CORRESPONDENCE.

To the Editor of "SCIENCE."

It seems to me that Pres. Gaines' objection to the accepted theory of vision (see SCIENCE of Aug. 6, p. 370) may easily be answered.

It is universally agreed that vision is a sensation produced by ethereal undulations, and that these undulations are induced by molecular motion in a luminous body. Each point of a luminous body is a radiant point, that is, emits rays of light in every direction, and it is by some of these rays of ethereal undulations, either directly from the luminous point or refracted by, or reflected from, some non-luminous body, that all impressions of vision are made. Hence, all non-luminous objects are manifested to vision by reflected light, but reflected light is also radiant light; that is, reflected ethereal waves radiate from every point of non-luminous objects mani-fested to vision. These waves have fallen upon the reflecting surface (not necessarily a minimum surface or front) from various directions, many of them reflected from other non-luminous objects, and, the angle of reflexion being the same as the angle of incidence, they necessarily *radiate* from the object; and by their differ-ence of intensity, that is, by the different capacities of contiguous surfaces to reflect rays in a particular direction, we receive different impressions from the different parts of the object, and hence assign to the object peculiarities corresponding to the peculiarities of the sensa-tions produced. Hence, though I admit that we become cognizant of objects by radiant light, I contend that in all cases where the object is not self-luminous, the rays that impress us are reflected rays produced by some luminous body of which we learn nothing from these reflected rays. J. E. HENDRICKS. DES MOINES.

ANOTHER CONFIRMATION OF PREDICTION.

BY PLINY EARLE CHASE, LL.D.

On the 4th of October, 1878, I presented a communication to the American Philosophical Society,* in which I showed that the position of Watson's first intra-Mercurial planet, as computed by Gaillot and Monchez, represented the third intra-Mercurial term of my harmonic series. At the last meeting of the British Association, Professor Balfour Stewart read a paper in which he gave indications of sun spot disturbances by a planet, revolving in 24.011 days, and consequently having a semi-axis major of .163. This confirmation, both of my own prediction,† and of the calculations of the French astronomers, is the more interesting, because the first confirmation of my series was contained in a communication which was made to the Royal Society by Messrs. De la Rue, Stewart and Loewy, forty-one days after I had announced the series to the Philosophical Society, and published it in the New York Tribune.‡ The accordances are as follows:

PREDICTION. CONFIRMATIÓN. Ist interior harmonic term .267. De la Rue, S. & L. .267 3d " " .165. { Gaillot & Monchez .164 Stewart - .163

*Proc. A. P. S., xviii., 34-6. †Ib., xiii., 238. ‡Ib., p. 470.

METEOROLOGICAL REPORT FOR NEW YORK CITY FOR THE WEEK ENDING OCT. 29, 1881.

Latitude 40° 45′ 58″ N.; Longitude 73° 57′ 58″ W.; height of instruments above the ground, 53 feet ; above the sea. 97 feet ; by self-recording instruments.

BAROMETER.										THERMOMETERS.												
	MEAN FO THE DAY		MAXIN	IUM.	MINIMUM.				ME			MA	AXIMUM.		MINIMUM.				MAXI'M			
OCTOBER.	Reduced to Freezing		duced to eezing.	Time.	Reduced to Freezing		Time.		Dry Bulb,	Wet Bulb		ry ilb.	Time	e. Wet Bulb		Dry Bulb.	Time.	Wet Bulb.	Time.	In Sun.		
Sunday, 23 Monday, 24 Tuesday, 25 Wednesday, 26 Thursday, 27 Friday, 28 Saturday, 29	29.989 29.640 29.415 29.810 30.015 30.113 30.020	2	0.104 9.848 9.508 9.982 0.058 0.136 0.112	o a. m. o a. m. o a. m. 12 p. m. g a. m. g a. m. o a. m.	29.8 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 30.6 29.6	508 338 500)82 012	12 p. 12 p. 2 p. 0 a. 0 a. 12 p	. m. . m. . m. . m. . m.	60.6 57.3 57.0 45.3 48.6 51.7 59.3	56.3 56.6 54.0 40.7 43.0 45.3 57.3	6 6 5 5	4 5 9 6	4 p. o a. 4 p. o a. 4 p. 2 p. 2 p.	m. 58 m, 60 m. 50 m. 49 m. 48	4 p. m o a. m 4 p. m o a. m 4 p. m 2 p. m 12 p. m	54 52 38 34 44	7 a. m. 12 p. m. 5 a. m. 12 p. m. 6 a. m. 6 a. m. 0 a. m.	34 41	7 a.m. 12 p.m. 5 a.m. 12 p.m. 6 a.m. 8 a.m. 0 a.m.	125. 69. 108. 110. 109. 94. 64.		
Mean for the we Maximum for the Minimum Range	e week at '' at	9 a. 1 2 p.	m., Oct. m., Oct	.25th			30.1	35 3 8	••	Ma Mi	ximu nimu Ran	m fo m Ige		week ₂ at	4 pm. 23d 6 am. 27th 	69. 1 34. 35.	egrees at '' at 	4 pm 2 6 am 2	50.4 23d, 60. 7th, 34. 26.	" "		
WIND.									HYGROMETER.					(RAI	RAIN AND SNOV						
	DIRECTION. VELOCIT							FORC	e of v.	RELATIVE HUMIDITY.				EAR, ERCAST.	0 10							
OCTOBER.	7 a.m. 2	p. m.	9 p. m.	Distance for the Day.	Max.	Tım	ıe.	7 a. m.	2 p. m.	9 p. m.	7 a.m.	2 p. m.	9 p. m.	7 a.m	2 p.m.	9 p. m.	Time of Begin- ning.	Time of End- ing.	Dura-	Amount of water		
Sunday, 23- Monday, 24-		.w.	s. w. e.	225 124	3½ ¾			•374 •482	.380 .436	•447 •436	100 100	55 93	77 93	0 10	2 CU. 10	10 10	{ 4.50 an	2 pm 11 pm	9.10 1.00	.19		
Thursday, 27- V	n.w. n v.n.w. n.e. n	. w. w. . e.	w. n.w. n. w. s. w. e. s. s. e.	338 163 93	$\frac{2\frac{1}{2}}{1\frac{1}{4}}$		am pm pm	.388 .228 .204 .192 .321	.443 .162 .191 .230	.309 .190 .231 .244 .523	76 100 61	82 45 41 51 94	64 74 55 60 94	10 0 4 cir. cu	7 CU. 0 0 . 0 10	0 0 10 10		11 pm		.01 .37		

Distance traveled during the week. 57 inter. 57 inter. Maximum fore. 9/4 lbs. Duration of rain. 1 day, 6 hours, 55 inter.

DANIEL DRAPER, Ph. D.

Director Meteorological Observatory of the Department of Public Parks, New York.