American Natural His- tory': W. K. GREGORY	346
Scientific Journals and Articles	348
Societies and Academies:— The Geological Society of Washington: H. F. BAIN. Clemson College Science Club: HAVEN METCALF. Section of Biology of the New York Academy of Sciences: PROFESSOR M. A. BIGELOW. The Elisha Mitchell Sci- entific Society: PROFESSOR ALVIN S. WHEELER	349
Discussion and Correspondence:— Mont Pelée sive Mont Pelé: DR. C. R. EAST- MAN. The Metric Fallacy: SAMUEL S. DALE	85 2
Special Articles:— Determinate Mutation: Professor May- NARD M. METCALF	355
Current Notes on Meteorology:— The Teaching of Meteorology; Labor and Health on the Isthmus of Panama; Note: PROFESSOR R. DEC. WARD	356
Scientific Notes and News	357
University and Educational News	360

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the Editor of SCIENCE, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

APPLIED BOTANY AND ITS DEPENDENCE UPON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH.*

UNFORTUNATELY for me, the time for this address before the Society for Plant Morphology and Physiology comes so far along in the twentieth century that there is opportunity for neither a retrospective nor a prospective view of botany, even were I competent to assume the rôle of an historian or a prophet. I had, therefore, thought of presenting a somewhat general discussion of some of the problems involved in soil bacteriology, but, fortunately for you, this has recently been done before another society by another investigator, so that there is no reason for digging over the same soil here. On the other hand, the discussion of some purely technical botanical subject connected with my particular field of work seems more properly to belong to that part of the program devoted to scientific papers. Consequently, by this process of elimination, the only question of general interest occurring to me seems to be that one which most of us have grown tired of answering and yet, because of the tendency of this age, is the one which we have to answer more often than any other, namely, Of what good is botany? Whv do we teach it? and above all, Why have we as men and women allied ourselves with Far be it from me to such a profession? attempt to defend botany against all who are now engaged in its pursuit. These are matters for one's own conscience, but for those of us here, members of the Society

*Address of the president of the Society for Plant Morphology and Physiology, Philadelphia, December, 1904.