how the irregular shadows visible with low power telescopes have led up to the present network of lines seen through glasses of high power. J. J. Stevenson discusses 'University Control,' pleading for a reorganization of the present system and for a separation of educational and business management. 'The World-view of a Scientist: Ernst Haeckel's Philosophy,' by Frank Thilly, concludes that so far as philosophy is concerned Haeckel is still in his first childhood. M. C. Marsh treats of 'Eels and the Eel Question,' showing the many misapprehensions that have been held concerning these fishes and their reproduction. It is a pity that he did not round out the interesting article by telling what is actually known regarding their history. Theo. Gill gives 'The Story of a Word-Mammal,' showing that the etymology commonly given is incorrect and that it was coined by Linnæus to denote that class of animals marked by having mammæ. In 'A Year of Weather and Trade in the United States' R. DeC. Ward shows how intimately the two are connected. Frederick Adams Wood continues the discussion of 'Mental and Moral Heredity in Royalty' and there is a reprint of Sir Isaac Newton's 'A New Theory of Light and Colours.' In 'The Progress of Science' is an extremely good article on 'Science in American Journals' which makes plain the need of intelligent supervision of scientific articles of a popular character.

DISCUSSION AND CORRESPONDENCE. 'EFFECTIVE FORCES.'

To THE EDITOR OF SCIENCE: In a review of 'Some Recent Works on Mechanics,' in SCI-ENCE, October 11, 1901, reference is made to the use of the terms 'force of inertia' and 'effective forces' in two of the books under consideration, and the opinion is expressed that these terms 'are properly going, if not well nigh gone, out of fashion,' and that 'they seem doomed to be replaced by the more suggestive term "kinetic reaction," or "mass reaction."' It is to be feared that nothing is gained by argumentation upon questions of this kind, and I have no desire to revive a controversy which long ago occupied much space in the pages of SCIENCE and elsewhere. But since the question has been raised in connection with my own use of the term 'effective forces,' I would be glad to record my reason for preferring this to the more modern and 'suggestive' terms favored by the reviewer. This reason is that it seems unwise to replace an established term by another unless the latter is a better description of the thing And however imperfectly the designated. term effective force describes the quantity to which it is applied, no term has been suggested which serves the purpose any better. 'Kinetic reaction' and 'mass reaction' are, indeed, suggestive, but it is for this very reason that they are objectionable, for they seem to suggest an erroneous conception of the third law of motion. In this respect they must, I think, be classed with the term 'force of inertia.'

May I add a word regarding the reviewer's remarks upon the theory of dimensions. He rightly emphasizes the value of this theory as a means of avoiding and of detecting errors in physical equations, but in citing a sentence from my book as an example of an erroneous interpretation of a constant which is immediately detected by the theory of dimensions he has, I think, been over hasty. The sentence quoted is strictly correct.

L. M. Hoskins.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY, CAL., August 19, 1902.

REFERENCE BOOKS IN NOMENCLATURE.

TO THE EDITOR OF SCIENCE: In the issue of Science for August 29, 1902 (p. 354), under the heading 'Scientific Nomenclature,' Mr. R. H. Harper gives a list of thirty-two words used in current scientific papers which he was not able to find in Webster's International (1890), the Century Dictionary (1902) or the Universal or Encyclopedic (1897). Being loath to believe that some of the words listed had wholly escaped the lexicographer reference was made to a 1901 edition of the Standard and to the Supplement of Webster's International (1900), resulting in the finding of definitions for thirteen of the terms. Eleven of these definitions are given after the