## SCIENCE.

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE: S. NEWCOMB, Mathematics; R. S. WOODWARD, Mechanics; E. C. PICKERING, Astronomy; T. C. MENDENHALL, Physics; R. H. THURSTON, Engineering; IRA REMSEN, Chemistry; Joseph Le Conte, Geology; W. M. Davis, Physiography; O. C. Marsh, Paleontology; W. K. BROOKS, Invertebrate Zoölogy; C. HART MERRIAM, Vertebrate Zoölogy; N. L. BRITTON, Botany; Henry F. Osborn, General Biology; H. P. Bowditch, Physiology; J. S. BILLINGS, Hygiene; J. McKeen Cattell, Psychology; DANIEL G. BRINTON, J. W. POWELL, Anthropology.

## FRIDAY, MARCH 15, 1895.

## CONTENTS: The Plant Individual in the Light of Evolution: L. H. BAILEY ......281 Current Notes on Physiography (III.): W. M. The New York Meeting of the Association of American Anatomists......295 Correspondence: -- ..... A Card Catalogue of Scientific Literature: HENRY ALFRED TODD. Pithecanthropus erectus: HAR-RISON ALLEN. Scientific Literature:-W. Slingo and A. Brooker's Electrical Engineering: F. B. CROCKER. Physiological Physics: WILLIAM HALLOCK. Mathematics. Meteorology: A. L. ROTCH. Notes and News:-Entomology; General. The Biological Society of Washington; The New York Academy of Sciences; National Geographical Society; Philosophical Society of Washington; Boston Society of Natural History.

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the responsible editor, Prof. J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison on Hudson, N. Y. Subscriptions and advertisements should be sent to SCIENCE, 41 N. Queen St., Lancaster, Pa., or 41 East 49th St., New York.

THE PLANT INDIVIDUAL IN THE LIGHT OF

EVOLUTION.\*

THE PHILOSOPHY OF BUD-VARIATION, AND ITS BEARING UPON WEISMANNISM.

I.

Whilst the animal and vegetable kingdoms originate at a common point and are not clearly distinguishable in a number of

\* Address before the Biological Society of Washington, Jan. 12, 1895.

the lower groups or organic beings, they nevertheless diverge rapidly and they finally become very unlike. I believe that we shall find that this divergence into two coördinate branches of organic nature is brought about by the operation of at least two fundamentally distinct laws. a most unfortunate tendency, at the present time, to attempt to account for all phenomena of evolution upon some single hypothesis which the observer may think to be operative in the particular group of animals or plants which he may be study-For myself, I cannot believe that all forms of life are the results of any one law. It is possible that all recent explanations of evolution contain more or less truth, and that one of them may have been the cause of certain developments, whilst others have been equally fundamentally important in other groups of organisms. If I were a zoölogist, and particularly an entomologist, I should hold strongly to the views of Lamarck; but, being a horticulturist, I must accept largely, for the objects which come within the range of my vision, the principles of Darwin. In other words, I believe that both Lamarckism and Darwinism are true; and, in this connection, it is significant to observe that Lamarck propounded his theory from studies of animals, whilst Darwin was first led to his theory from observations of plants. I am willing to admit, also, at least for the sake of argu-