## SCIENCE:

PUBLISHED BY N. D. C. HODGES, 874 BROADWAY, NEW YORK.

To any contributor, on request in advance, one hundred copies of the issue containing his article will be sent without charge. More copies will be supplied at about cost, also if ordered in advance. Reprints are not supplied, as for obvious reasons we desire to circulate as many copies of *Science* as possible. Authors are, however, at perfect liberty to have their articles reprinted elsewhere. For illustrations, drawings in black and white suitable for photoengraving should be supplied by the contributor. Rejected manuscripts will be returned to the authors only when the requisite amount of postage accompanies the manuscript. Whatever is intended for insertion must be authenticated by the name and address of the writer; not necessarily for publication, but as a guaranty of good faith. We do not hold ourselves responsible for any view or opinions expressed in the communications of our correspondents.

Attention is called to the "Wants" column. It is invaluable to those who use it in soliciting information or seeking new positions. The name and address of applicants should be given in full, so that answers will go direct to them. The "Exchange" column is likewise open.

## THE FICTION OF THE AMERICAN HORSE AND THE TRUTH ON THIS DISPUTED POINT.

## BY DR. E. L. TROUESSART.

THE article of Mr. Robert C. Auld, published in *Science*, Sept. 2, 1892, brings before us the question of the introduction of the horse (*Equus domesticus*) into America, It is generally accepted that Europeans brought it to the New Continent, and that it was in La Plata that Mendoza, in 1530, introduced horses. Before that time, the natives were familiar with the llama only.

The only document which contradicts this historical fact, is a map published by Sebastian Cabot, on his return to Europe, that is after 1530, and which, moreover, had several editions. On this map, Cabot figured the horse as a production of the Rio de la Plata. It is difficult to attach any faith to this assertion of Cabot, since it depends very probably, upon the same doubtful grounds as the existence of the gold and silver mines in this country to which he gave, fortunately or unfortunately, the name of "La Plata." We know that all the objects of gold and silver which Cabot obtained from the natives were brought from Peru and from Chili, and that no metallic productions of any kind are to be found in this part of the Argentine Republic. But to Cabot desirous above all things of dazzling the King of Spain, and later the King of England, in order to obtain the command of new expeditions for discovery-it was essential to make it appear that these lands abounded in riches and supported large herds of horses. It is quite likely, too, that Cabot knew that the horse, recently imported into the country, could survive there and multiply in a state of freedom.

Be that as it may, all navigators who visited Rio de la Plata, before and after Cabot, contradict his assertions and agree in affirming that the natives did not know the horse. Pigafetta, notably, the historian of the voyage of Magellan, who visited Rio de la Plata in 1519, and who enumerates with care all the productions of the animal and vegetable kingdoms of that country, says authoritatively that the natives knew no other beast of burden than the llama (guanaco).

It is time, therefore, to make an end of this fiction of the native American horse. It is certain that this animal was imported by Europeans into America and that the Equida, which had formerly existed on that continent, were entirely unknown to the red men. We recall the terror of the Caribbeans, the Mexicans, and the Peruvians, at the sight of the Spanish cavaliers: they believed

themselves in the presence of a herd of centaurs. Geological and palæontological evidence in regard to the Argentine Republic abounds, also, to prove, in the most convincing manner, that there elapsed a period, between the extinction of the indigenous American horse and the appearance of the domestic horse imported from Europe, which was quite long enough to be appreciable geologically. This is the point which is now to be demonstrated.

We know that the horse of three toes (Hipparion or Hippotherium) existed in the north of the two continents at the end of the tertiary period (Pliocene and Quaternary). The genus Protohippus, considered the direct progenitor of Equus, differs very little from Hipparion, and may be regarded as a simple sub-genus of the latter. This genus, Protohippus, which numbers several species, lived in North America during the Pliocene epoch. The true genus, Equus, appeared soon after in the same country (from the Pliocene epoch), and several species (Equus crenidens, E. barcæni, etc.) are contemporaneous with Hipparion and Protohippus.

A genus akin to Equus, the genus Hippidium, is found also in the Pliocene age of North America (Hippidium spectans, Cope). This genus is the only one (with the true Equus) which is found in the Quaternary epoch in South America. Indeed, Hipparion and Protohippus are not known there, and Hipphaplus (Ameghino) is too little known to take up our attention here. There seems to be no doubt, therefore, that the South American horses of the Quaternary age spread gradually across the continent, from Mexico to Colombia, Brazil, and the Argentine Republic, for before this period Macrauchenida, the Proterotherida and the Tapirida were the only Perissodactyls living in the last-named country.

The South American horses (genus Hippidium) bear characteristics which forbid confusing them with the Hipparions and the horses of the North. Those of South America had thick, squat bodies, large heads, slender legs tapering to small hoofs; their molar teeth were of a shape more square than those of the true horse. These peculiarities are found again, in a measure at least, in horses of the same country which have been referred to the true genus Equus. In the same way, the Equus lundii of Boas, which lived in Colombia in the Quaternary period, has been compared to the zebra because of the thickness of its form. The other species which were found in the Argentine Republic are Eguus curvidens, E. argentinus, and E. rectidens; this last is the one which lived longest in this country where it must have been hunted and eaten by prehistoric man. In the "étage platien" (upper Quaternary) are found bones of this horse (E. rectidens) associated with chipped-stone implements, with pottery, fire refuse, etc., which are the evidences of the presence of man. The long bones of this horse are often split for the extraction of the marrow and the skull broken for the brains. The shape of the teeth enables one to distinguish at once between the Equus rectidens and Equus caballus of Europe.

If we study now the geological strata of the Argentine Republic we may form the following table whose elements we borrow from Mons. Fl. Ameghino:

ETAGES.	EQUIDÆ QUI S'Y TROUVENT.
Aérien (actuel).	Equus caballus domesticus.
Aimara (récent)	$\ldots$ (Pas trace d' <i>Equidæ</i> ).
Platien (post-pampéen lacustre)	Equus rectidens.
Guerandien (post-pampéen marin). (Pa	s de Mammifères terrestres).
Lujanien	[ Equus rectidens.
Bonairien	Equus argentinus.
Belgranien {	{ Equus curvidens.   Hippidium (5 sp.).
Ensenadien	(1)

This table, in which the "ensenadien" formation is the most ancient, and the layer "aérien," or actual, the most modern, shows, in the most evident manner, that the true horse of South America (*Equus rectidens*) was extinct a long time when *Equus caballus*, coming from Europe, made his first appearance in the Argentine Republic.

Indeed, the "Aimara" formation, where the bones of the llama (Auchenia guanaco) are abundant, presents no trace whatever of the genus Equus.