never could be reduced), but is some small fraction of an ohm (say, .2 ohm), and suppose that there is a single lamp of 140 ohms' resistance in circuit, and that the electromotive force is 100 volts: then 140

 $\frac{100}{140.2}$ × 100 = 99% volts will be the fall of potential

in the lamps, and only $\frac{1}{2}$ volt in the armature. But suppose that there are 70 lamps of the same resistance (140 ohms) in circuit, instead of a single one: then the external resistance will be reduced to 140

 $\frac{1}{70} = 2$ ohms, and the fall of potential in the lamps

will only be $\frac{2}{2.2} \times 100 = 90^{10}_{11}$ volts, and 9^{1}_{11} volts in

the armature.

Thus we see, that, when the number of lamps in circuit is increased from 1 to 70, the difference of potential available in the lamps is decreased from $99\frac{6}{7}$ to 90_{11}^{10} volts, a reduction of almost one-tenth; in consequence of which the candle-power of the lamps would be lowered at least one-third, and probably one-half. Of course, variations in the brightness of the lamps of one-third, or one-tenth, or even one-twentieth, would not be permissible: therefore, in order to maintain the required steadiness of the light, it is necessary to raise the electromotive force of the dynamo as more lamps are put on, and to lower it as lamps are taken off. This is done by increasing or diminishing the strength of current in the circuit of the field-magnets by means of a resistance-box interposed in the circuit. This regulation of the electromotive force of dynamos by controlling the resistance of the field-circuit may be, and in fact has been, made automatic; but up to the present time it

has more generally been done by hand. In what has gone before, I have said nothing about the resistance of the conductors which convey the current from the dynamo to the lamps. The effect of the resistance of any conductor which is common to two or more lamps — one of the main conductors, for example — is precisely the same as the effect of the resistance of the armature, which has been discussed above; but when a conductor supplies only a single lamp, then it does not have this effect. Of course there is a loss or fall of potential due to the resistance of the individual conducting-wires of each lamp; and of course the fall of potential in the lamp itself, and consequently its brightness, are thereby reduced. But this resistance does not introduce any *irregularity*: its effect in diminishing the light of the lamps is constant.

Let us suppose that a conductor having a resistance of 140 ohms feeds a single lamp of 1.4 ohms' resistance: then the loss in this conductor will be 1% of the useful fall of potential. But suppose that we now put 10 more lamps in circuit: then the loss in the conductors will be increased to over 10%; and assuming the useful fall of potential to be 100 volts, with a single lamp in circuit, it will only be about 90 volts with 11 lamps. The candle-power of the first lamp would drop at least 25% or 30% when the other 10 lamps were added. Thus it is, that, in a multiple arc system of electric lighting, any resistance which is common to a number of lamps, whether in the armature or the conductors, causes fluctuations in the light of the lamps when other lamps are put on or off; whereas the resistance of the individual conductors of each lamp produces a loss of potential, which is a constant fraction of the total potential, but does not introduce any unsteadiness.

F. B. CROCKER.

Osteology of the cormorant.

With respect to Mr. Jeffries' criticism (SCIENCE, ii. 739) of my paper on cormorants, I beg to say that the occipital style of the cormorant is not an ossification in the tendon of any muscle; that he is entirely wrong in his view of the homologies of what I call a patella; and that, furthermore, I find myself misquoted more than once. R. W. SHUFELDT.

A dog plans and executes with reference to the future.

Six weeks ago Prof. J. B. Thayer of this place returned from Ree Heights, Dakota, bringing with him one of a litter of eight pups raised by a slut of the setter breed. The story which he relates to me of this pup's mother is, it appears to me, worthy of record.

The good mother appears to have discharged her arduous duties as only a mother can, and arrived with her eight babes at the time when they should be weaned. At this juncture, judging from the events reported to have followed, she seems to have conceived the idea that too many dogs were occupying the same claim, and that a distribution was desirable. Accordingly, she started one morning with three of her pups, and was observed by Miss Rosa Cheney, now of this place, running in the road toward their claim at a rate which made it impossible for the pups to keep pace with her. The dwelling where she lived, and another shanty on the adjoining corner of another claim, are situated one mile and three-fourths from the dog's home. The mother reached the claims in advance of her babes, but no sconer had they ar-rived than she hurried on at her best pace. Miss Cheney reports that "the puppies came up all out of breath, and apparently too tired to continue; but the smallest of the three followed on." Another claim was reached three-fourths of a mile beyond; and here Miss Cheney observed the mother stop until her panting babe came up, when she immediately set off again. A quarter of a mile beyond the last claim, the mother was observed to make a third halt as before, and then to pass on beyond the range of vision, towards Ree Heights, with the puppy still following her. Two days later the persistent mother, with her more persistent babe, was observed coming back; and Miss Cheney tells me that the little puppy appeared almost dead from fatigue.

Some days later the dog led off two more of her pups, and succeeded in leaving them both; but in the mean time the two puppies left the first day were returned. A pup was also left at Professor Thayer's claim, but was returned, and exchanged for another. Both Professor Thayer and Miss Cheney assure me that other efforts of the same kind were made by this dog, but with what results they are unable to say.

After the puppies had been distributed, they were not forgotten; for the old dog used often to go and play with them. Professor Thayer mentions one instance of her coming and playing with the puppy left at his claim until it was very tired, when she lay down by the side of it; but, after it had gone to sleep, she quietly walked to the opposite side of the house, and then hurried away in the opposite direction from home for a distance of about forty rods, when she turned and went directly there, thus showing quite clearly that the thought of distributing her puppies was still uppermost in her mind.

What events may have awakened this desire on the part of the nother, or what reasons she had for her acts, we do not know; but in her own mind I have no doubt the case was urgent and the way clear, if