SCIENCE.

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE: S. NEWCOMB, Mathematics; R. S. WOODWARD, Mechanics; E. C. PICKERING, Astronomy; T. C. MENDENHALL, Physics; R. H. THURSTON, Engineering; IRA REMSEN, Chemistry; J. Le Conte, Geology; W. M. Davis, Physiography; O. C. Marsh, Paleontology; W. K. Brooks, Invertebrate Zoölogy; C. Hart Merriam, Vertebrate Zoölogy; S. H. Scudder, Entomology; N. L. Britton, Botany; Henry F. Osborn, General Biology; H. P. Bowditch, Physiology; J. S. Billings, Hygiene; J. McKeen Cattell, Psychology; Daniel G. Brinton, J. W. Powell, Anthropology.

FRIDAY, JUNE 7, 1895.

CONTENTS:

A Dynamical Hypothesis of Inheritance (II.):
• JOHN A. RYDER
Science in Canada: J. T. C
Carl Ludwig: Frederick S. Lee
<i>Correspondence</i> : —
The Frog was not Brainless, but Decerebrized:
BURT G. WILDER. Text-book of Invertebrate
Morphology: J. PLAYFAIR MCMURRICH; A.
S. PACKARD.
Scientific Literature:
Kent's Engineers' Pocket-Book: R. H. Thurs-
TON. Birdcraft: C. H. M. Behren's Anleitung
zur Microchemischen Analyse: E. RENOUF.
Notes and News:
The American Association; The British Associa-
tion; Mechanical Interpretation of Variations in
Latitude; A New Quadruple Expansion Engine;
The Missouri Botanical Garden; The Royal Astro-
nomical Society; General.
Scientific Journals: —
The American Chemical Journal; The Botanical
Gazette; The Pyschological Review.
New Books

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the responsible editor, Prof. J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison on Hudson, N. Y. Subscriptions and advertisements should be sent to SCIENCE, 41 N. Queen St., Lancaster, Pa., or 41 East 49th St., New York.

A DYNAMICAL HYPOTHESIS OF INHERIT-ANCE (II.).

THE egg cannot be isotropic—as follows from observation as well as experiment—in the sense in which the word isotropy is used by physicists of repute. If the egg is a dynamical system it cannot be isotropic or absolutely the same throughout, or along every possible radius from its center, as is proved by its reactions in respect to its sur-

roundings. It may, however, be potentially æolotropic in directions parallel to a certain axis, as experiment has shown by separating the cells that result from segmentation of the egg. Such fragments, if in excess of a certain minimal size, will undergo a larval development of apparently normal char-But this result is fatal to the ordinary corpuscular hypotheses, according to which every future part is represented in the chromosomes by certain hypothetical corpuscular germs. It has, indeed, been shown by Loeb that larval development of portions of an egg can go on whether the divisions be equal or unequal or in any This seems to indicate that an egg is not necessarily isotropic in the undivided state, but that the moment that separation of its mass has occurred there is a readjustment of the relations and potentialities of its molecules simulating that of the original entire egg. The very definition of isotropy, as given by one author (Lord Kelvin), states that it may be assumed only of a spherical mass of matter whose properties are absolutely the same along every one of the infinite number of radii drawn from its center outward, and, as tested by any means whatsoever, shows that such a condition cannot be assumed, on the ground of observation alone, of any known egg. The condition of the egg we must therefore also assume from its known properties to be æolotropic, or different along every one of the