

# SCIENCE

**EDITORIAL COMMITTEE:** S. NEWCOMB, Mathematics; R. S. WOODWARD, Mechanics; E. C. PICKERING Astronomy; T. C. MENDENHALL, Physics; R. H. THURSTON, Engineering; IRA REMSEN, Chemistry; J. LE CONTE, Geology; W. M. DAVIS, Physiography; O. C. MARSH, Paleontology; W. K. BROOKS, C. HART MERRIAM, Zoology; S. H. SCUDDER, Entomology; C. E. BESSEY, N. L. BRITTON, Botany; HENRY F. OSBORN, General Biology; C. S. MINOT, Embryology, Histology; H. P. BOWDITCH, Physiology; J. S. BILLINGS, Hygiene; J. McKEEN CATTELL, Psychology; DANIEL G. BRINTON, J. W. POWELL, Anthropology.

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 28, 1898.

## CONTENTS:

<i>Address of the President before the British Association for the Advancement of Science, Bristol, 1898 (L.):</i> SIR WILLIAM CROOKES.....	561
<i>Variations in the Rate of Agricultural Productions and One of their Causes:</i> JOHN HYDE .....	575
<i>On the Reappearance of the Tile-fish (<i>Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps</i>):</i> PROFESSOR H. C. BUMPUS.....	576
<i>Seriation Curves of the Cephalic Index:</i> DR. WILLIAM Z. RIPLEY .....	578
<i>The International Conference on Terrestrial Magnetism:</i> C. A. SCHOTT.....	582
<i>The Tenth Annual Meeting of the Association of Economic Entomologists:</i> C. L. MARLATT.....	584
<i>Notes on Inorganic Chemistry:</i> J. L. H.....	585
<i>Botanical Notes:—</i> <i>A Study of Toadstools; A Southern Fern far from Home; The Function of Bloom; A Tiny Pine Tree:</i> PROFESSOR CHARLES E. BESSEY.....	587
<i>Current Notes on Anthropology:—</i> <i>Botanical Knowledge of the Ancient Aztecs; Sexual Dimorphism in Man; Heredity; A Contrast:</i> PROFESSOR D. G. BRINTON .....	588
<i>Scientific Notes and News:—</i> <i>The Conference on an International Catalogue of Scientific Literature; General.....</i>	589
<i>University and Educational News.....</i>	594
<i>Discussion and Correspondence:—</i> <i>A Rule for Finding the Day of the Week:</i> EDWARD L. STABLER. <i>An Apple Canker:</i> W. PADDOCK. <i>Wampum Belts:</i> W. M. BEAUCHAMP.....	594
<i>Scientific Literature:—</i> <i>Detmer's Plant Physiology:</i> PROFESSOR J. C. ARTHUR. <i>Classen's Quantitative Chemical Analysis by Electrolysis; Oettel's Electro-chemical Experiments and Exercises in Electro-chemistry:</i> H. C. J.....	597
<i>Scientific Journals.....</i>	599
<i>New Books.....</i>	600

ADDRESS OF THE PRESIDENT BEFORE THE  
BRITISH ASSOCIATION FOR THE AD-  
VANCEMENT OF SCIENCE, BRIS-  
TOL, 1898.

## I.

FOR the third time in its history the British Association meets in your City of Bristol. The first meeting was held under the presidency of the Marquis of Lansdowne in 1836, the second under the presidency of Sir John Hawkshaw in 1875. Formerly the President unrolled to the meeting a panorama of the year's progress in physical and biological sciences. To-day the President usually restricts himself to specialities connected with his own work or deals with questions which for the time are uppermost. To be President of the British Association is undoubtedly a great honor. It is also a great opportunity and a great responsibility; for I know that, on the wings of the press, my words, be they worthy or not, will be carried to all points of the compass. I propose first to deal with the important question of the supply of bread to the inhabitants of these islands, then to touch on subjects to which my life work has been more or less devoted. I shall not attempt any general survey of the sciences; these, so far as the progress in them demands attention, will be more fitly brought before you in the different sections, either in the addresses of the presidents or in communications from members.

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the responsible editor, Professor J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

Before proceeding with my address I wish to refer to the severe loss the British Association has sustained in the death of Lord Playfair. With Sir John Lubbock and Lord Rayleigh, Lord Playfair was one of the permanent trustees of our Association, and for many years he was present at our meetings. It would be difficult to overrate his loss to British science. Lord Playfair's well-matured and accurate judgment, his scientific knowledge, and his happy gift of clothing weighty thoughts in persuasive language, made his presence acceptable, whether in the council chamber, in departmental enquiries, or at light social gatherings, where, by the singular laws of modern society, momentous announcements are sometimes first given to the world. Lord Playfair (then Sir Lyon Playfair) was President of the British Association at Aberdeen in 1885; his address on that occasion will long be remembered as a model of profound learning and luminous exposition.

And now I owe a sort of apology to this brilliant audience. I must ask you to bear with me for ten minutes, for I am afraid what I now have to say will prove somewhat dull. I ought to propitiate you, for, to tell the truth, I am bound to bore you with figures. Statistics are rarely attractive to a listening audience; but they are necessary evils, and those of this evening are unusually doleful. Nevertheless, when we have proceeded a little way on our journey, I hope you will see that the river of figures is not hopelessly dreary. The stream leads into an almost unexplored region, and to the right and left we see channels opening out, all worthy of exploration and promising a rich reward to the statistic explorer who will trace them to their source—a harvest, as Huxley expresses it, 'immediately convertible into those things which the most sordidly practical of men will admit to have value, namely, money and life.' My chief

subject is of interest to the whole world—to every race, to every human being. It is of urgent importance to-day, and it a life-and-death question for generations to come. I mean the question of food supply. Many of my statements you may think are of the alarmist order; certainly they are depressing, but they are founded on stubborn facts. They show that England and all civilized nations stand in deadly peril of not having enough to eat. As mouths multiply, food resources dwindle. Land is a limited quantity, and the land that will grow wheat is absolutely dependent on difficult and capricious natural phenomena. I am constrained to show that our wheat-producing soil is totally unequal to the strain put upon it. After wearying you with a survey of the universal dearth to be expected, I hope to point a way out of the colossal dilemma. It is the chemist who must come to the rescue of the threatened communities. It is through the laboratory that starvation may ultimately be turned into plenty.

The food supply of the kingdom is of peculiar interest to this meeting, considering that the grain trade has always been, and still is, an important feature in the imports of Bristol. The imports of grain to this city amount to about 25,000,000 bushels per annum, 8,000,000 of which consist of wheat.

What are our home requirements in the way of wheat? The consumption of wheat per head of the population (unit consumption) is over six bushels per annum; and taking the population at 40,000,000, we require no less than 240,000,000 bushels of wheat, increasing annually by 2,000,000 bushels, to supply the increase of population. Of the total amount of wheat consumed in the United Kingdom we grow 25 and import 75 per cent.

So important is the question of wheat supply that it has attracted the attention of Parliament, and the question of national granaries has been mooted. It is certain

that in case of war with any of the Great Powers wheat would be contraband, as if it were cannon or powder, liable to capture even under a neutral flag. We must, therefore, accept the situation and treat wheat as munitions of war, and grow, accumulate or store it as such. It has been shown that at the best our stock of wheat and flour amounts only to 64,000,000 bushels—fourteen weeks' supply—while last April our stock was equal to only 10,000,000 bushels, the smallest ever recorded by 'Beerbohm' for the period of the season. Similarly, the stocks held in Europe, the United States and Canada, called 'the world's visible supply,' amounted to only 54,000,000 bushels, or 10,000,000 less than last year's sum-total, and nearly 82,000,000 less than that of 1893 or 1894 at the corresponding period. To arrest this impending danger, it has been proposed that an amount of 64,000,000 bushels of wheat should be purchased by the State and stored in national granaries, not to be opened, except to remedy deterioration of grain, or in view of national disaster rendering starvation imminent. This 64,000,000 bushels would add another fourteen weeks' life to the population; assuming that the ordinary stock had not been drawn on, the wheat in the country would only then be enough to feed the population for twenty-eight weeks.

I do not venture to speak authoritatively on national granaries. The subject has been discussed in the daily press, and the recently published report from the Agricultural Committee on National Wheat Stores brings together all the arguments in favor of this important scheme, together with the difficulties to be faced if it be carried out with necessary completeness.

More hopeful, although difficult and costly, would be the alternative of growing most, if not all, of our own wheat supply here at home in the British Isles. The average

yield over the United Kingdom last year was 29.07 bushels per acre, the average for the last eleven years being 29.46. For twelve months we need 240,000,000 bushels of wheat, requiring about 8,250,000 acres of good wheat-growing land, or nearly 13,000 square miles, increasing at the rate of 100 square miles per annum, to render us self-supporting as to bread food. This area is about one-fourth the size of England.

A total area of land in the United Kingdom equal to a plot 110 miles square, of quality and climate sufficient to grow wheat to the extent of 29 bushels per acre, does not seem a hopeless demand.\* It is doubtful, however, if this amount of land could be kept under wheat, and the necessary expense of high farming faced, except under the imperious pressure of impending starvation or the stimulus of a national subsidy or permanent high prices. Certainly these 13,000 square miles would not be available under ordinary economic conditions, for much, perhaps all, the land now under barley and oats would not be suitable for wheat. In any case, owing to our cold, damp climate and capricious weather, the wheat crop is hazardous, and for the present our annual deficit of 180,000,000 bushels must be imported. A permanently higher price for wheat is, I fear, a calamity that ere long must be faced. At enhanced prices land now under wheat will be better farmed, and therefore will yield better, thus giving increased production without increased area.

The burning question of to-day is: What can the United Kingdom do to be reasonably safe from starvation in presence of two successive failures of the world's wheat harvest, or against a hostile combination of European nations? We eagerly spend millions

\* The total area of the United Kingdom is 120,979 square miles; therefore the required land is about a tenth part of the total.

to protect our coasts and commerce, and millions more on ships, explosives, guns and men; but we omit to take necessary precautions to supply ourselves with the very first and supremely important munition of war—food.

To take up the question of food supply in its scientific aspect, I must not confine myself exclusively to our own national requirements. The problem is not restricted to the British Isles—the bread-eaters of the whole world share the perilous prospect—and I do not think it out of place if on this occasion I ask you to take with me a wide, general survey of the wheat supply of the whole world.

Wheat is the most sustaining food grain of the great Caucasian race, which includes the peoples of Europe, United States, British America, the white inhabitants of South Africa, Australasia, parts of South America, and the white population of the European colonies. Of late years the individual consumption of wheat has almost universally increased. In Scandinavia it has risen 100 per cent. in twenty-five years; in Austro-Hungary, 80 per cent.; in France, 20 per cent.; while in Belgium it has increased 50 per cent. Only in Russia and Italy, and possibly Turkey, has the consumption of wheat per head declined.

In 1871 the bread-eaters of the world numbered 371,000,000. In 1881 the numbers rose to 416,000,000; in 1891, to 472,600,000, and at the present time they number 516,500,000. The augmentation of the world's bread-eating population in a geometrical ratio is evidenced by the fact that the yearly aggregates grow progressively larger. In the early seventies they rose 4,300,000 per annum, while in the eighties they increased by more than 6,000,000 per annum, necessitating annual additions to the bread supply nearly one-half greater than sufficed twenty-five years ago.

How much wheat will be required to supply all these hungry mouths with bread? At the present moment it is not possible to get accurate estimates of this year's wheat crops of the world, but an adequate idea may be gained from the realized crops of some countries and the promise of others. To supply 516,500,000 bread-eaters, if each bread-eating unit is to have his usual ration, will require a total of 2,324,000,000 bushels for seed and food. What are our prospects of obtaining this amount?

According to the best authorities the total supplies from the 1897-98 harvest are 1,921,000,000 bushels. The requirement of the 516,500,000 bread-eaters for seed and food are 2,324,000,000 bushels; there is thus a deficit of 403,000,000 bushels, which has not been urgently apparent owing to a surplus of 300,000,000 bushels carried over from the last harvest. Respecting the prospects of the harvest year just beginning it must be borne in mind that there are no remainders to bring over from last harvest. We start with a deficit of 103,000,000 bushels and have 6,500,000 more mouths to feed. It follows, therefore, that one-sixth of the required bread will be lacking unless larger drafts than now seem possible can be made upon early produce from the next harvest.

The majority of the wheat crops between 1882 and 1896 were in excess of current needs, and thus considerable reserves of wheat were available for supplementing small deficits from the four deficient harvests. But bread-eaters have almost eaten up the reserves of wheat, and, the 1897 harvest being under average, the conditions become serious. That scarcity and high prices have not prevailed in recent years is due to the fact that since 1889 we have had seven world crops of wheat and six of rye abundantly in excess of the average. These generous crops increased accumulations to

such an extent as to obscure the fact that the harvests of 1895 and 1896 were each much below current requirements. Practically speaking, reserves are now exhausted, and bread-eaters must be fed from current harvests, accumulation under present conditions being almost impossible. This is obvious from the fact that a harvest equal to that of 1894 (the greatest crop on record, both in acre-yield and in the aggregate) would yield less than current needs.

It is clear we are confronted with a colossal problem that must tax the wits of the wisest. When the bread-eaters have exhausted all possible supplies from the 1897-'98 harvest there will be a deficit of 103,000,000 bushels of wheat, with no substitution possible unless Europeans can be induced to eat Indian corn or rye bread. Up to recent years the growth of wheat has kept pace with demands. As wheat-eaters increased, the acreage under wheat expanded. The world has become so familiarized with the orderly sequence of demand and supply, so accustomed to look upon the vast plains of other wheat-growing countries as inexhaustible granaries, that, in a light hearted way, it is taken for granted that so many million additional acres can be added year after year to the wheat-growing area of the world. We forget that the wheat-growing area is of strictly limited extent, and that a few million acres regularly absorbed soon mount to a formidable number.

The present position being so gloomy, let us consider future prospects. What are the capabilities as regards available area, economic conditions and acreage-yield of the wheat-growing countries from whence we now draw our supply?

For the last thirty years the United States have been the dominant factor in the foreign supply of wheat, exporting no less than 145,000,000 bushels. This shows

how the bread-eating world has depended, and still depends, on the United States for the means of subsistence. The entire world's contributions to the food-bearing area have averaged but 4,000,000 acres yearly since 1869. It is scarcely possible that such an average, under existing conditions, can be doubled for the coming twenty-five years. Almost yearly, since 1885, additions to the wheat-growing area have diminished, while the requirements of the increasing population of the States have advanced, so that the needed American supplies have been drawn from the acreage hitherto used for exportation. Practically there remains no uncultivated prairie land in the United States suitable for wheat-growing. The virgin land has been rapidly absorbed, until at present there is no land left for wheat without reducing the area for maize, hay and other necessary crops.

It is almost certain that within a generation the ever-increasing population of the United States will consume all the wheat grown within its borders, and will be driven to import, and, like ourselves, will scramble for a lion's share of the wheat crop of the world. This being the outlook, exports of wheat from the United States are only of present interest, and will gradually diminish to a vanishing point. The inquiry may be restricted to such countries as probably will continue to feed bread-eaters who annually derive a considerable part of their wheat from extraneous sources.

But if the United States, which grows about one-fifth of the world's wheat, and contribute one-third of all wheat exportations, are even now dropping out of the race, and likely soon to enter the list of wheat-importing countries, what prospect is there that other wheat-growing countries will be able to fill the gap, and, by enlarging their acreage under wheat, replace the supply which the States have so long con-

tributed to the world's food? The withdrawal of 145,000,000 bushels will cause a serious gap in the food supply of wheat-importing countries, and unless this deficit can be met by increased supplies from other countries there will be a dearth for the rest of the world after the British Isles are sufficiently supplied.

Next to the United States, Russia is the greatest wheat exporter, supplying nearly 95,000,000 bushels.

Although Russia at present exports so lavishly, this excess is merely provisional and precarious. The Russian peasant population increases more rapidly than any other in Europe. The yield per acre over European Russia is meagre—not more than 8.6 bushels to the acre—while some authorities consider it as low as 4.6 bushels. The cost of production is low—lower even than on the virgin soils of the United States. The development of the fertile though somewhat overrated 'black earth,' which extends across the southern portion of the Empire and beyond the Ural Mountains into Siberia, progresses rapidly. But, as we have indicated, the consumption of bread in Russia has been reduced to danger point. The peasants starve and fall victims to 'hunger typhus,' whilst the wheat growers export grain that ought to be consumed at home.

Considering Siberia as a wheat grower, climate is the first consideration. Summers are short—as they are in all regions with continental climates north of the 45th parallel—and the ripening of wheat requires a temperature averaging at least 65° Fahr. for fifty-five to sixty-five days. As all Siberia lies north of the summer isotherm of 65°, it follows that such region is ill adapted to wheat culture unless some compensating climatic condition exists. As a fact, the conditions are exceptionally unfavorable in all but very limited districts in the two west-

ernmost governments. The cultivatable lands of western Siberia adapted to grain-bearing equal neither in extent nor in potential productive powers those of Iowa, Minnesota and Nebraska. There are limited tracts of fair productiveness in central Siberia and in the valleys of the southern affluents of the Amoor, but these are only just capable of supporting a meager population.

Prince Hilkoff, Russian Minister of Ways and Communications, declared in 1896 that "Siberia never had produced, and never would produce, wheat and rye enough to feed the Siberian population." And, a year later, Prince Krapotkin backed the statement as substantially correct.

Those who attended the meeting of the British Association last year in Canada must have been struck with the extent and marvellous capacity of the fertile plains of Manitoba and the Northwest Provinces. Here were to be seen 1,290,000 acres of fine wheat-growing land yielding 18,261,950 bushels, one-fifth of which comes to hungry England. Expectations have been cherished that the Canadian Northwest would easily supply the world with wheat, and exaggerated estimates are drawn as to the amount of surplus land on which wheat can be grown. Thus far performance has lagged behind promise, the wheat-bearing area of all Canada having increased less than 500,000 acres since 1884, while the exports have not increased in greater proportion. As the wheat area of Manitoba and the Northwest has increased, the wheat area of Ontario and the Eastern Provinces has decreased, the added acres being little more than sufficient to meet the growing requirements of population. We have seen calculations showing that Canada contains 500,000,000 acres of profitable wheat land. The impossibility of such an estimate ever being fulfilled will be apparent when it is remembered that the whole area employed in both

temperate zones for growing all the stable food crops is not more than 580,000,000 acres, and that in no country has more than 9 per cent. of the area been devoted to wheat culture.

The fertility of the Northwest Provinces of the Dominion is due to an exceptional and curious circumstance. In winter the ground freezes to a considerable depth. Wheat is sown in the spring, generally in April, when the frozen ground has been thawed to a depth of three inches. Under the hot sun of the short summer the grain sprouts with surprising rapidity, partly because the roots are supplied with water from the thawing depths. The summer is too short to thaw the ground thoroughly, and gate-posts or other dead wood extracted in autumn are found still frozen at their lower end.

Australasia, as a potential contributor to the world's supply of wheat, affords another fertile field for speculation. Climatic conditions limit the Australian wheat area to a small portion of the southern littoral belt. Professor Shelton considers there are still fifty million acres in Queensland suitable for wheat, but hitherto it has never had more than 150,000 acres under cultivation. Crops in former days were liable to rust, but, since the Rust in Wheat, conferences, and the dissemination of instruction to farmers, rust no longer has any terrors. I am informed by the Queensland Department of Agriculture that of late years they have practically bred wheat vigorous enough to resist this plague. For the second season in succession the wheat crop last year was destroyed over large areas in Victoria; and in South Australia the harvest averaged not more than about  $3\frac{1}{2}$  bushels per acre after meeting Colonial requirements for food and seed, leaving only 684,000 bushels for export. In most other districts the yield falls to such an extent as to cause Europeans to

wonder why the pursuit of wheat-raising is continued.

New Zealand has a moist climate resembling that of central and southern England, while South Australia is semi-arid, resembling western Kansas. Only two countries in the world yield as much wheat per acre as New Zealand; these are Denmark and the United Kingdom. Notwithstanding the great yield of wheat, due to an equable climate, New Zealand finds fruit and dairy farming still more profitable. The climatic conditions favorable to wheat are also conducive to luxuriant growths of nutritious grasses. Thus the New Zealander ships his butter more than half way round the world, and competes successfully with western Europe.

During the last twenty-seven years the Austro-Hungarian population has increased 21.8 per cent., as against an increase of 54.6 per cent. in the acreage of wheat. Notwithstanding this disparity in the rates or increase, exports have practically ceased by reason of an advance of nearly 80 per cent. in unit consumption. There can be little doubt that Austro-Hungary is about to enter the ranks of importing nations, although in Hungary a considerable area of wheat land remains to be brought under cultivation.

Roumania is an important wheat-growing country. In 1896 it produced 69,000,000 bushels and exported 34,000,000 bushels. It has a considerable amount of surplus land which can be used for wheat, although for many years the wheat area is not likely to exceed home requirements.

France comes next to the United States as a producer of wheat; but for our purpose she counts but little, being dependent on supplies from abroad for an average quantity of 14 per cent. of her own production. There is practically no spare land in France that can be put under wheat in sufficient

quantity to enable her to do more than provide for increase of population.

Germany is a gigantic importer of wheat, her imports rising 700 per cent. in the last twenty-five years, and now averaging 35,000,000 bushels. Other nations of Europe, also importers, do not require detailed mention, as under no conceivable conditions would they be able to do more than supply wheat for the increasing requirements of their local population, and, instead of replenishing, would probably diminish, the world's stores.

The prospective supply of wheat from Argentina and Uruguay has been greatly overrated. The agricultural area includes less than 100,000,000 acres of good, bad and indifferent land, much of which is best adapted for pastoral purposes. There is no prospect of Argentina ever being able to devote more than 30,000,000 acres to wheat; the present wheat area is about 6,000,000 acres, an area that may be doubled in the next twelve years. But the whole arable region is subject to great climatic vicissitudes and to frosts that ravage the fields south of the thirty-seventh parallel. Years of systematized energy are frustrated in a few days—perhaps hours—by a single cruelty of Nature, such as a plague of locusts, a tropical rain or a devastating hail storm. It will take years to bring the surplus lands of Argentina into cultivation, and the population is even now insufficient to supply labor at seed time and harvest.

During the next twelve years Uruguay may add a million acres to the world's wheat fields; but social, political and economic conditions seriously interfere with agricultural development.

At the present time South Africa is an importer of wheat, and the regions suitable to cereals do not exceed a few million acres. Great expectations have been formed as to the fertility of Mashonaland, the Shire

Highlands and the Kikuyu plateau, and as to the adaptation of these regions to the growth of wheat. But wheat culture fails where the banana ripens, and the banana flourishes throughout Central Africa, except in limited areas of great elevation. In many parts of Africa insect pests render it impossible to store grain, and without grain-stores there can be little hope of large exports.

North Africa, formerly the granary of Rome, now exports less than 5,000,000 bushels of wheat annually, and these exports are on the decline, owing to increased home demands. With scientific irrigation, Egypt could supply three times her present amount of wheat, although no increase is likely unless the cotton fields of the Delta are diverted to grain growing. In Algeria and Tunis nearly all reclaimed lands are devoted to the production of wine, for which a brisk demand exists. Were this land devoted to the growth of wheat an additional five million bushels might be obtained.

The enormous acreage devoted to wheat in India has been declining for some years, and in 1895 over 20,000,000 acres yielded 185,000,000 bushels. Seven-eighths of this harvest is required for native consumption, and only one-eighth on an average is available for export. The annual increase of population is more than 3,000,000, demanding an addition to the food-bearing lands of not less than 1,800,000 acres annually. In recent years the increase has been less than one-fourth of this amount.

In surveying the limitations and vicissitudes of wheat crops, I have endeavored to keep free from exaggeration, and have avoided insistence on doubtful points. I have done my best to get trustworthy facts and figures, but from the nature of the case it is impossible to attain complete accuracy. Great caution is required in sifting the numerous varying current statements respect-



ing the estimated areas and total produce of wheat throughout the world. The more closely official estimates are examined, the more defective are they found, and comparatively few figures are sufficiently well established to bear the deductions often drawn. In doubtful cases I have applied to the highest authorities in each country, and in the case of conflicting accounts have taken data the least favorable to sensational or panic-engendering statements. In a few instances of accurate statistics their value is impaired by age; but for 95 per cent. of my figures I quote good authorities, while for the remaining 5 per cent. I rely on the best commercial estimates derived from the appearance of the growing crops, the acreage under cultivation and the yield last year. The maximum probable error would make no appreciable difference in my argument.

The facts and figures I have set before you are easily interpreted. Since 1871 unit consumption of wheat, including seed, has slowly increased in the United Kingdom to the present amount of six bushels per head per annum; while the rate of consumption for seed and food by the whole world of bread-eaters was 4.15 bushels per unit per annum for the eight years ending 1878, and at the present time is 4.5 bushels. Under present conditions of low acre yield, wheat cannot long retain its dominant position among the food-stuffs of the civilized world. The details of the impending catastrophe no one can predict, but its general direction is obvious enough. Should all the wheat-growing countries add to their area to the utmost capacity, on the most careful calculation the yield would give us only an addition of some 100,000,000 acres, supplying at the average world-yield of 12.7 bushels to the acre, 1,270,000,000 bushels, just enough to supply the increase of population among bread-eaters till the year 1931.

At the present time there exists a deficit

in the wheat area of 31,000 square miles—a deficit masked by the fact that the ten world crops of wheat harvested in the ten years ending 1896 were more than 5 per cent. above the average of the previous twenty-six years.

When provision shall have been made, if possible, to feed 230,000,000 units likely to be added to the bread-eating populations by 1931—by the complete occupancy of the arable areas of the temperate zone now partially occupied—where can be grown the additional 330,000,000 bushels of wheat required ten years later by a hungry world? What is to happen if the present rate of population be maintained, and if arable areas of sufficient extent cannot be adapted and made contributory to the subsistence of so great a host.

Are we to go hungry and to know the trial of scarcity? That is the poignant question. Thirty years is but a day in the life of a nation. Those present who may attend the meeting of the British Association thirty years hence will judge how far my forecasts are justified.

If bread fails—not only us, but all the bread-eaters of the world—what are we to do? We are born wheat-eaters. Other races, vastly superior to us in numbers, but differing widely in material and intellectual progress, are eaters of Indian corn, rice, millet and other grains; but none of these grains have the food value, the concentrated health-sustaining power of wheat, and it is on this account that the accumulated experience of civilized mankind has set wheat apart as the fit and proper food for the development of muscle and brains.

It is said that when other wheat-exporting countries realize that the States can no longer keep pace with the demand, these countries will extend their area of cultivation, and struggle to keep up the supply *pari passu* with the falling off in other quarters. But will this comfortable and cher-

ished doctrine bear the test of examination?

Cheap production of wheat depends on a variety of causes, varying greatly in different countries. Taking the cost of producing a given quantity of wheat in the United Kingdom at 100s., the cost for the same amount in the United States is 67s., in India 66s., and in Russia 54s. We require cheap labor, fertile soil, easy transportation to market, low taxation and rent, and no export or import duties. Labor will rise in price, and fertility diminish as the requisite manurial constituents in the virgin soil become exhausted. Facility of transportation to market will be aided by railways, but these are slow and costly to construct, and it will not pay to carry wheat by rail beyond a certain distance. These considerations show that the price of wheat tends to increase. On the other hand, the artificial impediments of taxation and customs duties tend to diminish as demand increases and prices rise.

I have said that starvation may be averted through the laboratory. Before we are in the grip of actual dearth the chemist will step in and postpone the day of famine to so distant a period that we and our sons and grandsons may legitimately live without undue solicitude for the future.

It is now recognized that all crops require what is called a 'dominant' manure. Some need nitrogen, some potash, others phosphates. Wheat preeminently demands nitrogen, fixed in the form of ammonia or nitric acid. All other necessary constituents exist in the soil; but nitrogen is mainly of atmospheric origin, and is rendered 'fixed' by a slow and precarious process which requires a combination of rare meteorological and geographical conditions to enable it to advance at a sufficiently rapid rate to become of commercial importance.

There are several sources of available nitrogen. The distillation of coal in the process of gas-making yields a certain amount of its nitrogen in the form of ammonia; and this product, as sulphate of ammonia, is a substance of considerable commercial value to gas companies. But the quantity produced is comparatively small; all Europe does not yield more than 400,000 annual tons, and, in view of the unlimited nitrogen required to substantially increase the world's wheat crop, this slight amount of coal ammonia is not of much significance. For a long time guano has been one of the most important sources of nitrogenous manures, but guano deposits are so near exhaustion that they may be dismissed from consideration.

Much has been said of late years, and many hopes raised by the discovery of Hellriegel and Wilfarth, that leguminous plants bear on their roots nodosities abounding in bacteria endowed with the property of fixing atmospheric nitrogen; and it is proposed that the necessary amount of nitrogen demanded by grain crops should be supplied to the soil by cropping it with clover and ploughing in the plant when its nitrogen assimilation is complete. But it is questionable whether such a mode of procedure will lead to the lucrative stimulation of crops. It must be admitted that practice has long been ahead of science, and for ages farmers have valued and cultivated leguminous crops. The four-course rotation is turnips, barley, clover, wheat—a sequence popular more than two thousand years ago. On the Continent, in certain localities, there has been some extension of microbe cultivation; at home we have not reached even the experimental stage. Our present knowledge leads to the conclusion that the much more frequent growth of clover on the same land, even with successful microbe-seeding and proper mineral supplies, would be attended with uncer-

tainty and difficulties. The land soon becomes what is called 'clover sick' and turns barren.

There is still another and invaluable source of fixed nitrogen. I mean the treasure locked up in the sewage and drainage of our towns. Individually the amount so lost is trifling, but multiply the loss by the number of inhabitants, and we have the startling fact that, in the United Kingdom, we are content to hurry down our drains and water courses, into the sea, fixed nitrogen to the value of no less than 16,000,000*l.* per annum. This unspeakable waste continues, and no effective and universal method is yet contrived of converting sewage into corn. Of this barbaric waste of manurial constituents Liebig, nearly half a century ago, wrote in these prophetic words: "Nothing will more certainly consummate the ruin of England than a scarcity of fertilizers—it means a scarcity of food. It is impossible that such a sinful violation of the divine laws of Nature should forever remain unpunished; and the time will probably come for England sooner than for any other country when, with all her wealth in gold, iron and coal, she will be unable to buy one-thousandth part of the food which she has, during hundreds of years, thrown recklessly away."

The more widely this wasteful system is extended, recklessly returning to the sea what we have taken from the land, the more surely and quickly will the finite stocks of nitrogen locked up in the soils of the world become exhausted. Let us remember that the plant creates nothing; there is nothing in bread which is not absorbed from the soil, and, unless the abstracted nitrogen is returned to the soil, its fertility must ultimately be exhausted. When we apply to the land nitrate of soda, sulphate of ammonia or guano we are drawing on the earth's capital, and our drafts will not per-

petually be honored. Already we see that a virgin soil cropped for several years loses its productive powers, and without artificial aid becomes infertile. Thus the strain to meet demands is increasingly great. Witness the yield of forty bushels of wheat per acre under favorable conditions, dwindling through exhaustion of soil to less than seven bushels of poor grain, and the urgency of husbanding the limited store of fixed nitrogen becomes apparent. The store of nitrogen in the atmosphere is practically unlimited, but it is fixed and rendered assimilable by plants only by cosmic processes of extreme slowness. The nitrogen which, with a light heart, we liberate in a battleship broadside has taken millions of minute organisms patiently working for centuries to win from the atmosphere.

The only available compound containing sufficient fixed nitrogen to be used on a world-wide scale as a nitrogenous manure is nitrate of soda, or Chili saltpetre. This substance occurs native over a narrow band of the plain of Tamarugal, in the northern provinces of Chili, between the Andes and the coast hills. In this rainless district, for countless ages, the continuous fixation of atmospheric nitrogen by the soil, its conversion into nitrate by the slow transformation of billions of nitrifying organisms, its combination with soda, and the crystallization of the nitrate, have been steadily proceeding, until the nitrate fields of Chili have become of vast commercial importance, and promise to be of inestimably greater value in the future. The growing exports of nitrate from Chili at present amount to about 1,200,000 tons.

The present acreage devoted to the world's growth of wheat is about 163,000,000 acres. At the average of 12.7 bushels per acre this gives 2,070,000,000 bushels. But thirty years hence the demand will be 3,260,000,000 bushels, and there will be difficulty in finding the necessary acreage

on which to grow the additional amount required. By increasing the present yield per acre from 12.7 to 20 bushels we should, with our present acreage, secure a crop of the requisite amount. Now from 12.7 to 20 bushels per acre is a moderate increase of productiveness, and there is no doubt that a dressing with nitrate of soda will give this increase and more.

The action of nitrate of soda in improving the yield of wheat has been studied practically by Sir John Lawes and Sir Henry Gilbert on their experimental field at Rothamstead. This field was sown with wheat for thirteen consecutive years without manure, and yielded an average of 11.9 bushels to the acre. For the next thirteen years it was sown with wheat, and dressed with 5 cwt. of nitrate of soda per acre, other mineral constituents also being present. The average yield for these years was 36.4 bushels per acre—an increase of 24.5 bushels. In other words, 22.86 pounds of nitrate of soda produce an increase of one bushel of wheat.

At this rate, to increase the world's crop of wheat by 7.3 bushels, about  $1\frac{1}{2}$  cwt. of nitrate of soda must annually be applied to each acre. The amount required to raise the world's crop on 163,000,000 acres from the present supply of 2,070,000,000 bushels to the required 3,260,000,000 bushels will be 12,000,000 tons, distributed in varying amounts over the wheat-growing countries of the world. The countries which produce more than the average of 12.7 bushels will require less, and those below the average will require more; but, broadly speaking, about 12,000,000 tons annually of nitrate of soda will be required, in addition to the 1,250,000 tons already absorbed by the world.

It is difficult to get trustworthy estimates of the amount of nitrate surviving in the nitre beds. Common rumor declares the supply to be inexhaustible, but cautious

local authorities state that at the present rate of export, of over 1,000,000 tons per annum, the raw material 'caliche,' containing from 25 to 50 per cent. nitrate, will be exhausted in from twenty to thirty years.

Dr. Newton, who has spent years on the nitrate fields, tells me there is a lower class material, containing a small proportion of nitrate, which cannot at present be used, but which may ultimately be manufactured at a profit. Apart from a few of the more scientific manufacturers, no one is sanguine enough to think this debatable material will ever be worth working. If we assume a liberal estimate for nitrate obtained from the lower grade deposit, and say that it will equal in quantity that from the richer quality, the supply may last, possibly, fifty years, at the rate of a million tons a year; but at the rate required to augment the world's supply of wheat to the point demanded thirty years hence it will not last more than four years.

I have passed in review all the wheat-growing countries of the world, with the exception of those whose united supplies are so small as to make little appreciable difference to the argument. The situation may be summed up briefly thus: The world's demand for wheat—the leading bread-stuff—increases in a crescendo ratio year by year. Gradually all the wheat-bearing land on the globe is appropriated to wheat-growing, until we are within measurable distance of using the last available acre. We must then rely on nitrogenous manures to increase the fertility of the land under wheat, so as to raise the yield from the world's low average—12.7 bushels per acre—to a higher average. To do this efficiently and feed the bread-eaters for a few years will exhaust all the available store of nitrate of soda. For years past we have been spending fixed nitrogen at a culpably extravagant rate, heedless of the fact that it is fixed with extreme slow-

ness and difficulty, while its liberation in the free state takes place always with rapidity and sometimes with explosive violence.

Some years ago Mr. Stanley Jevons uttered a note of warning as to the near exhaustion of our British coalfields. But the exhaustion of the world's stock of fixed nitrogen is a matter of far greater importance. It means not only a catastrophe little short of starvation for the wheat-eaters, but indirectly, scarcity for those who exist on inferior grains, together with a lower standard of living for meat-eaters, scarcity of mutton and beef, and even the extinction of gunpowder!

There is a gleam of light amid this darkness of despondency. In its free state nitrogen is one of the most abundant and pervading bodies on the face of the earth. Every square yard of the earth's surface has nitrogen gas pressing down on it to the extent of about seven tons; but this is in the *free* state, and wheat demands it *fixed*. To convey this idea in an object-lesson, I may tell you that, previous to its destruction by fire, Colston Hall, measuring 146 feet by 80 feet by 70 feet, contained 27 tons' weight of nitrogen in its atmosphere; it also contained one-third of a ton of argon. In the free gaseous state this nitrogen is worthless; combined in the form of nitrate of soda it would be worth about 2,000%.

For years past attempts have been made to effect the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, and some of the processes have met with sufficient partial success to warrant experimentalists in pushing their trials still further; but I think I am right in saying that no process has yet been brought to the notice of scientific or commercial men which can be considered successful either as regards cost or yield of product. It is possible, by several methods, to fix a certain amount of atmospheric nitrogen; but, to the best of my knowledge, no process has

hitherto converted more than a small amount, and this at a cost largely in excess of the present market value of fixed nitrogen.

The fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, therefore, is one of the great discoveries awaiting the ingenuity of chemists. It is certainly deeply important in its practical bearings on the future welfare and happiness of the civilized races of mankind. This unfulfilled problem, which so far has eluded the strenuous attempts of those who have tried to wrest the secret from Nature, differs materially from other chemical discoveries which are in the air, so to speak, but are not yet matured. The fixation of nitrogen is vital to the progress of civilized humanity. Other discoveries minister to our increased intellectual comfort, luxury or convenience; they serve to make life easier, to hasten the acquisition of wealth, or to save time, health or worry. The fixation of nitrogen is a question of the not-far-distant future. Unless we can class it among certainties to come, the great Caucasian race will cease to be foremost in the world, and will be squeezed out of existence by races to whom wheaten bread is not the staff of life.

Let me see if it is not possible even now to solve the momentous problem. As far back as 1892 I exhibited, at one of the Soirées of the Royal Society, an experiment on 'The Flame of Burning Nitrogen.' I showed that nitrogen is a combustible gas, and the reason why when once ignited the flame does not spread through the atmosphere and deluge the world in a sea of nitric acid is that its igniting point is higher than the temperature of its flame—not, therefore, hot enough to set fire to the adjacent mixture. But by passing a strong induction current between terminals the air takes fire and continues to burn with a powerful flame, producing nitrous and nitric acids. This inconsiderable experiment may not unlikely lead to the development of a mighty industry destined to solve the great

food problem. With the object of burning out nitrogen from air so as to leave argon behind, Lord Rayleigh fitted up apparatus for performing the operation on a larger scale, and succeeded in effecting the union of 29.4 grams of mixed nitrogen and oxygen at an expenditure of one horse-power. Following these figures it would require one Board of Trade unit to form 74 grams of nitrate of soda, and therefore 14,000 units to form one ton. To generate electricity in the ordinary way with steam engines and dynamos, it is now possible, with a steady load night and day, and engines working at maximum efficiency, to produce current at a cost of one-third of a penny per Board of Trade unit. At this rate one ton of nitrate of soda would cost 26*l*. But electricity from coal and steam engines is too costly for large industrial purposes; at Niagara, where water power is used, electricity can be sold at a profit for one-seventeenth of a penny per Board of Trade unit. At this rate nitrate of soda would cost not more than 5*l*. per ton. But the limit of cost is not yet reached, and it must be remembered that the initial data are derived from small-scale experiments, in which the object was not economy, but rather to demonstrate the practicability of the combustion method and to utilize it for isolating argon. Even now electric nitrate at 5*l*. a ton compares favorably with Chili nitrate at 7*l*. 10*s*. a ton, and all experience shows that when the road has been pointed out by a small laboratory experiment the industrial operations that may follow are always conducted at a cost considerably lower than could be anticipated from the laboratory figures.

Before we decide that electric nitrate is a commercial possibility a final question must be mooted. We are dealing with wholesale figures, and must take care that we are not simply shifting difficulties a little further back without really diminishing them. We start with a shortage of wheat,

and the natural remedy is to put more land under cultivation. As the land cannot be stretched, and there is so much of it and no more, the object is to render the available area more productive by a dressing with nitrate of soda. But nitrate of soda is limited in quantity and will soon be exhausted. Human ingenuity can contend even with these apparently hopeless difficulties. Nitrate can be produced artificially by the combustion of the atmosphere. Here we come to finality in one direction; our stores are inexhaustible. But how about electricity? Can we generate enough energy to produce 12,000,000 tons of nitrate of soda annually? A preliminary calculation shows that there need be no fear on that score; Niagara alone is capable of supplying the required electric energy without much lessening its mighty flow.

The future can take care of itself. The artificial production of nitrate is clearly within view, and by its aid the land devoted to wheat can be brought up to the thirty-bushels-per-acre standard. In days to come, when the demand may again overtake supply, we may safely leave our successors to grapple with the stupendous food problem.

And, in the next generation, instead of trusting mainly to food-stuffs which flourish in temperate climates, we probably shall trust more and more to the exuberant food-stuffs of the tropics, where, instead of one yearly sober harvest, jeopardized by any shrinkage of the scanty days of summer weather, or of the few steady inches of rainfall, Nature annually supplies heat and water enough to ripen two or three successive crops of food-stuffs in extraordinary abundance. To mention one plant alone, Humboldt—from what precise statistics I know not—computed that, acre for acre, the food-productiveness of the banana is 133 times that of wheat; the unripe banana, before its starch is converted into sugar, is said to make excellent bread.

Considerations like these must in the end determine the range and avenues of commerce, perhaps the fate of continents. We must develop and guide Nature's latent energies; we must utilize her inmost workshops; we must call into commercial existence Central Africa and Brazil to redress the balance of Odessa and Chicago.

WILLIAM CROOKES.

(*To be concluded.*)

*VARIATIONS IN THE RATE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION AND ONE OF THEIR CAUSES.\**

THE twenty years ending with 1897 witnessed the harvesting in the United States of crops of corn, oats and rye, the yield per acre of which was from 50 to 60 per cent greater than the corresponding yield in certain other years of the same period; of crops of potatoes in which it was from 80 to 87 per cent greater than in other years of the period under consideration, and of crops of buckwheat in which it was from 80 to 130 per cent greater than in the case of certain other crops of buckwheat grown within this same period of twenty years. On the other hand, the highest annual yields per acre of wheat, cotton, hay, barley and tobacco were only 50, 39, 39, 36 and 23 per cent, respectively, higher than the lowest. This remarkable non-uniformity of fluctuation has suggested to the author of this paper the operation of some law not hitherto generally recognized, and the examination of the statistics of a large number of crops for each separate state during a period of twenty years shows that, entirely independently of whether the average yield per acre be high or low, the nearer the approach to the region to which a product is indigenous the more uniform will be the rate of production from year to year, and the further the departure from

such region the greater the liability to fluctuation.

For the purpose of this abstract, four products only need be considered: oats, barley, cotton and corn. The period covered is twenty years, 1878-97, and the comparison is based in each case—not upon the two extreme deviations, but on the means of the three highest and the three lowest yields per acre in the twenty-year period, the figures given representing the per cent of the deviation of these means from the mean of the entire period.

In the case of oats in 12 of the most northerly states of the Union (the Transition zone\* of the Merriam Life Zone Map) the deviation from the twenty-year average was only 34.23 per cent, only 2 states exceeding 40 per cent; in the Upper Austral (from New Jersey, Delaware and Maryland to Kansas and Nebraska) the deviation was 53.95 per cent, only one state having less than 40 per cent, and in the Lower Austral (from Virginia, the Carolinas and Georgia to Texas and Arkansas) it was 62.78 per cent, no state falling below 50 per cent. In the case of barley the deviation in the Transition zone was 37.7 per cent, in the Upper Austral 59.5 per cent, and in the Lower Austral 69.9 per cent.

On the other hand, in the case of corn and cotton it is with the extension of their cultivation northward that the range of fluctuation in the average rate of production is found to increase. In the case of cotton this variation was 25.1 per cent of the average yield per acre in Alabama, 26.3 per cent in Georgia, 35 per cent in Mississippi, 37.9 per cent in South Carolina, 40.4 per cent in Louisiana, 41.3 per cent in North Carolina, 42 per cent in Arkansas, 53 per cent in Texas,† 54 per cent in Vir-

\* The transcontinental belt in which Boreal and Austral elements overlap.

† The somewhat wide fluctuation in Texas is due to the extension of cotton planting into regions of uncertain rainfall.

\* Abstract of paper read before Section I—Social and Economic Science—of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, August, 1898.